

ANTI-SEMITISM: CUI BONO?

A Report on the Symbiosis Between
Anti-Semitism & Zionism

Dr K R Bolton

ANTI-SEMITISM:
CUI BONO?
A Report on the Symbiosis Between
Anti-Semitism & Zionism
Dr K R Bolton

© 2006
Renaissance Press
P. O. Box 1627
Paraparaumu Beach
Kapiti 5252
New Zealand

Note: a hard-copy illustrated version of this publication is available from the publisher for \$15.00.

This PDF edition by AAARGH
< aaarghinternational@hotmail.com >
April 2010

<http://aaargh.com.mx>
<http://aaargh.codoh.info>

CONTENTS

Foreword

- Assimilation
- Dreyfus Affair – Herzl aligns with anti-Semites

Zionism Provokes anti-Semitism

- Zionist/Anti-Semitic Axis
- Herzl & Drumont
- Herzl & Von Plehve
- Zionism & Nazi Germany
- Irgun Gang offers to fight with Nazi Germany
- Zionists obstructed efforts to evacuate Jews

Zionist Attitudes towards anti-Semitism

- Rabbi sees anti-Semitism as positive

Zionist strategy in practise

- Jews of Iraq by N Giladi
- Bombings of 1950-1951

The contrived Neo-Nazi revival, Germany 1959-61

- East Berlin officials staged neo-Nazism in West Germany
- Swastika daubings

Neo-Nazis receive Zionist backing

- National Renaissance Party
- Canadian Nazi Party

Desecration of Graves in NZ – 2004

- Helen Clark – Anti-Semite?
- Something a bit dodgy
- Wanganui desecrations
- Far reaching ramifications
- Keeping NZ Jews in the Zionist fold

Zionist Dual strategy

- Zionists promote pluralist and melting pot doctrines

Bogus anti-Semitic incidents

- Anti-Semitism in France? But which anti-Semitism?
- Leading French Zionist fakes anti-Semitism
- Fake incidents in USA, France, Italy.

Further reading

FOREWORD

Zionism is predicated on the dogma that anti-Semitism is a pervasive and untreatable condition among Gentiles. When anti-Semitism is not overt and violent, it is latent and awaiting the right conditions to manifest as pogroms, according to Zionist dogma. Therefore the only way Jews can escape this inherent anti-Semitism is by establishing a Jewish homeland. Zionist dogma further states that assimilation of Jews does not work; that ultimately even assimilated Jews will become victims of Gentile anti-Semitism.

ASSIMILATION

The doctrine arose during the latter part of the 19th Century in response to the widespread assimilation of Jews into Gentile society. It was feared by some that assimilation would destroy the Jewish identity. Whereas in past centuries, prior to the “emancipation” wrought by the French Revolution and the destruction of the old order of Europe and the supremacy of the Church, Jews had been separated by the ghetto, modern society was breaking down the barriers.

Yet even during the Middle Ages, when anti-Semitism was the most widespread, *“Jewish blood was intermingling with Christian blood. Cases of wholesale conversions were exceedingly numerous...”*, wrote the prominent French Jewish writer and onetime Zionist, Bernard Lazare.¹

Whereas once the Czar had confined the Jews of Russia for e.g. in the *Pale of Settlement*, the Soviet Union had brought Jews into top positions of Government. Moreover, Jews were turning increasingly to socialism, which often implied the repudiation of their religious and cultural roots in favour of a secular socialist society. Hence, Zionism and socialism were often in rivalry for the adherence of Jews, while some such as *Paol Zion* (Labour Zionism) advocated a specifically Jewish socialism within the new Zionist context.

DREYFUS AFFAIR – HERZL ALIGNS WITH ANTI-SEMITES

At this time a young Jewish journalist Theodor Herzl was in France observing the consequences of the “Dreyfus Affair”, the accusation of treason against a Jewish officer, falsely accused of working for Germany. The “Affair” brought France to the verge of civil war. Herzl used the “Affair” as justification for his separatism, claiming that if anti-Semitism could ignite so quickly in a nation as liberal and egalitarian as France, then assimilation was a myth, anti-Semitism a constant that could not be eradicated. The only option was a return to Jewish separatism, the self-ghettoisation of the pre-Emancipation era.

However, it is unlikely that Dreyfus was the real cause of Herzl’s own separatism. If Dreyfus became a cause celebre for French anti-Semites, it was also a cause celebre for many more Frenchmen who came to the defence of the Jews, and Dreyfus was ultimately pardoned.

The anti-Zionist rabbi Elmer Berger, who founded the American Council for Judaism, wrote:

“Where in all the world a century before would more than half a nation have come to the defence of a Jew? Had Herzl possessed a knowledge of history, he

¹ Lazare, *Anti-Semitism: its history & causes*, Paris, 1894. Britons, London, 1967.

would have seen in the Dreyfus case a brilliant, heartening proof of the success of emancipation.”²

Conversely, Herzl aligned himself to the anti-Semites, and found an ally in the leading French anti-Semite and campaigner against Dreyfus, M Drumont.

Herzl, while not the first Zionist, was the first to establish Zionism as an enduring and successful political movement. In response to the Dreyfus Affair he wrote the modern Zionist manifesto, The Jewish State: An Attempt at a modern solution tom the Jewish Question.

Many Jews, including the most influential, had assimilated and were suspicious of any movement that would again make Jews conspicuous as a separate people. The American statesman Henry Morgenthau Snr. for e.g. said: “I refuse to allow myself to be a Zionist. I am an American.”

Therefore, if this assimilationist attitude was to be replaced by a revival of Jewish separatism, anti-Semitism would have to be welcomed, even promoted, by Zionism as confirming its dogma and reversing the process of assimilation.

Zionists from the beginning welcomed anti-Semitism as a means of undermining what Zionists believed was the sense of false security of Jews in western, liberal societies, and as the means by which Jews would be kept in a permanent state of neurosis.

Large and powerful organisations such as the US-based Anti-Defamation League of B’Nai B’rith exist mainly for the purpose of exaggerating the extent of anti-Semitism in order to keep Jews under the Zionist heel and keep the coffers for Israeli causes filled.

This publication looks at the exploitation and the manufacturing of “anti-Semitism” by Zionism, and the way anti-Semites are manipulated by Zionists.

ZIONISM PROMOTES ANTI-SEMITISM

Many Jews – remarkably – have continued to resist the Zionist onslaught. Among these are the *Torah True Jews* who regard Zionism and the establishment of a Jewish state prior to the advent of a Jewish messiah as “blasphemy. The *Torah True Jews* explain the Zionist exploitation of anti-Semitism thus:

Theodor Herzl (1860-1904), the founder of modern Zionism, recognised that anti-Semitism would further his cause, the creation of a separate state for Jews. To solve the Jewish Question, he maintained “we must, above all, make it an international political issue.”

Herzl wrote that Zionism offered the world a welcome “final solution of the Jewish question.” In his “Diaries”, page 19, Herzl stated:

“Anti-Semites will become our surest friends, anti-Semitic countries our allies.”

*Zionist reliance on Anti-Semitism to further their goals continues to this day. **Studies of immigration records reflect increased immigration to the Zionist state during times of increased anti-Semitism.** Without a continued inflow of Jewish immigrants to the state of “Israel”, it is estimated that within a decade the Jewish population of the Zionist state will become the minority.*

² D Stewart Theodore Herzl - artist and politician p164, London 1974.

In order to maintain a Jewish majority in the state of "Israel", its leaders promote anti-Semitism throughout the world to "encourage" Jews to leave their homelands and seek "refuge".

Over the recent years there has been a dramatic rise in hate rhetoric and hate crimes targeted toward Jews...

On November 17, 2003 Zionist leader, Ariel Sharon, the Israeli prime minister, told Jews in Italy the best way to escape "a great wave of anti-Semitism" is to move and settle in the state of Israel. This has been the Zionist ideology from the beginning to the present time. "The best solution to anti-Semitism is immigration to Israel. It is the only place on Earth where Jews can live as Jews," he said.

July 28, 2004: 200 French Jews emigrated to Israel following a wave of Anti-Semitism. They were personally greeted by Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon, who recently urged French Jews to flee to Israel to escape rising anti-Semitism.

On July 18, 2004, Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon urged all French Jews to move to Israel immediately to escape anti-Semitism. He told a meeting of the American Jewish Association in Jerusalem that Jews around the world should relocate to Israel as early as possible. But for those living in France, he added, moving was a "must" because of rising violence against Jews there. "
[End Quote, Torah True Jews. Emphasis added].

ZIONIST/ANTI-SEMITIC AXIS

Benny Morris, professor of history at Israel's Ben-Gurion University, states in [Righteous Victims: A History of the Zionist-Arab Conflict, 1881-1999](#)³

"Herzl regarded Zionism's triumph as inevitable, not only because life in Europe was ever more untenable for Jews, but also because it was in Europe's interests to rid the Jews and be relieved of anti-Semitism: The European political establishment would eventually be persuaded to promote Zionism. Herzl recognized that anti-Semitism would be HARNESSSED to his own--Zionist-purposes."

Herzl's most fervent supporters were anti-Semites. Both Zionists and anti-Semites concur with their regard of the Jews as an unassimilable minority which needs to be removed from Gentile society. Hence, Zionists have historically aligned themselves with anti-Semites ranging from those in Czarist Russia to those in Nazi Germany.

Where the supposed latent anti-Semitism of Gentiles fails to manifest dramatically, and at times when Jews are in the process of assimilating into Gentile society (as they were in pre-Hitler Germany) Zionists provoke, encourage and even directly create anti-Semitic movements and incidents.

In the wake of the *Dreyfus Affair* Herzl used the opportunity as an opening for his separatism, writing his Zionist manifesto, [The Jewish State](#), *Der Judenstaat*, in 1895.

Anti-Semites welcomed [The Jewish State](#) from the start. Of his publishers, Herzl noted in his [Diary](#):

*"Was at the printing office and talked with the managers ... both are presumably anti-Semites. They greeted me with genuine cordiality. They liked my pamphlet."*⁴

³ Publisher: Knopf; 1st edition (September 21, 1999)

⁴ [The Diaries of Theodor Herzl](#), New York, 1962, p. 91.

HERZL & DRUMONT

Herzl formed an early alliance with France's leading anti-Semite, Eduard Drumont, who had been the head of the anti-Dreyfus agitation. Drumont had written the influential anti-Semitic book La France Juive (1886) and was editor of La Libre Parole. Herzl wrote of Drumont:

*"But I owe to Drumont a great deal of the present freedom of my concepts, because he is an artist."*⁵

Herzl persuaded Drumont to review his manifesto in La Libre Parole, which he did favourably on January 15 1897.

*[Drumont] "praises the Zionists of Herzl's persuasion for not seeing in us fanatics ... but citizens who exercise the right of self-defence."*⁶

Herzl was to write of the Paris experience:

*"In Paris ... I achieved a freer attitude towards anti-Semitism, which I now began to understand historically and to pardon. Above all I recognise the emptiness and futility of trying to 'combat' anti-Semitism."*⁷

In his Austrian homeland it was among the anti-Semites that Herzl also found the most immediate support. Herzl's biographer Desmond Stewart, writes:

*"... Already in 1896 Austrian anti-Semites were finding ammunition in Herzl's arguments, as would the followers of Drumont ..."*⁸

Max Nordau, Herzl's deputy, expressed the affinity between the Zionists and Drumont in an interview with Raphael Marchant, correspondent for Drumont's La Libre Parole, stating that Zionism *"is not a question of religion, but exclusively of race, and there is no one with whom I am in greater agreement on this position than M Drumont."*⁹

HERZL & VON PLEHVE

In Russia also support among anti-Semites was effusive. Herzl's chief ally was the Russian interior minister Von Plehve, whom Herzl met in August 1903. Just four months previously Von Plehve had been organising pogroms at Kishinev. As Herzl was explaining his Zionist project, Von Plehve interrupted, according to Herzl's own account:

"You don't have to justify the movement to me. Vous prêchez un converti"
*(You are preaching to a convert).*¹⁰

As in Nazi Germany from 1933, Zionism was given favourable governmental recognition in Czarist Russia. Von Plehve wrote a letter pledging *"moral and material assistance"*, which became *"Herzl's most cherished asset."*¹¹

Due to Herzl's efforts in Russia, *"there was no prohibition on Zionist activities and an official permit was even given for the holding of the second conference of Russian Zionists at Minsk (September 1902)."*¹²

⁵ D Stewart op. cit. p25.

⁶ Ibid p. 251, fn

⁷ Ibid p. 6.

⁸ Ibid. p25.

⁹ Ibid p322.

¹⁰ R Patai (ed) The complete diaries of Theodore Herzl, Vol 1, London 1960

¹¹ M Menhuin Decadence of Judaism in our time, New York, 1969, p46.

¹² Chaim Weizmann Letters and papers Vol 2, Oxford 1971, p284. Weizman was to become first president of Israel, and was instrumental in obtaining the "Balfour Declaration" in 1917, the founding document of Israel, from Lord Balfour,

ZIONISTS & NAZI GERMANY

Without Nazism Zionism might not have succeeded beyond being a fringe movement among Jewry. Germany itself was the most unlikely source for Zionist support among German Jews. Such was the assimilation of German Jewry and its full identification with the German nation that Herzl's original aim of having the First Zionist Congress held in Germany had to be changed to Switzerland due to the opposition of German Jews.

Prior to Hitler Zionism represented a minor faction within German Jewry. Whilst some Jews were conspicuous in their leadership of Marxism, communism and various anti-national movements not only in politics but in culture, there was also a large and significant movement of German nationalism among Jews who regarded themselves as 'Germans of Jewish descent.'

If some Jews had been involved in revolutionary movements designed to undermine the war effort, many more gave a disproportionate sacrifice fighting for Germany during World War I. 100,000 Jews had fought for the Kaiser, of whom 10,000 were volunteers. A massive 35,000 Jews were decorated.

The prominent businessman and statesman Walther Rathenau, German Foreign Minister after World War I expressed the widespread sentiment:

"I am a German of Jewish stock. My nation is the German nation, my fatherland is the German fatherland, and my faith is the German faith, which transcends the various confessions."¹³

After the war these German-Jewish veterans formed the nucleus of a nationalist movement that was not only anti-Communist but also anti-Zionist.

The *League of Nationalist German Jews* declared:

"Our way is not the way of the Zionists... of people who clearly hesitate between Germany and Jewry... of internationalist fanatics... We reject a Jewish united front, the only united front we care for is a German one..."

The highly respected Lt. Col. Theodor Duesterberg, descended from a line of rabbis, led the nationalist war veterans' association, *Stahlhelm*. In 1932 he contested the presidency of Germany for the National People's Party.

The *National Association of Jewish Combat Veterans* was also opposed to both Zionism and the Left, and advocated the "volk community".

But it was the Zionists to which the Nazis looked as representatives of German Jewry, as both Nazism and Zionism shared a common aim: opposition to Jewish assimilation.

The anti-Zionist Jewish author Leni Brenner states in his Zionism in the Age of the Dictators¹⁴:

"...Believing that the ideological similarities between the two movements – their contempt for liberalism, their common volkish racism and, of course, their mutual conviction that Germany could never be the homeland of its Jews – could induce the Nazis to support them, the ZVfD¹⁵ solicited the patronage of Adolf Hitler, not once but repeatedly, after 1933.

another anti-Semite. As Home Secretary in 1905, Arthur Balfour had introduced the Aliens Act to keep out Jewish refugees fleeing the pogroms. The Zionist HQ in Britain is **still** called *Balfour House* in the anti-Semite's honour.

¹³ Rathenau was assassinated by a right-wing militant.

¹⁴ Leni Brenner, Zionism in the Age of Dictators, Connecticut, 1983.

¹⁵ German Zionist Federation.

Brenner cites Rabbi Joachim Prinz, a leading Zionist in Germany who was to become president of the American Jewish Congress, in regard to the German Zionist Federation welcoming the advent of Nazi Germany as a repudiation of German-Jewish assimilation:

“In 1937, after leaving Berlin for America, Rabbi Joachim Prinz wrote of his experiences in Germany and alluded to a memorandum which, it is now known, was sent to the Nazi Party by the ZVfD on 21 June 1933. Prinz’s article candidly describes the Zionist mood in the first months of 1933:

*‘Everyone in Germany knew that only the Zionists could responsibly represent the Jews in dealings with the Nazi government. We all felt sure that one day the government would arrange a round table conference with the Jews, at which – after the riots and atrocities of the revolution had passed – the new status of German Jewry could be considered. The government announced very solemnly that there was no country in the world which tried to solve the Jewish problem as seriously as did Germany. **Solution of the Jewish question? It was our Zionist dream! We never denied the existence of the Jewish question! Dissimilation? It was our own appeal!** ... In a statement notable for its pride and dignity, we called for a conference.’”¹⁶*

The memorandum sent by the German Zionist Federation to the Nazi Government emphasised the kinship between the two ideologies:

*“... An answer to the Jewish question truly satisfying to the national state can be brought about only **with the collaboration of the Jewish movement** that aims at a social, cultural, and moral renewal of Jewry ... **a rebirth of national life, such as is occurring in German life** through adherence to Christian and national values, must also take place in the Jewish national group. For the Jew, too, origin, religion, community of fate and group consciousness must be of decisive significance in the shaping of his life ...*

*On the foundation of the new state, which has established the principle of race, we wish so to fit our community into the total structure so that for us too, in the sphere assigned to us, fruitful activity for the Fatherland is possible ... **Our acknowledgement of Jewish nationality provides for a clear and sincere relationship to the German people and its national and racial realities. Precisely because we do not wish to falsify these fundamentals, because we, too, are against mixed marriage and are for maintaining the purity of the Jewish group ...**” [Emphasis added].*

IRGUN GANG OFFERS TO FIGHT WITH NAZI GERMANY

Zionist efforts to court the Nazis continued through to 1941, when the *Irgun*, one of the most militant of the Zionist terrorist squads operating in Palestine against the British authorities, offered the Nazis a military alliance. This movement included two future Israeli Prime Ministers, Yitszak Shamir and Menachem Begin. The Nazis rejected the proposal.

*“Proposal of the National Military Organization in Palestine
(Irgun Zvai Leumi) Concerning the Solution of the Jewish Question*

¹⁶ Joachim Prinz, Zionism under the Nazi Government, *Young Zionist* (London, November 1937), p.18. Rabbi Prinz was to return to Germany in 1960 to lecture the Germans about a revival of Nazism after some Soviet orchestrated anti-Semitic incidents. See below.

in Europe and the Participation of the NMO in the War on the Side of Germany (1941)

It is often stated in the speeches and utterances of the leading statesmen of National Socialist Germany that a prerequisite of the New Order in Europe requires the radical solution of the Jewish question through evacuation ("Jew-free Europe").

The evacuation of the Jewish masses from Europe is a precondition for solving the Jewish question; but this can only be made possible and complete through the settlement of these masses in the home of the Jewish people, Palestine, and through the establishment of a Jewish state in its historic boundaries.

The solving in this manner of the Jewish problem, thus bringing with it once and for all the liberation of the Jewish people, is the objective of the political activity and the years-long struggle of the Israeli freedom movement, the National Military Organization (Irgun Zvai Leumi) in Palestine.

The NMO, which is well-acquainted with the goodwill of the German Reich government and its authorities towards Zionist activity inside Germany and towards Zionist emigration plans, is of the opinion that:

1. Common interests could exist between the establishment of a new order in Europe in conformity with the German concept, and the true national aspirations of the Jewish people as they are embodied by the NMO.

2. Cooperation between the new Germany and a renewed folkish-national Hebraium would be possible and,

3. The establishment of the historic Jewish state on a national and totalitarian basis, bound by a treaty with the German Reich, would be in the interest of a maintained and strengthened future German position of power in the Near East.

Proceeding from these considerations, the NMO in Palestine, under the condition the above-mentioned national aspirations of the Israeli freedom movement are recognized on the side of the German Reich, offers to actively take part in the war on Germany's side.

This offer by the NMO, covering activity in the military, political and information fields, in Palestine and, according to our determined preparations, outside Palestine, would be connected to the military training and organizing of Jewish manpower in Europe, under the leadership and command of the NMO. These military units would take part in the fight to conquer Palestine, should such a front be decided upon.

The indirect participation of the Israeli freedom movement in the New Order in Europe, already in the preparatory stage, would be linked with a positive-radical solution of the European Jewish problem in conformity with the above-mentioned national aspirations of the Jewish people. This would extraordinarily strengthen the moral basis of the New Order in the eyes of all humanity.

The cooperation of the Israeli freedom movement would also be along the lines of one of the last speeches of the German Reich Chancellor, in which Herr Hitler emphasized that he would utilize every combination and coalition in order to isolate and defeat England."

ZIONISTS OBSTRUCTED EFFORTS TO EVACUATE JEWS

Several efforts were made to evacuate Jews from Europe before the situation became dire as a consequence of war. The German Government itself was willing to assist in the facilitation of Jews emigrants to the USA and European countries or colonies. The Zionists rejected all such efforts as detracting from the aim of herding the Jews to Palestine, even if it meant fewer Jews would be evacuated.

Israeli author Tom Segev quotes Zionist leader David Ben Gurion, first Prime Minister of Israel, as stating:

*"I was not well versed on matters of saving the Jews of Nazi-occupied Europe, even though I was chairman of the Jewish Agency. The heart of my activity was enlisting Jewry in the demand to establish a Jewish state."*¹⁷

Ben Gurion's attitude towards Hitler was that : *"We want Hitler to be destroyed, but as long as he exists, we are interested in exploiting that for the good of Palestine."*¹⁸

Segev states:

"Consequently the Zionists took action to ensure that Europe's Jewish refugees would come to Palestine rather than go elsewhere. On one occasion Ben Gurion told the [British] high commissioner that he would support the transfer of Poland's Jews to America or Argentina' despite our Zionist ideology', if such a step were possible. Nonetheless he saw other endeavours to help European Jews as harmful competition. Among the targets of his anger was the Joint Distribution Committee, the world-wide Jewish aid organisation that functioned independently of the Zionist movement." (Segev, 393-94).

When an international conference was convened in Evian, France, to discuss the problem of Jewish refugees, Ben Gurion warned that opening up other countries to Jewish refugees would weaken Zionist demands that they be evacuated to Palestine. (Segev, 394).

Citing Ben Gurion's Memoirs¹⁹, Segev quotes him as stating:

"If I knew that it was possible to save all the [Jewish] children in Germany by transporting them to England, but only half of them by transporting them to Palestine, I would choose the second – because we face not only the reckoning of those children, but the historical reckoning of the Jewish people." (Segev, 394).

This was in December 1938, just after the so-called *Crystal Night* anti-Jewish riots in Germany. Ben Gurion explained: ***"Like every Jew, I am interested in saving every Jew wherever possible, but nothing takes precedence over saving the Hebrew nation in its land."***²⁰

Segev states that the tendency of the Zionists was to see Jewish immigration as the means of establishing the Jewish state rather than as a means of rescuing Jews. Ben Gurion said that he would prefer young workers rather than old people or children; he wanted the children to be born in Palestine. Hence, during the 1930s most immigration permits were issued to young unmarried male 'pioneers'. While a small number of permits were allocated to children, the Jewish Agency stipulated that these should exclude retarded children.²¹

In 1936 a special fund was established in Palestine for the RETURN of incurably ill Jews to Europe, because they had become a 'burden' on the community and its social institutions.

However Europe's Jews were not enthusiastic about going to Palestine to establish a Jewish state. Even in Poland there were few takers for permits from the Jewish Agency.

¹⁷ Tom Segev, One complete Palestine, p 461, London, Abacus Books, 2002.

¹⁸ Quoted by Segev, p. 393.

¹⁹ Ben Gurion Memoirs, Vol. 5, p. 398.

²⁰ Ibid. p. 402.

²¹ Segev, p. 395, citing Report of the Immigration Dept. of the Jewish Agency 1937-39, Immigration of Unfit People.

Moshe Shertok of the Jewish Agency suggested creating a panic in Poland to encourage Jews to leave for Palestine. (Segev, 395).

Such an attitude would also explain why few Jews were accepted even into the USA even though Roosevelt was surrounded by powerful Zionist advisers such as Henry Morgenthau Jnr.²², Bernard Baruch and Felix Frankfurter, who suggested and shaped policy.

ZIONIST ATTITUDES TOWARDS ANTI-SEMITISM

Jacob Klatzkin, leading Zionist ideologue, editor of the official Zionist organ Die Welt, and co-editor of the Encyclopaedia Judaica, speaking of Russian anti-Semitism and the Pale of Settlement²³, stated:

*"The contribution of our enemies is in the continuance of Jewry in eastern Europe. One ought to appreciate the national service which the Pale of Settlement performed for us ... we ought to be thankful to our oppressors that they closed the gates of assimilation to us and took care that our people were concentrated and not dispersed."*²⁴

"Instead of establishing societies for defence against the anti-Semites who want to reduce our rights, we should establish societies for defence against our friends who desire to defend our rights."

At a meeting against anti-Semitism, called by the German Jewish communal body Centralverein, "Zionist and anti-Semitic hecklers took the same ground."²⁵

RABBI SEES ANTI-SEMITISM AS POSITIVE

*"Dan Cohn-Sherbok, a Reform rabbi, professor of Jewish Theology at the University of Wales ... has done something that many people will regard as extraordinary and others as downright irresponsible. He has written a book which claims that anti-Semitism has had positive effects. According to Cohn-Sherbok, this prejudice has "frequently led to the enrichment of the Jewish heritage", because by turning in on itself the community has reaffirmed its traditions. But then he goes further. Without it, he says, "Jews may not be able to withstand the pressures of the modern world." The "paradox of anti-Semitism" which forms the title of Cohn-Sherbok's book is that **"Jews need enemies in order to survive ... in the absence of Jew-hatred, Judaism is undergoing a slow death."***²⁶

And here's a gem from Jay Lefkowitz, Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy:

"Deep down, I believe that a little anti-Semitism is a good thing for the Jews - reminds us who we are."²⁷

²² Not to be confused with his father, who was avidly anti-Zionist, as quoted above.

²³ The Czarist prohibition against Jews from moving beyond a certain region of Russia

²⁴ 48. J Klatzkin Krisis und Entscheidung in Judentum, Berlin 1921, p118.

²⁵ DL Niewyk The Jews in Weimar Germany, Louisiana, p139, fn 68; citing Israelitisches Familienblatt June 3, 1920.

²⁶ The Independent (London), <http://enjoyment.independent.co.uk/books/features/article352243.ece>

²⁷ Jay Lefkowitz, New York Times Magazine, Feb.12, 1995. Page 65.

White House Press Release, : Office of the Press Secretary, January 31, 2002:

President Appoints Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy

President George W. Bush today announced that Jay Lefkowitz has been named Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy

ZIONIST STRATEGY IN PRACTISE

The following account by Zionist veteran Naeim Giladi should become widely known. It is a complete expose of the Zionist modus operandi in regards to anti-Semitism. [Emphasis added].

The Jews of Iraq by Naeim Giladi

The Link interviewed Naeim Giladi, a Jew from Iraq, for three hours on March 16, 1998, two days prior to his 69th birthday. For nearly two other delightful hours, we were treated to a multi-course Arabic meal prepared by his wife Rachael, who is also Iraqi. "It's our Arab culture," he said proudly.

In our previous Link, Israeli historian Ilan Pappé looked at the hundreds of thousands of indigenous Palestinians whose lives were uprooted to make room for foreigners who would come to populate confiscated land. Most were Ashkenazi Jews from Eastern Europe. But over half a million other Jews came from Islamic lands. Zionist propagandists claim that Israel "rescued" these Jews from their anti-Jewish, Muslim neighbors. One of those "rescued" Jews-Naeim Giladi-knows otherwise.

In his book, Ben Gurion's Scandals: How the Haganah & the Mossad Eliminated Jews, Giladi discusses the crimes committed by Zionists in their frenzy to import raw Jewish labor. Newly-vacated farmlands had to be plowed to provide food for the immigrants and the military ranks had to be filled with conscripts to defend the stolen lands. Mr. Giladi couldn't get his book published in Israel, and **even in the U.S. he discovered he could do so only if he used his own money.**

The Giladis, now U.S. citizens, live in New York City. By choice, they no longer hold Israeli citizenship. "I am Iraqi," he told us, "born in Iraq, my culture still Iraqi Arabic, my religion Jewish, my citizenship American."

John F. Mahoney
Executive Director, AMEU

THE JEWS OF IRAQ By Naeim Giladi

I write this article for the same reason I wrote my book: to tell the American people, and especially American Jews, **that Jews from Islamic lands did not emigrate willingly to Israel; that, to force them to leave, Jews killed Jews; and that, to buy time to confiscate ever more Arab lands, Jews on numerous occasions rejected genuine**

Mr. Lefkowitz will advise the President on a wide range of domestic policy issues and advise the domestic policy council, the Cabinet Council charged with developing policy initiatives and presenting them to the President. Mr. Lefkowitz has served as General Counsel at the Office of Management and Budget since March 2001. Before joining the Bush Administration, Mr. Lefkowitz was a partner in the Washington, D.C. office of the Kirkland & Ellis law firm, where he specialized in trial and appellate litigation. From 1991 to 1993, he served in the White House under former President Bush as Deputy Executive Secretary of the Domestic Policy Council and then as Director of Cabinet Affairs. In 1990, he was a public member of the U.S. Delegation to the United Nations Human Rights Commission in Geneva, Switzerland....

peace initiatives from their Arab neighbors. I write about what the first prime minister of Israel called "cruel Zionism." **I write about it because I was part of it.**

My Story

Of course I thought I knew it all back then. I was young, idealistic, and more than willing to put my life at risk for my convictions. It was 1947 and I wasn't quite 18 when the Iraqi authorities caught me for smuggling young Iraqi Jews like myself out of Iraq, into Iran, and then on to the Promised Land of the soon-to-be established Israel.

I was an Iraqi Jew in the Zionist underground. My Iraqi jailers did everything they could to extract the names of my co-conspirators. Fifty years later, pain still throbs in my right toe-a reminder of the day my captors used pliers to remove my toenails. On another occasion, they hauled me to the flat roof of the prison, stripped me bare on a frigid January day, then threw a bucket of cold water over me. I was left there, chained to the railing, for hours. But I never once considered giving them the information they wanted. I was a true believer.

My preoccupation during what I refer to as my "two years in hell" was with survival and escape. I had no interest then in the broad sweep of Jewish history in Iraq even though my family had been part of it right from the beginning. We were originally Haroons, a large and important family of the "Babylonian Diaspora." My ancestors had settled in Iraq more than 2,600 years ago-600 years before Christianity, and 1,200 years before Islam. I am descended from Jews who built the tomb of Yehezkel, a Jewish prophet of pre-biblical times. My town, where I was born in 1929, is Hillah, not far from the ancient site of Babylon.

The original Jews found Babylon, with its nourishing Tigris and Euphrates rivers, to be truly a land of milk, honey, abundance-and opportunity. Although Jews, like other minorities in what became Iraq, experienced periods of oppression and discrimination depending on the rulers of the period, their general trajectory over two and one-half millennia was upward. **Under the late Ottoman rule, for example, Jewish social and religious institutions, schools, and medical facilities flourished without outside interference, and Jews were prominent in government and business.**

As I sat there in my cell, unaware that a death sentence soon would be handed down against me, I could not have recounted any personal grievances that my family members would have lodged against the government or the Muslim majority. Our family had been treated well and had prospered, first as farmers with some 50,000 acres devoted to rice, dates and Arab horses. Then, with the Ottomans, we bought and purified gold that was shipped to Istanbul and turned into coinage. The Turks were responsible in fact for changing our name to reflect our occupation-we became Khalaschi, meaning "Makers of Pure."

I did not volunteer the information to my father that I had joined the Zionist underground. He found out several months before I was arrested when he saw me writing Hebrew and using words and expressions unfamiliar to him. He was even more surprised to learn that, yes, I had decided I would soon move to Israel myself. He was scornful. "You'll come back with your tail between your legs," he predicted.

About 125,000 Jews left Iraq for Israel in the late 1940s and into 1952, most because they had been lied to and put into a panic by what I came to learn were Zionist bombs. But my mother and father were among the 6,000 who did not go to Israel. Although physically I never did return to Iraq-that bridge had been burned in any event-my heart has made the journey there many, many times. My father had it right.

I was imprisoned at the military camp of Abu-Greib, about 7 miles from Baghdad. When the military court handed down my sentence of death by hanging, I had nothing to lose by attempting the escape I had been planning for many months.....

.... Later I made my way to the new state of Israel, arriving in May, 1950.

... Then, through the Jewish Agency, I was advised to go to al-Majdal (later renamed Ashkelon), an Arab town about 9 miles from Gaza, very close to the Mediterranean. The Israeli government planned to turn it into a farmers' city, so my farm background would be an asset there.

When I reported to the Labor Office in al-Majdal, they saw that I could read and write Arabic and Hebrew and they said that I could find a good-paying job with the Military Governor's office. The Arabs were under the authority of these Israeli Military Governors. A clerk handed me a bunch of forms in Arabic and Hebrew. **Now it dawned on me. Before Israel could establish its farmers' city, it had to rid al-Majdal of its indigenous Palestinians.** The forms were petitions to the United Nations Inspectors asking for transfer out of Israel to Gaza, which was under Egyptian control.

I read over the petition. In signing, the Palestinian would be saying that he was of sound mind and body and was making the request for transfer free of pressure or duress. Of course, there was no way that they would leave without being pressured to do so. These families had been there hundreds of years, as farmers, primitive artisans, weavers. The Military Governor prohibited them from pursuing their livelihoods, just penned them up until they lost hope of resuming their normal lives. That's when they signed to leave.

I was there and heard their grief. "Our hearts are in pain when we look at the orange trees that we planted with our own hands. Please let us go, let us give water to those trees. God will not be pleased with us if we leave His trees untended." I asked the Military Governor to give them relief, but he said, "No, we want them to leave."

I could no longer be part of this oppression and I left. **Those Palestinians who didn't sign up for transfers were taken by force-just put in trucks and dumped in Gaza.** About four thousand people were driven from al-Majdal in one way or another. The few who remained were collaborators with the Israeli authorities.....

I was disillusioned at what I found in the Promised Land, disillusioned personally, disillusioned at the institutionalized racism, disillusioned at what I was beginning to learn about Zionism's cruelties. **The principal interest Israel had in Jews from Islamic countries was as a supply of cheap labor, especially for the farm work that was beneath the urbanized Eastern European Jews. Ben Gurion needed the "Oriental" Jews to farm the thousands of acres of land left by Palestinians who were driven out by Israeli forces in 1948.**

And I began to find out about the barbaric methods used to rid the fledgling state of as many Palestinians as possible. The world recoils today at the thought of bacteriological warfare, but Israel was probably the first to actually use it in the Middle East. **In the 1948 war, Jewish forces would empty Arab villages of their populations, often by threats, sometimes by just gunning down a half-dozen unarmed Arabs as examples to the rest. To make sure the Arabs couldn't return to make a fresh life for themselves in these villages, the Israelis put typhus and dysentery bacteria into the water wells.**

Uri Mileshtin, an official historian for the Israeli Defense Force, has written and spoken about the use of bacteriological agents. **According to Mileshtin, Moshe Dayan, a division commander at the time, gave orders in 1948 to remove Arabs from their villages, bulldoze their homes, and render water wells unusable with typhus and dysentery bacteria.**

Acre was so situated that it could practically defend itself with one big gun, so the Haganah put bacteria into the spring that fed the town. The spring was called Capri and it ran from the north near a kibbutz. The Haganah put typhus bacteria into the water going to Acre, the people got sick, and the Jewish forces occupied Acre. This worked so well that they sent a Haganah division dressed as Arabs into Gaza,

where there were Egyptian forces, and the Egyptians caught them putting two cans of bacteria, typhus and dysentery, into the water supply in wanton disregard of the civilian population. "In war, there is no sentiment," one of the captured Haganah men was quoted as saying.

My activism in Israel began shortly after I received a letter from the Socialist/Zionist Party asking me to help with their Arabic newspaper. When I showed up at their offices at Central House in Tel Aviv, I asked around to see just where I should report. I showed the letter to a couple of people there and, without even looking at it, they would motion me away with the words, "Room No. 8." When I saw that they weren't even reading the letter, I inquired of several others. But the response was the same, "Room No. 8," with not a glance at the paper I put in front of them.

So I went to Room 8 and saw that it was the Department of Jews from Islamic Countries. I was disgusted and angry. Either I am a member of the party or I'm not. Do I have a different ideology or different politics because I am an Arab Jew? It's segregation, I thought, just like a Negroes' Department. I turned around and walked out. That was the start of my open protests. That same year I organized a demonstration in Ashkelon against Ben Gurion's racist policies and 10,000 people turned out.

There wasn't much opportunity for those of us who were second class citizens to do much about it when Israel was on a war footing with outside enemies. After the 1967 war, I was in the Army myself and served in the Sinai when there was continued fighting along the Suez Canal. But the cease-fire with Egypt in 1970 gave us our opening. We took to the streets and organized politically to demand equal rights. If it's our country, if we were expected to risk our lives in a border war, then we expected equal treatment....

With the Israeli invasion of Lebanon and the Israeli-condoned Sabra and Shatilla massacres, I had had enough of Israel. I became a United States citizen and made certain to revoke my Israeli citizenship. I could never have written and published my book in Israel, not with the censorship they would impose.

Even in America, I had great difficulty finding a publisher because many are subject to pressures of one kind or another from Israel and its friends. I ended up paying \$60,000 from my own pocket to publish Ben Gurion's Scandals: How the Haganah & the Mossad Eliminated Jews, virtually the entire proceeds from having sold my house in Israel.

I still was afraid that the printer would back out or that legal proceedings would be initiated to stop its publication, like the Israeli government did in an attempt to prevent former Mossad case officer Victor Ostrovsky from publishing his first book. Ben Gurion's Scandals had to be translated into English from two languages. I wrote in Hebrew when I was in Israel and hoped to publish the book there, and I wrote in Arabic when I was completing the book after coming to the U.S. But I was so worried that something would stop publication that I told the printer not to wait for the translations to be thoroughly checked and proofread. Now I realize that the publicity of a lawsuit would just have created a controversial interest in the book.

I am using bank vault storage for the valuable documents that back up what I have written. These documents, including some that I illegally copied from the archives at *Yad Vashem*, confirm what I saw myself, what I was told by other witnesses, and what reputable historians and others have written concerning the **Zionist bombings in Iraq, Arab peace overtures that were rebuffed, and incidents of violence and death inflicted by Jews on Jews in the cause of creating Israel.**

The Bombings of 1950-1951

...Following WW II, a succession of governments held brief power in Iraq. Zionist conquests in Palestine, particularly the massacre of Palestinians in the village of Deir Yassin, emboldened the anti-British movement in Iraq. When the Iraqi government signed a new treaty of friendship with London in January 1948, riots broke out all over the country. The treaty was quickly abandoned and Baghdad demanded removal of the British military mission that had run Iraq's army for 27 years.

Later in 1948, Baghdad sent an army detachment to Palestine to fight the Zionists, and when Israel declared independence in May, Iraq closed the pipeline that fed its oil to Haifa's refinery. Abd al-Ilah, however, was still regent and the British quisling, Nouri el-Said, was back as prime minister. I was in the Abu-Greib prison in 1948, where I would remain until my escape to Iran in September 1949.

Six months later-the exact date was March 19, 1950-a bomb went off at the **American Cultural Center and Library** in Baghdad, causing property damage and injuring a number of people. **The center was a favorite meeting place for young Jews.**

The first bomb thrown directly at Jews occurred on April 8, 1950, at 9:15 p.m. A car with three young passengers **hurled the grenade at Baghdad's El-Dar El-Bida Café, where Jews were celebrating Passover.** Four people were seriously injured. **That night leaflets were distributed calling on Jews to leave Iraq immediately.**

The next day, many Jews, most of them poor with nothing to lose, jammed emigration offices to renounce their citizenship and to apply for permission to leave for Israel. So many applied, in fact, that the police had to open registration offices in Jewish schools and synagogues.

On May 10, at 3 a.m., a **grenade was tossed in the direction of the display window of the Jewish-owned Beit-Lawi Automobile Company,** destroying part of the building. No casualties were reported.

On June 3, 1950, another **grenade was tossed from a speeding car in the El-Batawin area of Baghdad where most rich Jews and middle class Iraqis lived.** No one was hurt, but following the explosion Zionist activists sent telegrams to Israel requesting that the quota for immigration from Iraq be increased.

On June 5, at 2:30 a.m., a **bomb exploded next to the Jewish-owned Stanley Shashua building on El-Rashid street,** resulting in property damage but no casualties.

On January 14, 1951, at 7 p.m., a **grenade was thrown at a group of Jews outside the Masouda Shem-Tov Synagogue.** The explosive struck a high-voltage cable, electrocuting three Jews, one a young boy, Itzhak Elmacher, and wounding over 30 others. **Following the attack, the exodus of Jews jumped to between 600-700 per day.**

Zionist propagandists still maintain that the bombs in Iraq were set off by anti-Jewish Iraqis who wanted Jews out of their country. The terrible truth is that the grenades that killed and maimed Iraqi Jews and damaged their property were thrown by Zionist Jews.

Among the most important documents in my book, I believe, are copies of two leaflets published by the Zionist underground calling on Jews to leave Iraq. One is dated March 16, 1950, the other April 8, 1950.

The difference between these two is critical. Both indicate the date of publication, but only the April 8th leaflet notes the time of day: 4 p.m. Why the time of day? Such a specification was unprecedented. Even the investigating judge, Salaman El-Beit, found it suspicious. Did the 4 p.m. writers want an alibi for a bombing they knew would occur five hours later? If so, how did they know about the bombing? **The judge concluded they knew because a connection existed between the Zionist underground and the bomb throwers.**

This, too, was the conclusion of Wilbur Crane Eveland, a former senior officer in the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), whom I had the opportunity to meet in New

York in 1988. In his book, Ropes of Sand, whose publication the CIA opposed, Eveland writes:

“In attempts to portray the Iraqis as anti-American and to terrorize the Jews, the Zionists planted bombs in the U.S. Information Service library and in synagogues. Soon leaflets began to appear urging Jews to flee to Israel. . . Although the Iraqi police later provided our embassy with evidence to show that the synagogue and library bombings, as well as the anti-Jewish and anti-American leaflet campaigns, had been the work of an underground Zionist organization, most of the world believed reports that Arab terrorism had motivated the flight of the Iraqi Jews whom the Zionists had "rescued" really just in order to increase Israel's Jewish population.”

Eveland doesn't detail the evidence linking the Zionists to the attacks, but in my book I do. In 1955, for example, I organized in Israel a panel of Jewish attorneys of Iraqi origin to handle claims of Iraqi Jews who still had property in Iraq. **One well known attorney, who asked that I not give his name, confided in me that the laboratory tests in Iraq had confirmed that the anti-American leaflets found at the American Cultural Center bombing were typed on the same typewriter and duplicated on the same stenciling machine as the leaflets distributed by the Zionist movement just before the April 8th bombing.**

Tests also showed that the type of explosive used in the Beit-Lawi attack matched traces of explosives found in the suitcase of an Iraqi Jew by the name of Yosef Basri. Basri, a lawyer, together with Shalom Salih, a shoemaker, would be put on trial for the attacks in December 1951 and executed the following month. Both men were members of Hashura, the military arm of the Zionist underground. Salih ultimately confessed that he, Basri and a third man, Yosef Habaza, carried out the attacks.

By the time of the executions in January 1952, all but 6,000 of an estimated 125,000 Iraqi Jews had fled to Israel. Moreover, the pro-British, pro-Zionist puppet el-Said saw to it that all of their possessions were frozen, including their cash assets. (There were ways of getting Iraqi dinars out, but when the immigrants went to exchange them in Israel they found that the **Israeli government kept 50 percent of the value.**) Even those Iraqi Jews who had not registered to emigrate, but who happened to be abroad, faced loss of their nationality if they didn't return within a specified time. **An ancient, cultured, prosperous community had been uprooted and its people transplanted to a land dominated by East European Jews, whose culture was not only foreign but entirely hateful to them.**

The Ultimate Criminals

Zionist Leaders:

...In September 1949, Israel sent the spy Mordechai Ben-Porat, the one mentioned in Venom of the Zionist Viper, to Iraq. **One of the first things Ben-Porat did was to approach el-Said and promise him financial incentives to have a law enacted that would lift the citizenship of Iraqi Jews.**

Soon after, Zionist and Iraqi representatives began formulating a rough draft of the bill, according to the model dictated by Israel through its agents in Baghdad. The bill was passed by the Iraqi parliament in March 1950. It empowered the government to issue one-time exit visas to Jews wishing to leave the country. In March, the bombings began.

Sixteen years later, the Israeli magazine *Haolam Hazeh*, published by Uri Avnery, then a Knesset member, accused Ben-Porat of the Baghdad bombings.

Ben-Porat, who would become a Knesset member himself, denied the charge, but never sued the magazine for libel. And Iraqi Jews in Israel still call him Morad Abu al-Knabel, Mordechai of the Bombs.

As I said, all this went well beyond the comprehension of a teenager. I knew Jews were being killed and an organization existed that could lead us to the Promised Land. So I helped in the exodus to Israel. Later, on occasions, I would bump into some of these Iraqi Jews in Israel. Not infrequently they'd express the sentiment that they could kill me for what I had done.

Opportunities for Peace

...Ben Gurion told the world that Israel accepted the partition and the Arabs rejected it. Then Israel took half of the land that was promised to the Arab state. And still he was saying it was not enough. Israel needed more land. How can a country make peace with its neighbors if it wants to take their land? How can a country demand to be secure if it won't say what borders it will be satisfied with? For such a country, peace would be an inconvenience.

I know now that from the beginning many Arab leaders wanted to make peace with Israel, but Israel always refused. Ben Gurion covered this up with propaganda. He said that the Arabs wanted to drive Israel into the sea and he called Gamal Abdel Nasser the Hitler of the Middle East whose foremost intent was to destroy Israel. He wanted America and Great Britain to treat Nasser like a pariah.

In 1954, it seemed that America was getting less critical of Nasser. Then during a three-week period in July, several terrorist bombs were set off: at the United States Information Agency offices in Cairo and Alexandria, a British-owned theater, and the central post office in Cairo. An attempt to firebomb a cinema in Alexandria failed when the bomb went off in the pocket of one of the perpetrators. That led to the discovery that the terrorists were not anti-Western Egyptians, but were instead Israeli spies bent on souring the warming relationship between Egypt and the United States in what came to be known as the *Lavon Affair*....

Conclusion

Alexis de Tocqueville once observed that it is easier for the world to accept a simple lie than a complex truth. Certainly it has been easier for the world to accept the Zionist lie that Jews were evicted from Muslim lands because of anti-Semitism, and that Israelis, never the Arabs, were the pursuers of peace. The truth is far more discerning: bigger players on the world stage were pulling the strings.

These players, I believe, should be held accountable for their crimes, particularly when they willfully terrorized, dispossessed and killed innocent people on the altar of some ideological imperative.

I believe, too, that the descendants of these leaders have a moral responsibility to compensate the victims and their descendants, and to do so not just with reparations, but by setting the historical record straight.

That is why I established a panel of inquiry in Israel to seek reparations for Iraqi Jews who had been forced to leave behind their property and possessions in Iraq. ...

We Jews from Islamic lands did not leave our ancestral homes because of any natural enmity between Jews and Muslims. And we Arabs-I say Arab because that is the language my wife and I still speak at home-we Arabs on numerous occasions have sought peace with the State of the Jews. And finally, as a U.S. citizen and taxpayer, let me say that we Americans need to stop supporting racial discrimination in Israel and the cruel expropriation of lands in the West Bank, Gaza, South Lebanon and the Golan Heights.

[End of article].

The extent of Zionist audacity is indicated by the recently launched campaign to demand reparations from Iraq for the Jews who fled the Zionist contrived bombings. According to a "Jerusalem Report" appearing in the NZ Jewish Chronicle:

"Former Iraqi Jews are contemplating a class action suit to recover the value of property, lost when they left their native land in the 1950s, from funds frozen by the USA. "The claim will be based on the estimation that over 100,000 Jews were forced out of Iraq..."²⁸

THE CONTRIVED "NEO-NAZI REVIVAL" GERMANY 1959-1961

Over the years 1959-1961 what appeared to be a revival of neo-nazi anti-Semitic vandalism hit Germany and prompted similar incidents throughout the world. It transpired that the initial incidents were orchestrated by the *Stasi*, the secret police of communist East Germany as part of the ideological offensive against the West during the Cold War.

The East German orchestration was documented in a White Paper published by the Federal Republic of Germany following an investigation and arrest of the culprits. The White Paper was published in 1960.²⁹ That White Paper is available from this publisher. However, the following article appearing in 1995 provides a good summary and added insights.³⁰

EAST BERLIN OFFICIALS STAGED 'NEO-NAZISM' IN WEST GERMANY

EVER-VIGILANT American television, newspapers and magazines take care to play up outbursts of "hate," especially incidents against Jews. Nowhere is the danger of anti-Semitism more alarming than in Germany, we are constantly told.

As part of the ceaseless Holocaust campaign, the media treats anti-Jewish incidents in Germany as especially ominous. Because of Hitler and the Third Reich experience, we are repeatedly reminded, Germans are never quite trustworthy, and -- even after half a century -- they remain on parole. Jewish groups and their media allies closely "monitor" the country for any signs of resurgent "neo-Nazism." Anti-Jewish incidents in Germany, such as a wave of desecrations of Jewish cemeteries in the early 1960s, are highlighted, especially in the United States, as further proof that the Germans just can't be trusted.

In fact, many West German neo-Nazi and anti-Jewish incidents were actually staged by agents of the former East Berlin Communist regime, as the records of former East German government agencies reveal.

For decades, the long-hidden files show, East Berlin agencies organized "right wing extremist" and anti-Jewish actions in the West German federal republic. Even more remarkable, the two German Communist officials most responsible for these anti-Jewish actions were themselves Jewish: Markus Wolf, son of Jewish-Communist writer Friedrich Wolf, was for 33 years chief of East Germany's Ministry for State Security,

²⁸ *Ex-Iraqi Jews plan massive class-action suit for lost assets*, NZ Jewish Chronicle, July 2003, p. 6.

²⁹ The Anti-Semitic & Nazi Incidents from 25 Dec. 1959 until 28 Jan. 1960, White Paper of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, Bonn, 1960.

³⁰ Originally appearing in The Journal of Historical Review, November-December 1995.

the secret police agency known as the " Stasi ." He worked closely with Albert Norden, the chief of East Germany's propaganda machine and a member of the East Berlin Communist party Politburo. Norden was born in Upper Silesia, the son of a rabbi.

Details about how these Jewish Communist officials engineered "right wing" anti-Jewish incidents in western Germany are revealed by German-Jewish historian and university lecturer Dr. Michael Wolffsohn in his book Die Deutschland-Akte ("*The Germany File*"). He writes:

"The strategic head of the staged anti-Semitic actions was Albert Norden, a rabbi's son. This is documented in the records of the [East Berlin] Politburo."

At Wolf's direction, Stasi bureau XX/4 launched its anti-Semitic campaign, code-named "Operation Forget Me Not," on May 3, 1961, to coincide with the trial in Jerusalem of wartime SS officer Adolf Eichmann. Stasi specialists produced anti-Jewish pamphlets that were seemingly printed and distributed in West Germany by "right wing extremists." One supposedly published by the German Reich Party (DRP) appealed for donations on behalf of Eichmann. Although the DRP emphatically denied responsibility for the publication, the media rejected these denials as lies. As a consequence, the DRP was discredited as a credible political factor.

Another Stasi publication supposedly put out by the "Comrades of the Waffen SS" (and dated April 20, Hitler's birthday) declared: "International Jewry with its plutocracy spreads horror tales. Through the Eichmann trial the Jewish underworld seeks to besmirch our honor, which is our loyalty. This must stop." Stickers issued by the "Comrades of the Waffen SS" appeared in downtown Munich, setting off a flurry of alarmed newspaper headlines.

As part of another Stasi effort, this one coded "Operation J," phoney anti-Semitic hate letters were mailed to Jewish community centers and individual Jews throughout West Germany. One read: "Obviously you Jews have not yet grasped that you must disappear from Germany. Apparently it wasn't enough that we gassed six million of you." And: "Your extermination has only been interrupted. We know all of you." "We will avenge our comrade Eichmann. Germany awake!" Other Stasi produced letters to German Jews read simply: "You Jewish pigs!"

"Operation J" Stasi agents also manufactured protest letters," supposedly written by German Jews, that were mailed to prominent political and media figures. "Out of fear of the Nazis I must remain anonymous," declared the supposed writers of these unsigned letters.

SWASTIKA DAUBINGS

A wave of swastika daubings on buildings throughout West Germany in December 1959 and January 1960 was actually organized by the East German Stasi and its Soviet Russian counterpart, the KGB.

These incidents received intense media coverage around the world, touching off an international storm of anti-German propaganda and outraged cries about the supposed danger of "Neo-Nazism" in West Germany.

On this little-known chapter of history, the German-language Israeli daily paper Israel Nachrichten has reported:

"To serve its own purposes, the State Security [Ministry] infiltrated the right-wing scene in the [West German] Federal Republic. In December 1959 it gave the order to paint West German synagogues with swastikas. This created a scandal: Bonn was brought into disrepute. Two years later the Stasi generals hatched 'Operation Forget Me Not.' They manufactured anti-Jewish smear

sheets for distribution in West Germany. Nothing was left to chance. Even thinking ahead to the panic-stricken Jewish reaction, they produced letters in which formerly persecuted persons announced their flight from West Germany. This would prove to the entire world that only the [East] German Democratic Republic had overcome fascism. Accordingly, Pankow [East Berlin] represented the democratic Germany, while Bonn represented a brown [fascist] monster."

Jewish cemetery desecration incidents -- highlighted in newspapers and magazines around the world -- served to discredit the West German Federal Republic, and to bolster the "progressive" and "anti-fascist" image of the East Berlin regime.

Stasi agents continued their work into the 1970s and 1980s, Bonn government officials confirmed in 1991, infiltrating West German "neo-Nazi" groups and staging "right wing attacks."

The head of the "Federal Office for Constitutional Protection," Eckert Werthebach, confirmed in April 1991 that East Berlin agents had helped organize "neo-Nazi" activities during the 1960s and 1970s. Several neo-Nazi activists, he confirmed, had actually been Stasi agents. This was a "perverse connection," he said, "but when it involved destabilizing the Federal Republic, any means were valid for the Stasi ."

Sources

M. Fisher, "E. Germany Ran Antisemitic Campaign in West in '60s," *The Washington Post*, Feb. 28, 1993; Dr. B. Steidle, Hsg., *Verheimlichte Dokumente, Band 2* (Munich: 1995), pp. 371-372, 382, 383, 393; "Rabbinersohn steuerte Antisemitismus," *Deutsche National-Zeitung* (Munich), Dec. 8, 1995, p. 4; Dr. G. Frey, Hsg., *Vorsicht Fälschung* (Munich, 1994), pp. 434-435, 445.

[End of article].

.

Naturally the world's news media and sundry intellectuals went into a frenzy in their eagerness to parrot the Zionist and Communist party-lines. John Barron in his authoritative book The KGB – The World of the Soviet Secret Police states that the effect of anti-Semitic vandalism was tested when Gen. Ivan Agayants, head of the KGB's disinformation department, sent a team of KGB agent sot a village about fifty miles form Moscow.

"One night they smeared swastikas, kicked over tombstones, and painted anti-Jewish slogans, then escaped undetected. KGB agents stationed in the village to gauge the public reaction reported that most people were disturbed or frightened by the swastikas... Some weeks after this trial run in the Soviet village, the KGB began the operation, relying upon East Germans in West Germany and its own agents in other parts of the world..."

Similar incidents then suddenly occurred from Copenhagen to London, from New York to Melbourne and Buenos Aires. Although John Barron published his book in 1974, and the German White Paper was published in 1960, not much has been said about the Soviet instigation of the incidents.

Barron reports that the world-wide reaction was instant and uniformly disparaging of West Germany. American poet Carl Sandburg advocated the death penalty for anyone caught painting swastikas. British businessmen cancelled contracts for German products, German employees were fired, British newspaper expressed doubts as to whether West Germany should be a NATO partner. The New York Herald Tribune headlined an article: "Bonn unable to eliminate Nazi poison."...

NEO-NAZIS RECEIVE ZIONIST BACKING

Despite the rivalry that has historically existed between Zionism and Communism, the two movements have also often pursued the same strategies.

As we have seen, anti-Semitism has served the purposes of both communists and Zionists. Communists and Zionists, as part of this strategy, have backed neo-nazi groups, and at times without such backing these groups would not have survived. Two groups that served the Communist-Zionist agendas were the National Renaissance Party in the USA and the Canadian Nazi Party.

NATIONAL RENAISSANCE PARTY

The NRP was one of the first 'neo-nazi' groups to emerge after World War II and one of the longest enduring (1949-1979). It ended with the death of James H Madole, its courageous, sincere but eccentric and naïve leader.

In 1960 Joseph P Kamp, an American patriotic researcher and publisher, wrote Bigots Behind the Swastika Spree³¹ in response to the world-wide anti-Semitic activities that broke out in 1959.

Whilst the Soviets used the anti-Semitic incidents to undermine the West German Government as part of a Cold War strategy, the Zionists were pointing the finger not only at Germany, but at Egypt, claiming German Nazi veterans in the regime of Nasser's Egypt were the directors. Kamp cites a leading Danish newspaper Bertlingske Tidende as claiming that *"anti-Semitic activities through the world were being directed out of Cairo under the supervision of a German ex-Nazi on the staff of United Arab Republic President Nasser."*

The New York Post claimed that *"Nasser had 200 'die-hard Nazis' on his payroll and the Scripps-Howard papers said 'Nasser employed some 6249 Nazis."*

Israeli Justice Minister Pinchas Rosen stated that an "international organisation" possibly existing in Germany, was co-ordinating anti-Semitism throughout the world.

Kamp states that in early 1960 British Intelligence reported they had intercepted written instructions from Moscow explaining how Soviet agents throughout the world can use anti-Semitism to smear West Germany and undermine the NATO alliance.

Rabbi Prinz, president of the American Jewish Congress, a leading Zionist organisation, went to West Germany to lecture Government officials and present a report on anti-Semitism and "ultra-nationalism" in West Germany.

Benjamin R Epstein, director of the influential US-based Zionist organisation, the Anti-Defamation League, went to Germany to discuss anti-Semitism with West German officials. He declared that the Germans need to be re-educated with a "long range education program..."

Whilst there was this flurry of international activity among journalists, communists and Zionist organisations in response to the incidents in Germany, on 26 January 1960 three youths were jailed in New York after having allegedly shouted "Heil Hitler" at a rabbi, after the rabbi had approached the boys following a communist meeting protesting against anti-Semitism.

Ten days earlier three other youths had been arrested in New York for organising a "neo-nazi club". They were charged with disorderly conduct, amidst demands by the

³¹ Joseph P Kamp, Bigots Behind the Swastika Spree, NY, 1960. A copy is available from this publisher. Kamp was a well known authority on Left-wing subversion, having been active since the 1920s.

prosecutor that they should be charged with “treason”, with the possibility of a death penalty.

The leader of the three was a member of the National Renaissance Party, as were all three of those arrested on the 26th.

The NRP had its origins in a one-man effort by James Madole, which he called the Animist Party. Madole was contacted by Vladimir Stepankowsky who offered to fund Madole. Stepankowsky put Madole in contact with others, and meetings were held in Stepankowsky’s hotel in New York. Stepankowsky prepared Animist Party literature with an anti-Semitic emphasis.

Stepankowsky then organised a conference between the Animist Party and other anti-Communists. Stepankowsky even gained contact with three anti-Communist Congressmen, who were duly implicated in a “fascist plot” when the convention was exposed by the Anti-Defamation League (ADL).

Stepankowsky, the real founder of the NRP, America’s first and longest running “neo-nazi” group, was both a long-time communist agent and an agent for the Zionist Anti-Defamation League (ADL).

Kamp reveals that Stepankowsky was a prominent veteran Marxist who had edited a communist newspaper in London in 1905. In 1917 he was jailed with communist revolutionary leader Trotsky in Russia. He was later deported from England for communist activities. In 1933 he was identified as a Soviet agent by the French Ministry of War and deported to Switzerland. Here he became the head of what the Swiss secret service called the “Bolshevik Information Bureau” and was deported to Italy. He entered the USA illegally in 1936.

In the USA while writing for communist papers, he was employed by the ADL in 1937. In 1954 ex-Soviet spy Elizabeth Bentley exposed him as a Soviet agent. However, because he had influential friends via his association with the ADL, no action was taken.

Working with Stepankowsky to set up Madole and the NRP and implicate the three anti-Communist congressmen³² were Gordon Hall, aka Walker and Charles R Allen Jr.

Hall worked for the Friends of Democracy, at the time a division of the ADL. A congressional committee described the Friends of Democracy as “*one of the most vicious communist organisations ever set up in this country.*” It was led by Rex Stout, a former editor and co-owner of the US Communist Party publication New Masses.

Allen was an agent for both *Friends* and the ADL. He had written for Jewish Life, an organ of the US Communist Party, and had written books smearing the anti-Communist Senator Joe McCarthy.

Hence all three leading instigators of the USA’s first and most enduring nazi party were Left-wing agents for the powerful Zionist ADL. Without these it is doubtful that the NRP would have ever existed.

When Madole broke with Stepankowsky in 1948, having discovered his communist background, the Animist Party became dormant. Madole renamed it the NRP in 1949. One of the earliest supporters of Madole’s new NRP was Mana Truhill, who issued a crudely anti-Semitic bulletin without Madole’s approval.

Truhill, aka Emanuel Trujillo, was an agent for the Anti-Nazi League (ANL), another division of the ADL. Rabbi Stephen S Wise, the president of the American Jewish Congress, had founded the ANL in 1933. Truhill had studied communist strategy at the Communist Party’s Jefferson School of Social Science. He was funded by ADL functionary Sanford Griffith.

By 1954 Truhill was de facto head of the NRP. He was chief liaison with “nazis”, “nationalists” and “anti-Semites” throughout the world, and wrote the NRP’s anti-Semitic

³² The three congressmen were Cox; Wood, chairman of the House Committee on Un-American Activities, and Sen. W Lee O’Daniel.

literature, which was distributed via his world-wide contacts, and paid for by the ANL and ADL. He personally ensured that the NRP funds were replenished when short. Truhill became the first commander of the NRP's stormtroopers, which over the course of several decades were to become involved in frequent riots with Jews on the streets of New York City. It is interesting to note that the NRP never really extended beyond New York City, which has the USA's largest concentration of Jews. The NRP stormtroopers were equipped with nazi type brownshirts paid for via funds provided by the ADL and the ANL. They were thus the most provocative and visible of America's neo-nazis, in the midst of the USA's largest Jewish population centre, until the formation of Rockwell's American Nazi Party in 1959.

It was under Truhill's direction that the NRP used the swastika, whilst Madole's own preference was for the lightning bolt.

According to veteran nationalist H Keith Thomson, writing in an autobiographical series on his life as an "*American Fascist*" in Expose tabloid, it was Truhill as NRP international liaison officer, who would write to nationalist, right-wing and 'neo-nazi' organisations throughout the world attempting to draw extreme responses on questions relating to Jews. It was Truhill who would distribute anti-Semitic cartoons.

Other stalwart "nazis" who swelled the ranks of the NRP included Ruth Ross, a member of the Labour Youth League, a registered communist front; and Lawrence Sestito and Louis Mostaccio, both members of the ANL. Sestito reported directly to Arnold Foster, director of the ADL, and to the ADL's spy chief Sandy Griffith.

These were the fulltime workers for the NRP. There were other part-time helpers, including John Langord, who assisted at public meetings, an agent for the ADL and ANL. Langord had come from communist Poland on a diplomatic passport, being the son of a UN diplomat. Richard Hamel, an ADL agent, made anti-Semitic speeches for the NRP. Charley Smith, ADL agent, provided Madole with funds and advice. Even Sandy Griffith himself, aka Al Scheffer, the ADL spy chief, attended NRP strategy meetings to offer advice.

Griffith in his persona as Scheffer, met regularly with Frederick Weiss, a German immigrant who had served on the Kaiser's Staff during World War I, and was a central figure in the American Right. Weiss knew that Scheffer was with the ADL but sought a symbiotic relationship with the prospect of getting publicity for the NRP via the ADL's influence with the news media.

H Keith Thompson wrote of this ADL symbiosis in Expose:

*"When my activities were quiet, Sandy (Griffith) would give me a pep talk and some ideas on how to get them moving again. For a time even I was puzzled. While I had anticipated that ADL agents would appear as investigators, I was not yet aware that **the agents also acted as provocateurs and instigators – and are often the most dependable source of funds.***

"On other occasions, Sandy Griffith who liked the role of a sort of 'campaign manager' urged me into provocative anti-Semitism, but I would not take the bait, even when accompanied by a few respectable bank notes."³³

Despite the help with personnel and funding from the ADL and associated groups, the NRP remained obscure and failed to make an impact. Madole decided to stop holding public meetings. However Truhill came up with the idea of creating an NRP front to hold a series of meetings under the name of "*Patriots for McCarthy Organisation.*" These

³³ H Keith Thompson, Expose, Sept. 1954. Thompson was a leading figure in the American Right. He subsequently became a literary agent of note, chief contact man for German veterans such as Gen. Remer and Adolf von Leers, a mercenary in Rhodesia, and was the principal American colleague for the philosopher Yockey. He was not impressed with Madole's personality or approach to politics. (Correspondence with the writer).

meetings were not very successful either, but they gave the ADL the opportunity to smear Sen. Joseph McCarthy, who was exposing communist espionage and subversion in the USA.

The NRP remained on the verge of obliteration however. This would mean there would be no highly dramatic neo-nazi group by which the ADL could continue to scare Jews into providing funds for their 'self defence' against the imminent rise of anti-Semitism and to ensure their subservience to Zionism.

The ADL responded by prompting Sen. Velde of the House Committee on Un-American Activities (HCUA) into investigating supposed "hate groups". The focus was the NRP. Velde had not shown interest at first, but the power of the ADL and other Zionist organisations, acting through Edwin Lucas, chief counsel of the American Jewish Committee, was persuasive. The chief investigator for the HCUA and his staff dutifully showed up at the offices of the ADL where they were fed information on this supposed rise of neo-nazism and of 'hate groups.'

HCUA Chief Investigator Owens then set up his staff at the offices of the American Jewish Committee where Lucas supplied the congressional staffers with further phoney evidence.

This typical smear-mongering information supplied by the ADL and AJC formed the basis of the Velde committee's Preliminary Report on Neo-Fascist & Hate Groups.

The principal target of the report was the insignificant NRP. Congressman Francis Walter, who was due to take over the chairmanship of the HCUA, *"denounced the whole procedure today. He charged that the committee had held no hearing relating to the report and had not discussed the subject in executive hearings."*

The NRP had virtually ceased to exist, yet the ADL/AJC contrived congressional report farcically described the NRP as a "menace" whose *"activities would destroy the very foundation of the American Republic."*

On the day after the report the NY Times stated that its reporters had failed to find any trace of the NRP, nor had the local police and FBI.

The NRP was thereby brought back to life by a Zionist contrived publicity stunt using a congressional committee.

However, what scared the ADL and AJC was that the report called for investigation and prosecution of the NRP. Such an in-depth investigation would reveal the manner by which the ADL had birthed the NRP and sustained it. The ADL now urged the HCUA to ignore the NRP.

But the notoriety resuscitated the NRP, and it endured until Madole's death in 1979.

In 1959 the NRP was superseded by Lincoln Rockwell's openly American Nazi Party, Rockwell being more charismatic and adept at generating publicity.

CANADIAN NAZI PARTY

The Canadian Nazi Party (CNP), while short-lived, followed the same pattern as the NRP, and would not have existed without the support provided by the Canadian Jewish Congress.

The CNP, like the NRP, existed virtually as the one man band of John Beattie over the period 1965 to 1978.

Beattie was a regular speaker at Allen Gardens, Toronto, accompanied by a handful of youthful bodyguards. None of these attracted any attention until May 30, 1965, when 5000 demonstrators, agitated by communist and Zionist organisations, converged on the park to hunt and beat any 'nazi' they could find. On this one crucial occasion when Beattie sorely needed his bodyguards he was alone. This is significant, as I'll explain.

The day before the expected "Nazi rally," the Toronto Globe and Mail reported [May 31] that *"more than 30 Zionist and other Jewish organisations had met to plan a protest at the announced Nazi rally."* The result was a mob numbering 5,000, which converged on Allan Gardens. They included a faction estimated by the press at 500 who arrived at the park wielding bats.

Beattie, decked out as usual in swastika armband and uniform, was the only nazi who was beaten, although a preacher and a few out of town visitors somehow got mistaken as 'nazis' by the mob and were also beaten. Ironically, it was Beattie who was jailed for 6 months for 'public mischief'.

Beattie had been set up. There was nothing different about this regular speaking excursion to Allen Gardens other than that he was not accompanied by his usual handful of bodyguards. These bodyguards, the few who actually comprised the Canadian Nazi Party, had in fact been working for the Canadian Jewish Congress. Shortly after the riot, several of Beattie's former bodyguards informed the news media that they had infiltrated Beattie's "movement" as agents working for the Canadian Jewish Congress and for a Communist "anti-racist" front known as the "N-3" group. Three of Beattie's activists appeared as guests on the CBC Radio network's *"Don Simms Show"* on October 20.

Ronald Bottaro and John and Chris Dingle admitted to working for the Canadian Jewish Congress and the "N-3" group. The total membership of Beattie's "Nazi Party," they said, was ten; of whom perhaps three may have been genuine nazis.

The Rhodes Avenue home where the CNP's headquarters was located had been acquired with the help of the Canadian Jewish Congress and was chosen as the site because of its centrality where it could provoke maximum reaction³⁴, just as the NRP was centred in New York City. **Henrick Van Der Windt, an agent for the Canadian Jewish Congress, had made the nominal down payment on the house.**

The Toronto Telegram (June 25, 1965) reported on Van Der Windt:

"A man claiming to be an undercover agent for the Canadian Jewish Congress has penetrated the ranks of the Canadian Nazi Party.

Henrick Van Der Windt... was followed from a nazi meeting.... by two Telegram reporters.

Traced to his three story home.... Van Der Windt made no secret of his supposed connection with the Jewish Congress.

'I was first involved with the Canadian Unity Party³⁵ before the last war and worked for the Jewish Congress then too,' he said.

'...I don't get paid, they just pay my expenses', he said.

'...The Congress had got lots of good information for their money, but I don't care if it all stops right now', he said.

A top level official of the Congress, Sydney Harris, asked to confirm or deny Van Der Windt's claim, would say only 'no comment', last night.³⁶

It was around this time, 1965, that the Canadian Government appointed a seven man committee to investigate 'hate literature' and recommend action. It is of interest to note that debate on a 'Hate Speech Bill' in New Zealand was also prompted by the desecration of Jewish graves in 2004. The bill was only scotched because the Labour

³⁴ Presumably this means that it had a high concentration of Jewish residents.

³⁵ The National Unity Party, headed by a well-known Catholic journalist and editor Adrian Arcand, gained a considerable following in Quebec during the 1930s, which endured even after the war. The NUP program was based on Catholic social doctrine and the Corporatist State, although it adopted nazi trappings including the swastika for part of its long history.

³⁶ Emphasis added. Quoted in The Unholy Alliance, by Patrick Walsh, Canadian Intelligence Publications, 1986. Walsh was an undercover agent for the Royal Canadian Mounted Police who infiltrated Communist organisations. His publication, available from this publisher, exposes the communist and Zionist fomentation of anti-Semitism.

Government needed the support of the United Future Party, which feared that such a law would outlaw Christian opposition to homosexuality, as it has overseas.

In the year 2000 Beattie was lined up to appear as a witness at a 'human rights' (sic) hearing against German-Canadian activist Ernst Zundel³⁷, who had spent many years questioning libel against the Germans and was (and is) pilloried by Zionists for this. The Canadian Association for Free Expression, whose organiser, Paul Fromm, has acted for Zundel in legal matters, wrote of Beattie's impending appearance:

“John Beattie to Expose the Nazi Party That Never Was

Monday at 2:00 p.m. William John Beattie, the former leader of the Canadian Nazi Party, will present shocking testimony to a Canadian Human Rights Tribunal inquiring into "hate" charges against Toronto publisher Ernst Zundel for a site called the Zundelsite, located in California and owned and operated by a U.S. citizen.

In the heady spring of 1965, a 23-year old Torontonian John Beattie was on the front page of most Toronto newspapers, his every comment headline news.

Beattie will reveal that he was a dupe and a patsy, that everything from his group's name to its major activities was suggested or quarterbacked by persons acting as agents for or reporting to the Canadian Jewish Congress. Uncannily, at the very time that the Canadian Nazi Party was being built up and just as quickly destroyed a government committee was holding hearings to propose anti-hate legislation. The Cohen Committee made significant mention of the threat posed by John Beattie. The Canadian Jewish Congress, which largely created the short-lived Canadian Nazi Party, had, since the 1930s been lobbying for restrictions on freedom of speech.

Beattie will reveal how an agent for the Canadian Jewish Congress lured him into a technical breach of the law, which landed the now unemployed, penniless Nazi leader in prison for six months. Beattie will also expose the fact that the same agent proposed legal maneuvers that were calculated to frighten and cause distress among Jews, thus heightening the "Nazi" menace, which was used as the argument for the 1971 "hate law" (Section 319 of the Criminal Code) and the subsequent section 13.1 (telephonic communication of hate) of the Canadian Human Rights Act, where truth is no defence.

*Beattie is one of a number of witnesses being called by the Canadian Association for Free Expression, Canada's foremost free speech group, in its role as an intervenor in these proceedings.*³⁸

DESECRATION OF GRAVES – NEW ZEALAND 2004 JUSTIFICATION FOR A "HATE SPEECH BILL"

As we have seen, "anti-Semitism" has historically proved the impetus for so-called 'race relations' and 'hate speech' legislation aimed at repressing any signs of patriotic

³⁷ Ernst Zundel, after years of attempts at prosecution against him under various guises, physical attacks and the bombing of his house, moved to the USA and married a US citizen, who ran the Zundelsite, a website dedicated to questioning aspects of World War II allied propaganda. However, the long arm of Zionism was able to invent a bogus immigration charge, deport Zundel back to Canada and in turn have him deported to Germany where laws could finally be enacted against him. Zundel is currently on trial in Germany.

³⁸ Canadian Assn. For Free Expression, Press Release, November 26, 2000. Unfortunately, Beattie failed to appear.

resurgence among Western nations. In recent years these repressive measures have been extended to repress any reassertion of traditional Christianity. A so-called 'hate law' was enacted in Canada in 1971 on the pretext of a non-existent 'neo-nazi threat'. The Soviet-contrived swastika vandalism of 1959-60 had provided the single most significant impetus for such legislation in a number of countries at the time. A booklet published in 1965 by the British Section of the Zionist 'World Jewish Congress' confirmed the inspiration, stating:

"In some cases – Austria, Germany, Norway – existing legislation has been supplemented as a direct result of the swastika-daubing outbreak of the winter of 1959-60".³⁹

The Soviet-contrived swastika daubings had also laid the foundation for the introduction of British Race Relations Act in 1965. The National Council for Civil Liberties had been campaigning for such laws. Barry Cox writing in Civil Liberties in Britain, states of this:

"Just at the moment when the NCCL was providing this vital intellectual muscle in the race legislation debate, a sudden spate of swastika daubings over Christmas 1959 and the New Year reinforced the wider public consciousness of the incitement problems. These swung the Jewish lobbies behind the incitement bill campaign..."⁴⁰

New Zealand introduced a similar Act in 1971 without any necessity as to the local situation. The sections on 'incitement' have subsequently been watered down as too subjective. This, and the rise of the homosexual lobby, has resulted in calls for a 'hate speech bill' over the past few years which encompasses outlawing of criticism of homosexuality. Such legislation has resulted in prosecution of pastors overseas for criticising homosexual agendas, and was on this account blocked by the United Future Party. Again this push for laws against so-called 'hate speech' has in New Zealand, as overseas, been given impetus by a few anti-Semitic incidents of varying magnitude, with self-styled neo-nazis obliging the Zionist, multicultural and anti-nationalist agendas.

2004 DESECRATIONS

The worst of the incidents involved widespread vandalism against Jewish graves in Wellington in July and August 2004. The two incidents provided justification for wide-ranging actions, statements and policies that are ongoing.

The first attacks involved 16 Jewish headstones in Wellington's Bolton Street Memorial Park. The second attack, at Makara cemetery, involved 92 Jewish headstones and a Jewish chapel. On both occasions swastikas were marked into the grounds.⁴¹

The attacks occurred precisely at time when:

- The NZ National Front was making headlines and causing Leftist frenzy as it attempted to assert itself as a political party rather than as a skinhead social club (or 'gang' as some would prefer to call it).⁴²
- Two Israelis, accused of being Mossad agents, were tried and jailed in NZ for passport fraud. Two others had apparently escaped justice.

³⁹ Dr F L Brassloff, Dr Natan Lerner, Legal Curbs on Racial Incitement, British Section, World Jewish Congress, London, 2nd ed., 1965.

⁴⁰ Barry Cox, Civil Liberties in Britain, England, Penguin, 1975.

⁴¹ *Jewish grave vandalism linked to 'spy' jailings*, Dominion Post, July 17, 2004). *Shame – vandals wreak havoc in Jewish cemetery*, Dominion Post, Aug. 7-8, 2004.

⁴² The NZNF at that time led by Kyle Chapman was at least the fourth version of a 'National Front' in NZ since the late 1960s.

The grave attacks provided further ammunition against the NZ National Front as the organisation was linked to the attacks by both the extreme Left and the Zionists.

The graveyard vandalism was exploited by Leftists and Zionists to organise a meeting in Newtown ostensibly 'against racism' but in reality aimed at the NZNF. The meeting was organised by anarchists with the view of violently opposing an NF pro-flag rally that was planned for October. According to a report in the Dominion Post, "*four friends angered at the desecration of Jewish graves... have organised a public meeting to fight racism... urging people to stand up against racism and particularly against the National Front which they blamed for inciting hatred that led to yesterday's incident.*"⁴³

Although there was no evidence linking the NF with the desecration, nor any statements or actions that show the NF had incited, encouraged or approved of any such mindless actions, the accusation was repeated by Israel's honorary consul, David Zwartz, who described the vandalism as "*having the hallmarks of a National Front-style protest.*"⁴⁴

The resulting 'anti-racist meeting' was much more than a spontaneous action of 'four friends' outraged by the graveyard vandalism. It was a well-organised campaign instigated by a variety of communist and anarchist groups.

HELEN CLARK – ANTI-SEMITE?

However, the NZNF was not the only culprit responsible for the desecration according to the Zionists. Equally culpable was no less than Helen Clark, NZ Prime Minister, for her having been so anti-Semitic and insensitive as to having rebuked Israel for sending its Mossad agents here to set up a fraudulent passport operation.

*"The first' attack happened just hours after the jailing of Israeli spies Eli Cara and Uriel Kelman and was linked to their sentencing by NZ Jewish Council chairman David Zwartz..."*⁴⁵

"Mr Zwartz, a city councillor, said Prime Minister Helen Clark's strong criticism of Israel had encouraged the attack. 'It has given mindless people an excuse to express their views in a thoughtless way'."⁴⁶

"Experience showed that, when governments made disproportionate threats against Israel, anti-Semitic attacks inevitable followed, he said."

The Zionists, headed in NZ by Mr Zwartz, viewed the condemnation of spy actions within NZ as 'a disproportionate threat against Israel...'

The NZ Jewish Chronicle, the Zionist organ, editorialised,

*"It is difficult to believe that Prime Minister Helen Clark's criticism of, and sanctions against, Israel are not related to the desecration of graves at the Historic Bolton Street cemetery which happened only a few hours after she made her announcement public... It is not surprising, then, that the anti-social racists in our society have taken encouragement from her words..."*⁴⁷

As expected these very rare episodes of extreme anti-Semitism in New Zealand, possibly the second and third of their kind in our history, were played to the hilt by the

⁴³ "*Meeting to fight racism*", Dominion Post, Aug. 7-8, 2004. The organisation that emerged from the meeting was Multicultural Aotearoa, an ad hoc organisation apparently formed for no other purpose than violently opposing the NF rally. As this writer tried to warn, those behind the organisation were violent anarchists and communists, and the outcome was indeed a riot instigated by the Left. The Dominion Post provided favourable publicity to the extreme Left throughout. (See this writer's booklet Red Alert, for documentation on this).

⁴⁴ *Grave desecration investigation stalls*, Dominion Post, July 21, 2004.

⁴⁵ *Ibid.*

⁴⁶ Dom. Post, July 17, op.cit.

⁴⁷ *Revealing the racists among us*, NZJC, Aug. 2004.

Zionists in proving the basis of their ideology: that anti-Semitism, even in tolerant and liberal New Zealand, is pervasive and cannot be eradicated. The only option is for Jews to keep within the Zionist fold for their own safety, lest one day New Zealanders start pogroms. The NZJC editorial continued: *“Not much encouragement was required to expose the racists among us...”*

The NZJC editorial writer then made comparisons with *Hamas*. The desecrations, it was stated, were the result of the NZ Government’s supposedly pro-Palestine attitudes. An alleged wave of world-wide anti-Semitism had reached NZ:

“...Many Jews around the world feel unsafe. New Zealand was an exception. That is, until the night of July 15, 2004. Now we must question that premise...”

These two mindless acts had the effect of:

- Providing rationalisation for a violent riot against a peaceful pro-Flag NF rally.
- Distracting public attention from the criminal actions of Mossad in NZ.
- Justifying the key Zionist strategy of equating criticism of Israel with anti-Semitism, including the most sociopathic of actions.
- Giving superficial credence to the other key Zionist strategy: that of keeping Jewish communities in a state of constant paranoia regarding latent and pervasive anti-Semitism.
- Justifying the denial of entry to historian David Irving who had been invited to speak to the NZ Press Club.
- Instigating demands for a Hate Speech bill that would curb criticism of certain religious and minority groups, and provide legal sanctions against clergy condemning homosexuality on Biblical grounds. The bill was only scotched by opposition from the Christina-orientated United Future Party, whose support the Labour Government required.
- Providing the foundation for a large range of seminars, proposals and propaganda aimed at promoting multicultural immigration agendas.

While it is credible that the initial attack on 16 Jewish gravestones was the work of self-styled anti-Semitic sociopaths, the second action involving a massive 92 headstones gives pause for further consideration. Such an undertaking in time and effort must have been no small feat in planning and execution, and I would suggest beyond the capabilities of a couple of half-wits. There had been dirty tricks initiated by the Left against the NZNF around this time, such as the production of a bogus poster claiming to have been issued by the NF, on the apparently vital issue of Asian food. It was a spoof designed to make the NF look ridiculous, just as the Left had made many other bogus claims.⁴⁸ The Zionists and the extreme Left had around this time converged, despite the misgivings of the pro-Palestinian faction about associating with David Zwartz.

SOMETHING A BIT DODGY

Just a few days before the NF rally on 23 Oct., the Dominion Post ran an article on a mysterious film crew allegedly making a documentary on the grave desecrations, who proceeded to approach council staff and ‘give the impression’ that they were involved with the National Front.

The Post article stated that Wellington council staff contacted police after several men were seen filming the broken graves at the Bolton Street cemetery. Two of the park staff approached ‘3 or 4 men’ filming the smashed graves.

⁴⁸ For e.g. one communist leaflet written by Grant Brooke distributed at the Newtown ‘anti-racism meeting’ against the NF, claimed that ‘euthanasia’ was an NF policy and that the NF was ‘anti-union’ a common theme of the Left against the Right. The leaflet (Never Again) called for violent action against the Right.

“The men tried to interview the staff and gave the impression they were involved with the right-wing group the National Front.”

“The staff who went over and asked these guys what was going on certainly came away with the impression that there was some kind of NF sympathies at least involved”, according to a council spokesman.

“The men left without supplying a good reason for filming. ‘They were being vague about what they were doing. They certainly weren’t a legitimate film crew from a mainstream company or anything like that.’”⁴⁹

If police and journalists are too stupid or inept to consider that there might be something not quite right about this scenario, then it is little wonder the culprits haven't been caught. Didn't it strike any intrepid journalist (if any such species still exists) or sleuth that bringing attention to oneself and one's supposed organisation in connection with the desecrations is just a tad implausible? From what the report indicates, it seems apparent that the bogus documentary makers were at great pains to appear specifically as 'right-wingers' of some kind. As the NF's national secretary at the time, this writer would also have expected to have heard something in regard to a planned filming of the graves.

The possibility of dirty tricks and wider agendas beyond the initial attack of 16 graves is surely more plausible than the wacky conspiracy theory peddled by the Israeli Ambassador Yaakov Morris, back around ca. 1980 when Jewish graves were vandalised in Karori cemetery. Mr Morris theorised in Truth tabloid that the vandalism (including the painted slogan “Six million was not **enough** [sic]” with appropriately wrong spelling just to emphasise the ignorance of 'anti-Semites'), was the work of a coterie of extreme Left anti-Zionists, elderly veterans from the British Palestine Police, British National Front immigrants direct from England and home-grown nazis. That desecration incidentally took at the time West Germany was debating the statute of limitations on nazi war criminals.

WANGANUI DESECRATIONS

At the time a certain Nic Miller, a young self-styled neo-nazi and uncompromising anti-Semite, had made a name for himself for baiting the Left on internet forums, and for alleged involvement in the assault on several drunken Somalis who had accosted and assaulted him at Newtown while he was walking alone. The incident also became a cause celebre of the extreme Left, despite Miller being the victim⁵⁰.

Whilst awaiting trial he was put on curfew and was obliged to reside in Wanganui. By chance, at the time of Mr Millers' presence in the city, a headstone honouring fourteen Jews at the old cemetery was smashed and painted with red swastikas.

Again the timing was very convenient... again the “Right” was held responsible.⁵¹

All these incidents also came at a time when David Irving, the so-called 'Holocaust denier' was causing a furore after being forbidden entry into New Zealand at the invitation of the NZ Press Club. Speaking at a service at the site of the Bolton Street vandalism, Zwartz addressed the crowd, and specifically Marion Hobbs, Minister of Biosecurity, challenging the Government to keep Irving out:

“I would hope that NZ shows as much concern for live Jews as you have shown for dead ones.”⁵²

⁴⁹ *Men seen filming desecrated graves*, Dominion Post, Oct. 18, 2004.

⁵⁰ Miller was acquitted after two trials.

⁵¹ E.g. Oct. 2006 leaflet/poster called “Smash Fascism”, issued by Wellington anarchists, holding the NF responsible for the desecration amongst sundry other allegations.

⁵² *Irving access denied – compared to biosecurity hazard*. NZJC Aug. 2004.

The Zionists considered Irving, not surprisingly, as more of a risk to New Zealand security than Mossad spies.

FAR REACHING RAMIFICATIONS

The two incidents have been cynically exploited to maximum advantage by Zionists and by those with multicultural agendas. They have provided a major prop for social engineering. Among the programmes arising directly from the desecrations are the following:

- A Parliamentary motion against 'anti-Semitism' passed unanimously in October 2004. The Zionists, including the Israeli Government, acted self-righteously in congratulating New Zealand for making this move, in the very wake of the Israeli spy scandal. The NZ Jewish Chronicle reported that the Israeli Government said that NZ had made 'all the right moves.' Particularly encouraging was a dialogue opened up between the NZ Government and Zwartz, as honorary Israeli consul, following Prime Minister Clark's so-called '*blistering attack against Israel.*' The report states that '*Israeli officials and Zwartz have drawn a connection between [the NZ Government's] blistering attacks on Israel, and the fact that the first grave desecration took place less than 24 hours after the public upbraiding of Israel.*' An Israeli official was cited as stating that Clark had given '*legitimacy to act to those who are anti-Semitic...*'⁵³

By making the parliamentary declaration, NZ had gone some way toward redeeming itself before Zionism for having insensitively objected to the presence of spies involved in passport fraud.

- The creation of the *NZ Diversity Forum* to push for multicultural indoctrination at all levels, by implementing a 10 point Diversity Programme. The facilitator of the Forum is Race Relations Commissioner Joris de Bres. A focus of the campaign is youth and the inculcation of 'diversity' indoctrination into school curricula. Further areas include the Treaty of Waitangi, central and local government funding for multicultural events, garnering support from businesses, and the creation of a 'national centre of diversity research, education and advocacy'.⁵⁴

The 2005 conference of the Forum issued a proposal for the development of a 'national statement on religious diversity to encompass every faith in NZ'.⁵⁵ What the effect of this means is that traditional Christianity, i.e. any denomination not watered down to banality by liberalism, will be placed on the defensive, as per the intent of the Hate Speech bill. Will atheists or anyone else be condemned from criticising Christianity? Or will the effect be all one-way?

- Research projects.
 *"The Human right commission states of the desecrations:
 Research - The Jewish Cemetery Desecrations, Reactions One Year On:
 CACR
 The desecration of Jewish graves in Wellington cemeteries in 2004 attracted worldwide media coverage.
 The New Zealand Parliament unanimously passed a resolution deploring these acts, expressing unequivocal condemnation of anti-semitism and all forms of racial and ethnic hatred, persecution and discrimination.*

⁵³ NZ Condemnation welcomed by Knesset, NZJC, Oct. 2004.

⁵⁴ PM asked to back Forum's programme, NZJC, Oct. 2004.

⁵⁵ Pot simmers but fails to melt, Dominion Post, Aug. 26, 2005

*Research is underway to study reactions to the Jewish cemetery desecrations one year on from these events.*⁵⁶

A Jewish academic from Victoria University is using the desecrations as the basis for a research project into anti-Semitism in New Zealand. Please note in the following how one of the questions being put to New Zealand Jews suggests such actions, which are a rarity here, as a defining point in Jewish identity:

Research: The Jewish Cemetery Desecrations, Reactions One Year On
Investigators:

Principal Investigator : Dr Hal B Levine: Senior Lecturer (Anthropology), Victoria University of Wellington; Associate, Centre for Applied Cross-cultural Research: e-mail ; hal.levine@vuw.ac.nz ; (04) 463 6132.
Researcher: Michelle Gezentsvey

Objectives of the project

- *To document an important event for New Zealand and its Jewish community.*
- *To interview members of the Jewish Community in Wellington, and others involved in reacting to the desecration of the local Jewish cemeteries in 2004.*

Topics covered:

Interviewees are invited to take part in a study of reactions to the Jewish cemetery desecrations one year after the events. In addition to the personal views of the interviewees, researchers are interested in responses to the following questions:

- ***To what extent has your sense of being Jewish in New Zealand been influenced by the desecrations?***⁵⁷
- *Did the first attack in the Botanical gardens have a different meaning to you than the second one at Makara?*
- *What are your thoughts about the actions taken by the New Zealand government, local Jewish organisations and individuals, NGOs, the wider New Zealand community and Israel in regard to the attacks?*
- ***Has the recent rapprochement between New Zealand and Israel defused the situation?***

Recording and Storage of Interview Transcripts

- *Interviews will be taped and transcribed at the Victoria University of Wellington.*
- *All data will be stored at Victoria University of Wellington until the material has been transcribed.*
- *No material that could personally identify interviewees will be used in any reports on this study without the expressed permission of the interviewee.*

*With the permission of the interviewees, the tapes and transcripts will be deposited in the Jewish Archives, Webb Street Wellington.*⁵⁸

The research project seems to be one of Zionist orientation, as indicated by the depositing of the material at the Jewish Archives at Webb Street, and one intended to equate a mythic “anti-Semitism in NZ” with the identity of NZ Jews; i.e. a Zionist identity.

⁵⁶ Human Rights Commission.

⁵⁷ Emphasis added.

⁵⁸ Centre for Applied Cross Cultural Research.

KEEPING NZ JEWRY IN THE ZIONIST FOLD

As has been noted, Zionism is based on the premise that Jews cannot and should not owe allegiance to the nations in which they are resident. Their first commitment is to Zionism and to Israel. Anti-Semites play into Zionist hands, as we have seen; hence the historical alliance between Zionists and anti-Semites including the Nazis. The Nazis conveniently confirmed the Zionist premise that even a Jewish community as patriotic and as assimilated as German Jewry would eventually fall victim to the supposedly untreatable disease of Gentile anti-Semitism.

Therefore Jews who are nationalistic towards their countries of residence other than Israel are pilloried, excommunicated, smeared, threatened and assaulted by Zionists. For e.g. when rabbi Nachum Shifren broke ranks with the Zionists of the American Jewish Congress and the supposedly 'conservative' American Jewish Committee and supported the conservative commentator Pat Buchanan for the 2000 presidential race, he was vilified by Zionists and 'excommunicated' by a self-appointed Supreme Rabbinical Court of America.⁵⁹ The rabid Jewish Defense League founded by the extremist rabbi Meir Kahane, labelled Shifren a "Jewish traitor" in a statement of June 17-18, 2000.

Anti-Semites who condemn these Jews simply because of their descent assist Zionists in this assault. Hence, even anti-Zionist Jews are hated by the anti-Semites, the ever-willing tools of Zionism. For e.g. if Jews are permitted to join the ranks of nationalist groups anti-Semites immediately exclaim that those groups are sell-outs or working for the Jews, and are labelled "kosher conservatives". The Jewish supporters are considered to be spies or provocateurs secretly working for Zionist interests, and 'infiltrating' patriotic movements' for nefarious motives.

An e.g. of this in New Zealand is the so-called anti-Jewish 'black list' published by self-styled neo-nazi Nic Miller, whose list of Jewish targets included Prof. Munz, an outspoken opponent of Israel and Zionism.⁶⁰

On the other side of the coin came Israeli Hagai Segal to NZ, advising that Jews should eschew any nationalist sentiments, other than those towards Israel. He told Wellington Jews that anti-Semitism motivates campaigns such as those in favour of a national flag or of limiting immigration:

"So persuasive are these parties and their messages so obscure, that when Jean-Marie Le Pen⁶¹ ran for president of France (and came second) many Jews voted for him, and a Jewish woman from a UK far right party⁶² has been elected as a local councillor."⁶³

ZIONIST DUAL STRATEGY

⁵⁹ Writ of Excommunication: Rabbi N Shifren, Supreme Rabbinical Council of America. See this writer's Israel Reconsidered: should conservatives support Zionism?. An historical precedent was the joint Zionist statement by all the major Zionist organisations in the USA in 1954, against Rabbi Benjamin Schultz, head of the American Jewish League Against Communism, who supported the courageous anti-Communist Senator Joe McCarthy. The rabbi was condemned as having 'repeatedly embarrassed and offended most American Jews.' (The Jewish Post, Dec. 31, 1954). See Israel Reconsider.

⁶⁰ Mr Miller's website "Red Watch" is itself a reaction to the gutter level smear-mongering by the extreme Left against Miller and others, and the antics of a local Zionist-anarchist lie-monger and wannabe JDL-type thug. Unfortunately, Miller has fallen for the bait all too readily and expressed his hatred of all Jews, including exemplary individuals such as Prof. Munz.

⁶¹ Head of the French National Front.

⁶² British National Party. In the British National Party, the orthodox Jew Albert Alder had long been honoured as a political adviser. An American rabbi is one of the leaders of the British based "Third Way" movement.

⁶³ *Powerful lectures of anti-Semitism and Israel*, NZJC, Jan. 2004/05.

While Zionists wage an incessant war against any manifestation of nationalism and religious or cultural tradition among Gentiles, their doctrine is based around the concepts of ethnic superiority, and the maintenance of Israel as an expansionist, theological and separatist State.

Zionists, as we have seen, promote multiculturalism and pluralism among Gentile nations, while maintaining the opposite policy within Jewry and within Israel.

Thus in 2004 when mainly Somali Muslims in Wellington began receiving pieces of pork along with abusive letters through the mail, the Zionists were first on the scene proclaiming their friendship with local Muslims. The duped Somalis, the Zionists and the lunatic Left clamoured in tandem that it was yet another Right-wing conspiracy, this time made to look as though the abusive mail had come from Jews, in an effort to sow discord among Somalis and Jews. What transpired was not a Right-wing conspiracy, but a lone religious fanatic with a hatred of Islam and a staunchly 'Christian-Zionist, pro-Israel viewpoint. It was then a matter of 'nuff said...' Perhaps the Right could have responded that the perpetrator was influenced by Zionist hate propaganda?

ZIONISTS PROMOTE PLURALIST AND MELTING POT DOCTRINES

The academic Horace Killen, regarded as the '*father of cultural pluralism*' was also the head of a Zionist secret society called *Parushim*, Hebrew for 'separatist', who said that his society would quietly 'infect' (sic) rather than use noise and force. His seminal works on *cultural pluralism* shaped the USA and the entire West in regard to ethnic relations.

Playwright Israel Zangwill's influential 1908 play The Melting Pot provided a major propaganda arsenal for the concept of ethnic amalgamation, whilst Zangwill himself was an avid Zionist promoting colonisation of Palestine.

Another major propaganda tool was the poem of Emma Lazarus, whose words from her New Colossus, "*Give me your tired, your poor, your huddled masses...*" adorn the *Statue of Liberty* as the virtual ethos of America. Lazarus was also an early proponent of Zionist colonisation of Palestine.

DO AS I SAY, NOT AS I DO

But the example of the dual Zionist strategy has perhaps been best illustrated by Isi Leibler, onetime president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry, who is now a resident of Israel and is a co-chairman of the World Jewish Congress.

In Australia Mr Leibler was an avid proponent of multiculturalism – for Australia – and an opponent of any manifestation of Australian patriotism, particularly that of the then growing One Nation Party of Pauline Hanson.

Of Israel Mr Leibler stated that: "*This is a country which was set up and created as a Jewish country for the Jews.*"

Of multiculturalism he states: "*Multiculturalism has no place in Israel. Israel was created as a Jewish state for the Jews.*"

In an essay written for the WJC, published on its website, he decries intermarriage between Jews and non-Jews as a kind of racial suicide, and likens assimilation (i.e. the 'melting pot' popularised by Zangwill and Lazarus and perpetrated still by major Zionist organisations) with Gentiles as a slow bleeding to death of the Jewish people. He states that the focus of Israeli policy should be to sharpen and intensify Jewish identity and that even giving up occupied territory is acceptable if it means getting rid of non-Jews and making Israel a more nearly all-Jewish state.⁶⁴

On the other hand, Leibler when head of the Australian Zionists had a very different message for Australia:

⁶⁴ Isi Leibler, Is the dream ending? Post-Zionism and its discontents – a threat to the Jewish future. Institute of the World Jewish Congress, Israel.

"There is a need to sit together and establish a way in which Australians can recapture the spirit of multiculturalism which I think we are all proud of being part and parcel of, and which is really under threat."⁶⁵

No, this is not simple hypocrisy: it is the calculated Zionist strategy.

It was Isi's brother Mark, as editor of the Australia-Israel Review, who in July 1992 posted 2000 names of One Nation's 8000 members under the banner headline: "Gotcha" in an attempt to intimidate Australian patriots, at least 99.9% of whom would never have hitherto given any thought to Australian Jews as being anything other than Australians belonging to a different religious denomination.

BOGUS ANTI-SEMITIC INCIDENTS

I don't think it would be an exaggeration to say that it seems every issue of the NZ Jewish Chronicle, the major organ of NZ Zionists, is generally full of reports on alleged 'anti-Semitism' throughout the world. What it all amounts to in reality is damn all, but the Zionists have to keep the Jewish Diaspora in a state of constant tension to maintain the Zionist stranglehold. It would not do if it was perceived that Diaspora Jewry is fitting in quite well, thanks, in most nations, and that the antics of a few obsessive individuals do not constitute an impending pogrom or holocaust.

Following are some incidents that have been contrived to serve some Zionist or Leftist agenda, or in some cases have been perpetrated by individual Jews due to mental instability or some personal grievance. Regardless of the motives and origins, such incidents are sensationalised by Zionists as proof of pervasive Gentile anti-Semitism and the need for Diaspora Jewry to maintain loyalty to Zionism and to Israel.

In one instance, that of vandalism in France, this was written up in the NZ Jewish Chronicle as an example of resurgent French anti-Semitism. When this writer informed the Chronicle of the facts, thinking the staff would welcome the good news that the act was simply that of a deranged Jewish individual rather than that of French anti-Semites, I was met with a non-response, and the Chronicle editor did not have the honesty to make any correction.

ANTI-SEMITISM IN FRANCE? BUT WHICH SEMITES?

by Rannie Amiri

(Studies in Islam & the Middle East website)⁶⁶

<http://www.majalla.org/news/2004/08/amiri-08.htm>

"Paradoxically, it seems that, after complaining of centuries of persecution, Israelis are now tempted to see a lack of anti-Semitism as an obstacle to encouraging immigration to Israel" (Eric Marsden, Sunday Times, 16 November 1975).

A diplomatic row has erupted between France and Israel over recent remarks made by Prime Minister Ariel Sharon during a meeting of the American Jewish Association in Jerusalem. In his comments, Sharon called on Jews to leave France for Israel, saying, "I

⁶⁵ Australia Herald-Sun, Sept. 27, 2000.

⁶⁶ Subheadings and emphasis added.

think it's a must and they have to move immediately" in order to escape "the spread of the wildest anti-Semitism."

For those well-acquainted with Zionist doctrine, immigration to Israel is yet another example of an offensive measure deceptively disguised as a defensive one. More familiar actions following the same pretense have included pre-emptive strikes against neighboring Arab countries, assassinations, further expansion and annexation of territory, and the building of the Middle East's Berlin Wall.

The single area, though, where Israel finds itself at a decided disadvantage against the Palestinians has been, and continues to be, demographics. A low birth-rate versus a significantly higher one. Numbers, plain and simple. The founding Zionist terror groups, Irgun and Stern, recognized this very early. They were instrumental in terrorizing Jewish citizens of other nations (especially Arab) thus coaxing them into fleeing for Israel and bolstering the population of the newly manufactured state. Most famously in the 1940s, for example, synagogues in Baghdad were routinely attacked and bombed by the Zionists to make the immediacy of the situation more readily apparent.

As should be obvious, it was never over concern for the welfare or safety of the Jews.

"The last thing on earth that interested Zionist leaders was humanitarian work, saving victims and refugees" (Moshe Menuhin, The Decadence of Judaism in Our Time, 1965).

Over the last 50 years, the motives cited and tactics employed have changed little. A brief look at a few recent incidents in France should help one decide whether the charge levied by Sharon of the "wildest anti-Semitism," holds true.

On July 11, 23-year old French citizen **Marie-Leonie** claimed she and her 13-month old baby were savagely attacked on a train north of Paris by a gang of six "North African appearing" men who accused her of being Jewish. They allegedly used a knife to cut her hair and rip her clothes, and then proceeded to draw swastikas on her stomach as other passengers stood by passively. The attack was widely condemned throughout France. President Jacques Chirac himself reacted with "horror" as all accusatory fingers pointed toward France's large North African Arab community as the repository of such anti-social, violent behavior.

After the most preliminary investigation, questions immediately arose when no evidence or witnesses to the purported attack could be uncovered.

It did not take long for Marie-Leonie to admit she fabricated the entire affair.

Although the tale itself may have been factitious, let us make no mistake in saying that it WAS anti-Semitic. But it was the far more numerous, overlooked Semites who were the real victims of this racist yarn: the Arabs. Indeed, scapegoating Arabs and Muslims is what has become the all-too-common occurrence in France and the rest of Europe.

LEADING FRENCH ZIONIST FAKES ANTI-SEMITISM

We can also glean additional insight into the claimed rampant anti-Semitism in France from **Alex Moise**. As head of the organization "**French Friends of Israel's Likud Party**," he filed a complaint in January⁶⁷ after receiving numerous intimidating anti-Semitic calls and threats. **In May, the Jewish Telegraph Agency reported Moise was fined and received a suspended jail sentence after confessing to staging the threats himself.**

Another valid example of anti-Semitism? Of course, but only if we ask which Semites were the real victims.

Earlier this year, France instituted a policy of prohibiting religious symbols from being worn in public schools, a measure overwhelmingly supported by the French people.

⁶⁷ 2004.

Ostensibly applying equally to all conspicuous religious symbols such as the crosses and skull-caps worn by Christians and Jews, it is well understood that the law's primary purpose was to limit the wearing of the hijab or head-scarf, by Muslim girls. Without going in-depth into this debate, suffice it to say it was in France that legislation targeting one specific religious group largely composed of (Arab) Semites, was passed.

I do not deny there are anti-Semitic incidents in France, committed against both Jews and Arabs. Ariel Sharon's call must, however, be placed in the proper context, both historically and politically. His disingenuous motive, **to unduly scare French Jews so as to increase the number who immigrate to Israel**, only does injustice to those who are the real victims of anti-Semitism. **Zionists now, as they have in the past, are only manipulating, twisting, and exploiting such behavior to further their own racist agenda.**

Such a strategy was outlined in 1952 by Sharun, Editor of the Israeli newspaper, **Davar:**

"I shall not be ashamed to confess that if I had the power, as I have the will, I would select a score of efficient young men - intelligent, decent, devoted to our ideal and burning with the desire to help redeem Jews - and I would send them to the countries where Jews are absorbed in sinful self-satisfaction. The task of these young men would be to disguise themselves as non-Jews, and plague Jews with anti-Semitic slogans such as 'Blood Jew', 'Jews go to Palestine' and similar intimacies. I can vouch that the results in terms of a considerable immigration to Israel from these countries would be ten thousand times larger than the results brought by thousands of emissaries who have been preaching for decades to deaf ears" (Alfred Lilienthal, What Price Israel ?).

More than 50 years later, I am afraid his vision may be coming to pass.

Comment by Studies in Islam & the Middle East

One thing many media organs around the world overlook is the fact that more than a month ago the Jewish Agency announced its intentions to recruit "tens of thousands" of Jewish French (wo)men to emigrate to Israel. Sharon even declared recently (according to Le Monde 20.07.04) that he **would like to see 1 million Jews immigrate to Israel within the next 10 years, and he is particularly counting on the French community.**

WEST HARTFORD, CONN. SYNAGOGUE ARSON 12/14/83

ARREST IN WEST HARTFORD FIRES ENDS TENSION FOR JEWISH NEIGHBORS

By RICHARD L. MADDEN

NY Times

WEST HARTFORD SINCE August the large Jewish community of this affluent suburban town had been badly shaken. Early in the morning of Aug. 11, an arson fire burned out the sanctuary of the Young Israel Synagogue on Albany Avenue. Four nights later a chapel in the Emanuel Synagogue a few blocks away was damaged by another fire. The next night the home of Rabbi Solomon Krupka, spiritual leader of the Young Israel Synagogue, was burned.

JEWISH YOUTH IS CHARGED IN BLAZES AT SYNAGOGUES

12/18/83

New York Times

By RICHARD L. MADDEN

A 17-year-old Jewish youth, a member of a synagogue that was damaged by arson last summer, was arrested here today and charged with that fire and three others. In addition to the synagogue to which the youth belonged, the fires damaged another synagogue and two homes belonging to members of West Hartford's Jewish community. The suspect, **Barry Dov Schuss**, surrendered voluntarily to authorities in West Hartford this morning and was charged with four counts of second-degree arson. The police said his arrest closed the cases.

JEWISH STUDENT UNION BUILDING, NY STATE UNIVERSITY 9/10/89

In Binghamton, NY, swastikas and slogans (including "Kill Kikes" and "Zionazi Racist") are found inside the door leading to the Jewish Student Union of the State University. The New York Times, November 15, 1989, reports that the perpetrator is the former president of the Jewish Student Union, James Oppenheimer, who lead protests in condemning the vandalism.

10 YEARS FOR ORTHODOX JEW 6/25/97

JACKSONVILLE, Fla. (AP) - An Orthodox Jew was sentenced to 10 years in prison Tuesday for planting a pipe bomb at a Jewish center to try to prevent former Israeli Prime Minister Shimon Peres from speaking.

Harry Shapiro, 31, planted the pipe bomb at the Jacksonville Jewish Center in February and pleaded guilty a month later. The device, found nine days later, was a dud.

"To Mr. Peres, I apologize, for I was wrong in thinking I should be part of your punishment," Shapiro said in court. "Your punishment will come from heaven and no man should or will be a part of it."

U.S. District Judge Ralph W. Nimmons Jr. sentenced Shapiro for using an explosive to threaten a foreign official, internationally protected person and official guest of the U.S. government.

By pleading guilty, Shapiro avoided an additional federal charge of using an explosive in the commission of a crime, which could have sent him to prison for another 30 years if convicted.

Police believe the device was planted February 13, the day of Peres' visit, and missed by security teams. Children found the dud pipe bomb February 22.

TEACHER WHO FAKED RACIST ATTACK FLEES 10/23/2000

Rory Carroll in Rome

The Guardian

Italy's anti-racism campaigners have been left reeling by a teacher who faked an assault by neo-Nazis.

Luis Marsiglia, a Jewish convert to Catholicism, fled to South America yesterday after **admitting he fabricated an attack which shocked the country and fuelled fears of swelling anti-semitism.**

The centre-right opposition demanded an apology from those who accused it of fostering racism with xenophobic rhetoric.

Mr Marsiglia, who taught theology at Verona's elite Maffei secondary school, **dominated the headlines** last month after reporting that he had been ambushed by three youths wielding iron bars.

Cut and bruised, the Uruguay-born teacher had suggested that his assailants were pupils unleashed by colleagues.

He said: "The gang were shouting things in support of Jörg Haider. They screamed: 'You're a dirty Jew, get out of Verona'."

He added that a swastika had been painted on his door and showed investigators anti-semitic icons allegedly sent to him as part of a hate campaign.

The ruling centre-left coalition blamed the opposition leader Silvio Berlusconi for rising racism by making an issue of race and immigration.

"The centre right should examine its conscience," said Pierluigi Castagnetti, leader of the Italian Popular party.

Investigators exposed the deception at the weekend. Mr Marsiglia, 43, admitted beating his legs with a piece of wood and scratching his arms with an iron bar.

His lawyer, Guariente Guarienti, said the teacher was distraught at the prospect of losing his job after failing to provide evidence of his qualifications.

WOMAN CHARGED IN SWASTIKA GRAFFITI SPREE 10/18/04

Police: Suspect Was Angry At Jewish Ex, New Wife

NEW YORK (AP) A woman admitted shortly after her arrest late Monday that she spray-painted swastikas in Jewish neighborhoods in Brooklyn and Queens because she was angry about her Jewish ex-husband's new wife, police said.

The woman, Olga Abramovich, 49, of Brooklyn, was charged with criminal mischief and related crimes, police said.

Police said Abramovich admitted targeting about 20 sites, including several synagogues, because she was angry at her former husband for marrying a younger woman. They said she also marred two police cars because she was upset about receiving a summons.

The vandalism was first reported early Monday [October 18, 2004] at a medical facility in Brooklyn, where white spray-painted swastikas marked a door and a window.

During a search of the surrounding area, police found the same symbol on synagogues, Jewish schools, cars and a funeral home in southern sections of Brooklyn and Queens. One of the cars belonged to Abramovich's former husband.

Abramovich was in police custody Monday night and was unavailable for comment. She was being cooperative, police said

The spray paint, which she bought at a Long Island mall flea market, was found in the trunk of her vehicle, police said.

FRENCH INVESTIGATORS SKEPTICAL ABOUT UNKNOWN GROUP THAT CLAIMED RESPONSIBILITY FOR ATTACK ON PARIS JEWISH CENTER 8/23/04

By Verena Von Derschau
ASSOCIATED PRESS

PARIS – French investigators said Monday they were **skeptical about claims of responsibility for an arson attack on a Jewish community center by a previously unknown Islamic group.**

Still, investigators said they are not ruling out any suspects in the fire early Sunday at a Jewish meeting place and soup kitchen in eastern Paris. No one was hurt.

When the smoke cleared, police found anti-Semitic graffiti and swastikas scrawled in red marker. One message read, "Without the Jews, the world is happy," while another said, "Jews get out."

Investigators are studying a claim of responsibility by Jamaat Ansar Al-Jihad, officials close to the inquiry said on condition of anonymity. The group issued a claim of responsibility Sunday night on a Web site known for militant Islamic comment.

The message said "a group of Mujahedeen youth set fire at 4 a.m. Paris time to the Jewish synagogue in Paris in retaliation for the racist acts carried out by the Jews in France against Islam and Muslims, and acts of defiling Muslims' cemeteries."

The posting referred incorrectly to the community center as a synagogue. It said the blaze marked the 35th anniversary of a fire at al-Aqsa Mosque in Jerusalem, which gutted the southeastern wing of the holy shrine.

But investigators said they doubted the claim because they did not believe a small neighborhood community center would have drawn attention from international militant groups.

France has suffered a series of anti-Semitic attacks since 2000, coinciding with worsening tensions in the Middle East.

Some of the violence has been blamed on young French Muslims, although the large Muslim community itself is also a frequent target of racist attacks. Both Jewish and Muslim cemeteries have been desecrated in France recently, with swastikas painted across headstones.

Jewish man held over Paris fire

Crude slogans at the scene suggested an anti-Semitic motive.

POLICE in Paris have arrested a man in connection with a fire at a Jewish community centre that was initially assumed to be an anti-Semitic attack.

French media report that the suspect is a Jewish man who worked there.

Condemnation

Police sources have told the French news agency AFP that the person detained is a 50-year-old Jewish man who sometimes worked as a guard at the centre, but who management wanted to sack.

He was "more or less homeless" and "mentally unstable", they said.

Police are said to no longer be treating the arson as an anti-Semitic attack.

Swastikas and anti-Jewish slogans were scrawled on the walls of the community centre in east Paris before it was set ablaze on the night of 21 August.

The assumption that the fire had been an anti-Semitic attack led French leaders to speak out strongly and declare war on racism.

The visiting Israeli Foreign Minister, Silvan Shalom, toured the site a couple of days after the fire, condemning the attack but praising French efforts to curb a rise in anti-Semitism in the country.

Lied

Anti-Semitic acts have more than doubled in France in the first seven months of this year compared with 2003.

From January to July, there were 160 incidents - the corresponding period the year before saw 75 such acts.

Sensitivity over the issue was heightened last month when a 23-year-old woman said she had been attacked by a gang of Arab and African men who mistook her for a Jew. News of the alleged attack caused a national outcry.

She subsequently admitted she had made the story up and was given a four-month suspended sentence.

France is home to Europe's largest Jewish and Muslim communities, put at 600,000 and five million respectively.

WOMAN'S SWASTIKA ORDEAL EXPOSED AS FANTASY 7/14/04

Charles Bremner –
The Times

A tale of anti-Semitic violence that **horrified France** unravelled yesterday when a 23-year-old woman who had claimed that a band of youths assaulted her on a train and **scrawled swastikas on her body confessed that she had lied.**

The woman, identified only as Marie-Leonie, had been suspected of inventing her supposed ordeal at the hands of **six youths, of Arab and African origin**, on the outskirts of northern Paris. She confessed after being taken in to custody for questioning last night.

The collapse of the “affair of the RER railway” embarrassed President Chirac as he prepared to give his annual Bastille Day pep talk to the nation today, with racism and hate crimes among the top subjects.

M Chirac will give his hour-long television interview from the Elysée Palace after reviewing a military parade led this year by guest contingents from Britain, the Grenadier Guards and the Household Cavalry.

The President no doubt regrets the way in which he seized on the reported attack last weekend as ministers and the media poured out a torrent of condemnation of mindless, anti-Semitic violence on suburban housing estates. M Chirac voiced horror at the reported actions of the youths who were said to have attacked the woman and her 13-month-old child as they travelled on the RER Express Métro in the Sarcelles area.

They were said to have cut off hair and sliced the clothes of the woman and daubed swastikas on her stomach with markers. The woman had told police that they had attacked her **after wrongly identifying her as Jewish.** They were also said to have **thrown her child to the ground.** Despite an investigation involving more than 100 officers, police had said earlier yesterday that they had been unable to confirm any details of the woman's account. “We have found elements in the case which cast a very strong shadow over her statements,” Jean-Paul Proust, chief of the Paris police, had said.

She and the baby had suffered light injuries, but there was a mystery over their origin, police said.

Train personnel had also denied her claims that she had reported the incident to them and her mobile phone records also contradicted her story. One account suggested that she had boarded the train with her clothes already cut.

Newspapers had also reported that Marie-Leonie, the daughter of a Paris school janitor, had a record of making complaints to the police over the past five years about attacks that were never confirmed. Her friends and family said that she was known as a fantasist and had been under psychiatric care.

Jean-François Coppé, junior Interior Minister and government spokesman, said that the train affair, true or not, made no difference to France's need to fight growing intolerance toward minorities.

According to official figures last week, hate crimes doubled in the first half of the year, with 510 anti-Jewish acts or threats reported to police. M Chirac warned France last week to stop turning a blind eye to rising anti-Semitism and racial intolerance.

CAMPUS SHOCKED BY ALLEGATION PROFESSOR STAGED VANDALISM

3/19/04

Gillian Flaccus –
Associated Press

CLAREMONT – Allegations that **a professor vandalized her own car and reported it as a hate crime stunned and angered Claremont colleges' students and faculty who rallied to her side.**

"When something like this happens, it really hurts the whole atmosphere of the college community," said Glen Kim, 21, an economics student at Claremont McKenna College. "She basically insulted this whole school and embarrassed us all."

Others said they were relieved at the possibility that students didn't commit a hate crime.

"People made it out to be like a trend on campus," said Tyler Jank, a 20-year-old engineering major from Harvey Mudd College.

Kerri Dunn, a visiting professor of psychology, told police her car was spray-painted with racist and sexist epithets and vandalized with broken windows and slashed tires on March 9 as she spoke at a forum on racism.

The incident angered students, who staged sit-ins and anti-hate rallies at the prestigious group of liberal arts colleges. Classes were canceled for a day and the administration offered a \$10,000 reward.

But police now say two witnesses saw Dunn drive into the college parking lot with her car already spray-painted. She then popped the tires, they said.

Dunn allegedly approached the witnesses, who were sitting in their car, and asked if they saw anyone damage her vehicle.

"I was thinking to myself, 'Yeah, we just saw you pop your tires,'" one of the witnesses told police.

Dunn gave conflicting statements in two interviews with police and the FBI, said Lt. Stan Van Horn.

Her attorney, Gary Lincenberg, issued a statement claiming it was inappropriate for police to accuse her. "The police statement is irresponsible and has irreparably damaged her reputation and emotional health," he said.

Dunn, 39, has not been charged with a crime. She told the Los Angeles Times **the current investigation was overshadowing the larger issue of racism on campus.**

Police will forward their investigation to the Los Angeles County district attorney by late Friday, said Van Horn. Dunn could face a misdemeanor charge for filing a false police report.

At a rally March 10, one day after the alleged vandalism, Dunn told about 2,500 students the incident was "a well-planned out act of terrorism."

Faculty members who attended a private meeting about the developments said they had mixed feelings about Dunn. Some were supportive, while others felt betrayed.

"I don't think a lot of people got to know her, but the ones who did held her in high regard," said Ron Teepels, an economics professor. "We want to get to the bottom of this."

Some said their classmates had overreacted last week while other said they will be furious if the allegations against Dunn prove to be true.

"What she's doing is pushing the action onto students," said Brittany Lovejoy, 18, a freshman majoring in literature and government. "It makes our school look bad that students could do that."

CALIF. PROFESSOR CHARGED WITH HATE CRIME HOAX 4/26/04 Reuters

LOS ANGELES - A Southern California college professor who **received wide media attention** when she claimed that racists had vandalized and defaced her car with ethnic slurs was charged on Monday with filing a false police report and insurance fraud.

Kerri Dunn, a professor of psychology at Claremont McKenna College, east of Los Angeles, **portrayed herself as a victim of racists angered by her message of**

tolerance at a campus forum when she reported the vandalism on March 9. She then put in a claim with her auto insurer for damages to her car.

Her story drew media attention and prompted hundreds of students to rally in her name, joined by civil rights activists. But police and FBI agents who investigated the incident began suspecting Dunn of a hoax after witnesses came forward to say they had spotted the 39-year-old professor vandalizing her own car.

She was charged with one misdemeanor count of filing a false police report and two felony counts of insurance fraud. She faces three years in prison if convicted at trial. "False accusations that imply hate crimes prey on the legitimate concerns of the public who truly abhor violence based on race, ethnicity or sexual orientation," Los Angeles County District Attorney Steve Cooley said in a statement. "And those who make false claims should realize there is a penalty for doing so."

After Dunn's car was found covered in racial slurs, its tires slashed and windshield shattered, college officials offered a \$10,000 reward for information leading to an arrest. Claremont President Pamela Gann has said the college would conduct its own investigation before deciding whether to retain Dunn, whose contract expires later this year.

.

Note that even after such incidents have been shown to be fraudulent, those with Zionist and other agendas have not retracted an iota. The contention is again made of the hundreds of supposed 'hate crimes', without regard as to the nature of these, whether they involved no more than some graffiti, or whether the real culprits have been identified. Every kid who paints a swastika on a wall presumably adds to the statistics of supposedly hundreds of 'hate crimes' allegedly endemic in Gentile society. Many of the cases have involved mass mobilisation of the usual Leftists and political opportunists and massive amounts of moralising news media publicity. Zionists such as Sharon have appeared on the scene to offer Israel as the best protection for Jews who should pack up and leave for Israel on the flimsiest of pretexts.

FURTHER READING

Please make cheques payable to Renaissance Press, P. O. Box 1627 Paraparaumu Beach, Kapiti 5252, New Zealand

German Govt. White Paper 1960 on the spate of swastika incidents. Shows communist involvement. \$15.

Bigots Behind the Swastika Spree. Joseph Kamp, 1960. How Zionists use neo-nazis for their own agenda. \$7.

Nazism? – an answer to the smear-mongers. K Bolton. Documents the differences between the traditionalist Right and Hitlerism, including race, anti-Semitism, nationalism, European solidarity, anti-Slavism. \$7

Israel reconsidered – should conservatives support Zionism? K Bolton. Shows pro-Soviet, anti-Western origins of Israel, arms running and espionage, association with Red China, backing of Red Brigade in Italy, how Zionism smears patriots such as McCarthy, Buchanan and Pauline Hanson. \$10.

A Jewish Defector Warns America. Ben Freedman, Jewish millionaire businessman and confidential secretary to Henry Morgenthau Snr (head of the finance committee of the Democratic Party), involved with the election of Woodrow Wilson, Freedman was privy to top level political meetings and knew many presidents. Freedman in this 1961 speech to a nationalist meeting exposes Zionism, international banking, world wars.. \$10.

Life of an American Jew in Racist, Marxist Israel. Jack Bernstein. \$6.

Red Alert: Behind the smear-camping against Australasian Nationalists. K R Bolton. Report on how the Establishment media and extreme Left collude to smear NZ and Australian nationalists. Repudiation of some of the sick smears used by the Left against the author, and others. Illustrated, fully referenced. \$10.

Horace Kallen – Father of Cultural Pluralism. Zionist origins of Political Correctness and multiculturalism as weapons against Gentile nations. \$5.

Nation Wreckers. Sandra Ross, 1975. Exposes powerful Zionist backers behind communist street mobs that mobilised against British National Front. Similar situation in NZ. \$5.

Most sensational document ever to appear in Congressional Record. Congressman Rarick's expose of Anti-Defamation League, 1971. \$10