

Varg Vikernes

On Europeans



This document is a collection of thematically associated articles copied from burzum.org: On how Negroes became Fair-Skinned, Blue-Eyed and Blond in Europe (2012); The WHG, EEF & WSH Origins of Europe (2020); Mis-Information (2020); The Cheddar Man (2020); Fairest of them All! (2020)

The compiler of this document claims the right of the thule to disregard notions of copyright for the sake of the preservation of knowledge.

On how Negroes became Fair-Skinned, Blue-Eyed and Blond in Europe

If we are to believe the evolution religion (alias "science") each species and each race adapts to its environment over time. According to this religion the blackest Negro tribe moving into Europe will after some time turn white, change their nose shapes, grow a larger skull, grow a nose bone, grow larger pelvis bones, grow shorter lower arms, change the shape of their skulls, get thinner skin, get a little bump in the back of their heads (known in France as "the Math bump", because those who have it [i.e. fair Europeans...] are often very good with mathematics), change the angle of their forehead, change the length of their legs, change their metabolism, grow a larger brain, grow blue or gray eyes, lose their curly hair in favour of straight hair, grow blonde hair, and so forth, and they will also all of a sudden see a need for art, civilization, philosophy, mathematics, astronomy, religion and so forth...

...sorry, but I have to stop. This is too bloody ridiculous. Yet, this is what most of us are taught to believe in. This is what most of us believe in today! Some even claim this happened in less than 12,000 years! In popular films about this subject (like the ludicrous "Ao") this even happened the moment the Negroes sat foot in Europe. Like *bang*! The Negro has become European in one generation! Very "scientific"...

Now, before these marvellous Negroes – who were obviously masters of adaptation – arrived in Europe there was another human species already living here, the Neanderthals, who were fair skinned, just like modern Europeans still are, had the same nose shape that Europeans have today, had an even larger skull than modern Europeans have, had the same nose bone that Europeans have today, had a larger pelvis, just like modern Europeans still have, had shorter lower arms, just like modern Europeans still have, had a different skull shape more similar to the skulls of modern Europeans than to anything else, had thinner skin, just like modern Europeans, had a little bump in the back of the head, just like modern Europeans, their children had the exact same angle of the forehead as modern Europeans have today, their legs were identical to those of modern Europeans, their metabolism was probably (we cannot know for sure about that) identical to the metabolism of modern Europeans, they had a much larger brain, even larger than that of modern Europeans, they had blue or gray eyes, they had straight hair, they had blonde hair, and so forth, everything just about exactly like modern Europeans still have.

Naturally, modern science claims that we – the Europeans – do not descend from these Neanderthals, although they admit that we might have a few of their genes in us. No! No! No! We too naturally descend from Negroes! We too come from Africa... and the poor degenerates already living in Europe, the ugly, hairy, grotesque and sub-human Neanderthals (as they are presented to us by the propagandists of the science religion), who had adapted to the European environment for at least 500,000 years, were outmatched by the marvellous Negroes from Africa – who of course chose to leave Africa and go to Europe during the worst of the Ice Ages (I guess the glaciers covering most of Europe at the time were just too fertile and pleasant for them to resist this move). The Neanderthals were then, still according to the science religion I may add, outwitted and outskilled on their own turf. Naturally, the Negroes, still wearing their straw skirts (if any clothes at all), and famous for their superior intellects (...), were much better at surviving in freezing Europe than some sorry fur-clad native brutes?! So the Neanderthals became extinct, yes, yes, yes, and this happened around -28,000 before the birth of that filthy Jew Jesus, and the Negroes replaced them everywhere in Europe.

Right...

Now, if you allow me to come with an alternative theory in this context, please continue reading. You see, I will – based on my wife's scientific (in the best meaning of the word) research (available here; www.mariecachet.com) – claim that this official theory of evolution and the "out of Africa" theory is pure nonsense. I am not saying that every aspect of the theory of evolution is nonsense,

but I am saying that the theory of macro-evolution is nonsense. If you e.g. have no genes for blue eyes, like pure Negroes, you will not evolve blue eyes. Ever. As simple as that. What I will suggest is that we – the Europeans – are Neanderthals. We are slightly different from our purely Neanderthal ancestors because we were mixed with Negroes in the distant past. Not much, but enough to change us (we have on average about 0,3% Negro-only genes in us). Not with Negroes who came to Europe either, but with Negroes living in Africa, whom we encountered when we were at times forced out of Europe by the different Ice Ages (only to return when they ended, with a few mongrels amongst us every time). This would explain why the Northern African natives have a few European features and also why the East Africans do too, because some Neanderthals remained or at least some of their genes did, and these genes were after thousands of years evenly distributed amongst those populations. The other Africans have no Neanderthal/European features.

When I say this a horde of "racially pure" racists will of course start to hate me like the plague, because I suggest that even our Nordic race is actually not pure after all, and that they have Negro genes in them, but bear with me. This is after all much better than the "scientific" version claiming we are Negroes (100%) – who just happen to be white because we have adapted to our environment.

Now, you might ask why the facts my wife presents to us are not all over the media, because this is after all a huge scientific breakthrough regarding the origin of the European man! It changes everything. We are not even of the same species as the other human races! But, of course, this is not all over the media because it changes everything, because it proves that we are not even of the same species as the other races this is not at all what they want. They have their sacred cow – the anti-racism agenda – and they don't allow anyone to touch it. You can bombard them with all the facts in the world, and they will still not change their perspective or their agenda. The more facts you present to them the more they will lie and attack you, try to discredit you and your work and in general work against you. And they do this very effectively using renowned scientists and their science religion, that so many of us trust and believe in so blindly.

Ladies and gentlemen of Europe. Don't allow our European species to be degraded any more, to be reduced in quality through mixing with those other human races of the Homo Sapiens species. We too are mixed, yes, and the darker we are the more mixed we are, whether we like to admit this or not, but don't worry about that. We are still different, we are still unique and special, we are still European, and we must simply make sure that we will not have any more of that non-European blood mixed into us.

If you want or even need a more scientific explanation and proof of what I say you can read all the articles on www.mariecachet.com, ideally in a chronological order.

Fight anti-racism and those behind it by any and all means available! No matter what they say they are the criminals, guilty of genocide against the European species! Whatever we do is an act of self-defence. Whatever we say is to defend our human species and our race from extinction. We have all the rights in the world, even according to the UN and international law, to take action to defend our species, our race and our nations. It is our duty to do so!

Varg Vikernes
Bergen 29.12.2012

The WHG, EEF & WSH Origins of Europe

The three groups that modern Europeans descend from are listed by the geneticists as the Western Hunter Gatherers (WHG), the Early European Farmers (EEF) and the Western Steppe Herders (WSH).

They do their best to give us the impression that we are very mixed, of course in an attempt to make us accept their "anti-racist" agenda; more mass immigration to Europe and more admixture.

One thing I find puzzling is how many relate to this info as if the WHG lived in that part of Europe, the EEF in another, and the WSH came from today's Ukraine and spread out. As if they just popped out of the ground there. In the background looms the "Out of Africa" theory, suggesting that Africans migrated to Europe during the height of the last Ice Age and out-competed the Neanderthals already living there – a theory so utterly ludicrous it is only worth mentioning, because so many believe in it. But no, we already have evidence of mankind being present in Europe before, in today's Bulgaria, and there are no evidence suggesting the Neanderthals came from Africa – or that their forebears, the Heidelbergensis, came from Africa. Any such claims are purely speculative. Remember that.

Also, the idea that these three groups were so very different from Each other is rather flawed. In reality all these groups, the WHG, the EEF and the WSH, are the descendants of the proto-Europeans; the Neanderthals. The EEF were somewhat hybridized already, at least with homo sapiens (Africans), the WSH too were somewhat hybridized, at least with proto-Asians (Denisovans) and the WHG were probably not hybridized at all, or only had some slight admixture from the Ice Age before the last one.

When a certain percentage of a population in modern Europe can be traced to the different groups, it doesn't mean that that population is a very hybridized population though. The percentage of EEF in a population, for example, doesn't actually mean that this is the percentage of non-European blood in that population. No, it only means that this is the percentage that population has from that particular Neanderthal population, the EEF, and that particular Neanderthal population probably had only a small percentage of non-Neanderthal blood to begin with.

E.g. Fictional Example Population made up of:

WHG 50%

EEF 20%

WSH 30%

If the EEF and WSH population was e. g. 10% non-Neanderthal this means that only 5% (2% + 3%) of the blood in that fictional example population is non-Neanderthal. Also, if that population lived in the North of Europe, where the Neanderthal genes will be the most useful, it might well mean that of the EEF and WSH blood, only the Neanderthal part of it would survive and stay there. So in fact, my fictional example population above here, could well be like that, and still be 100% Neanderthal in origins.

Even if we say all of Europe used to be one large population, a mix between WHG, EEF and WSH, then we can easily explain how the different modern populations ended up looking so different, even if we disregard historical admixture. The populations living in Southern Europe would have had much less "weeding out" of non-Neanderthal blood after the last Ice Age ended, and the populations living in Northern Europe would have much more, perhaps a total, weeding out of any non-Neanderthal blood – and therefore ended up perfectly Nordic looking, with a 100% blue- or grey-eyed and blonde and fair skinned population. Even with ancestry back to the hybridized EEF and WSH, they would themselves not have any non-Neanderthal admixture in them.

The claim that "we are all mixed" is simply... wild speculations. And yes, what I say here is less speculative than that, because it would make sense, from what we know about vitamin D deficiency and our natural adjustment to the environment. Any "dark" genes would not survive in the North before modern medicine, even in the warm periods in between the Ice Ages. The only reason such genes survive in Northern Europe today, is because of modern medicine; vitamin D supplements in particular.

To those who now will argue that the Neanderthals were not "Nordic looking" I will simply make a claim, that yes, I cannot prove that using any scientific sources, but still: they were obviously Nordic looking. First of all, we can claim that they were because we, their descendants, are Nordic looking, secondly because if the Africans they claimed moved here became (as they claim) fair-skinned, blonde and blue-eyed after only 10.000 years in Europe, why would the Neanderthals, who lived here for 500.000 years, NOT become fair-skinned, blonde and blue-eyed? If we include their forebears, the Heidelbergensis, we can go even further, and say: why would they NOT become "Nordic" after 1.000.000+ years in Europe if black Africans supposedly became "Nordic-looking" after only 10.000 years of life here? Or if you like, 30.000 years?

The amount of non-Neanderthal admixture in a European population can be measured using simply by looking at them: how many non-Nordic features do they have? If they for generation after generation have a purely Nordic look, then we can assume that they have no admixture at all. If they look like Middle Easterners, we can assume that they have much admixture. If they look overwhelmingly Nordic, but have a few non-Nordic features, we can assume that they are overwhelmingly Nordic, but have some (almost no) admixture.

We don't need the politicized "science" of genetics to understand this.

Finally, yes, today we don't look exactly like the ancient Neanderthals did, but... of course we don't. We have changed with time, with changing climates, with agriculture, with civilization: with auto-domestication. We are still Neanderthals though, only modern ones. And yes, the Nordic looking modern Neanderthals have close to no or even no non-Neanderthal admixture.

Varg Vikernes

01.06.2020

Mis-Information

Today we can with safety say that all research is politically motivated. All research requires funding, and all funding is controlled by people with an agenda. If the researchers don't produce the results wanted by the funders, they will shove the results under the carpet and stop all funding. If the researchers insist on making their results public on their own, they will face a wall of silence from MSM, or if they manage to get their voices heard anyway, they will face massive slander. Their careers are in any cases over.

When you understand this, and take it in, you will also understand that we cannot trust their research. The researchers who don't "play ball" with the funders have been weeded out a long time ago already, and the new ones coming in are quickly thrown out again. Those left do what they know they have to, in order to keep their jobs and the funds coming & in order to "deserve" the attention of MSM.

They are because of this able to twist any result into meaning something else and to present to us a little truth completely drowned in a sea of lies. Anything to keep their jobs! Anything to get more funding! Anything to be praised by the MSM, and thus the people!

You can find a hint of truth here and there, and sometimes you can study their base material, and find the actual truth, but by and large, all – yes ALL – research done today is politically motivated, and thus unreliable.

"To understand the power of the genome revolution for undermining old stereotypes about identity and building up a new basis for identity, consider how its finding of repeated mixture in human history has destroyed nearly every argument that used to be made for biologically based nationalism."
(David Reich, leading geneticist)

Thank you for reading,
Varg Vikernes
28.05.2020

The Cheddar Man

With a close to total absence of reliable research on the subject, what are we left with if we want to know from whence we come?

We can take the information we have and test it against reality. If it computes with reality as we know it, then we should be able to trust it. Let us take the Cheddar Man claim for instance; that he had light eyes and very dark skin. So let me present to you a few control questions:

1. Why do humans have light eyes?
2. Why do humans have dark skin?
3. Are there any humans today with light eyes and dark skin?

1. Humans have light eyes because that give you an edge in low-light conditions. Like in the dark forest that used to cover all our continent in the past (save the areas under glaciers, and for some time where the glaciers had been, when they retracted). It makes sense that our forebears had light eyes. I would argue that this part of the claim is correct.

2. Humans have dark hair and skin to protect themselves from too much sunlight. Therefore, they have dark hair and skin in Africa, in Southern India, etc. If you have dark hair and skin and live in Europe, and even in a Europe dressed in a deep and dark forest, then not only is it a huge disadvantage, it might well be... disastrous. You will not get enough sunshine vitamins and you will succumb to infections, cancer and viruses; your body will not develop properly; you stand a serious risk of getting Rickets and if you are a pregnant woman with dark hair and skin you stand a great risk of losing your child and at least of giving birth to a weak and under-developed child – that in turn will hardly survive in the wild.

Unless of course you have an extremely vitamin D rich diet, like the Eskimos have, and eat plenty of fatty fish every day, all year-round. This will enable you to survive, even in a dark forest, but only barely, and anyone with a fair skin will have an edge on you, because they don't depend on being in a position to be able to catch and eat fatty fish all the time to survive. They can eat just about anything and still get plenty of vitamin D, because their skin is fair and thus need much less sunlight to produce such vitamins.

So although theoretically possible, it is highly unlikely that a people in Europe was dark-skinned, unless they were only visiting – or like today received plenty of vitamin D supplements.

3. Human beings come in many different hues, but in recorded history, we have never found a race of man with dark skin and hair, but light eyes. The only ones with such features, are a few exceptional mixed race individuals. They all have European ancestry.

And of course we haven't found any such races of man, because... those are highly contradictory features. Light eyes are good for low-light conditions. Dark hair and skin is good for sunny conditions. Although man can make such individuals, by interbreeding, Mother Nature doesn't make such individuals, and under natural conditions she punishes any who makes such individuals brutally and swiftly.

Since Mother Nature hardly makes such individuals we can assume that the Cheddar Man was either a mixed race individual, part non-European/immigrant, perhaps only visiting or living there for a short time, or he didn't have dark hair and skin to begin with.... and they just made that part up for political propoganda purposes.

Varg Vikernes
28.05.2020

Fairest of them All!

The official claim is that we had dark skin, but became fair-skinned only recently.

Why? Because we went from eating a lot of fatty fish to becoming farmers, and since we then no longer got all the vitamin D we needed to survive, only the fairest amongst us survived, and in the end we were all white. Hooray! Makes sense, right?

There are a few problematic facts in relation to this hypothesis though. First of all, not all Europeans became farmers at the same time, and some even never really became farmers at all... but they ALL became fair. And the ones who kept being hunter-gatherers the longest, and who never stopped eating plenty of fatty fish, like the Northern Europeans, became "fairest of them all".

Take Norway for example. It is made up of mainly mountains and fjords, and only 3% of the land is even suitable for farming. Norwegian farmers are even to this day also hunters, fishermen and gatherers. The Norwegians never stopped eating fatty fish... but they have always, from pre-historical times to present day, been fair. In fact, in terms of fairness, only the Swedes and Finns are fairer, and only by a tiny margin.

So if this hypothesis had been true, the Northern Europeans, and in particular the Norwegians, would STILL have been at least fairly dark, but the OPPOSITE is the case. We are "the Fairest of them All".

Varg Vikernes

29.05.2020