

The  
Saxon  
Messenger

December 2011



# Editorial

Dear Reader

## A Tale of Two Englishmen

Early this month a nineteen-year-old White man, Danny O'Shea, was stabbed to death in Canning Town, and both the police and the man's mother soon began making excuses for the race of the perpetrators, who were black. This same scene plays out with different actors hundreds of times across England each year as more and more women are raped, men are robbed, beaten and murdered, and White businesses are looted. In past times, this was called an *invasion*. Today, the English people, having been smitten with the disease of Jewish liberalism, their destruction is called *immigration* and in that manner it has been made legal! Where are the men of England, when England is literally in its darkest hour ever? Danny O'Shea was chased down like a dog by a gang of blacks, and stabbed in the neck to die in the street. The men of England won't find their spines at the bottom of Dave Jones' locker. He doesn't even have a spine of his own. Yet if the men of England don't soon find the backbone somewhere, that they may stand up for England, soon there shall be no England to worry about finding.


It is a sad reflection on them that a woman must come forward to say the things that they themselves cannot, or rather will not say. The eighth Psalm tells us that "Out of the mouth of babes and



sucklings hast thou ordained strength because of thine enemies, that thou mightest still the enemy and the avenger." Thus is Emma West. It does not matter whether the men of England don't care for England any longer, or whether they have been emasculated by tyrannical hate-speech laws and therefore do not have the courage to stand up. Emma West is your prophetess, and the last prophetess you may get. She is your modern-day Jonah, warning you to repent, or to be destroyed!

The most telling aspect of the Emma West tram video was what was going on in the background. While Emma made her appeal for the defense of England, *apparently* White British women in the background felt a sudden need to coddle the offended black savages. With the first mention of Africa, they immediately took to stroking and embracing the beast as if they were soothing an injured dog. Another seemingly White woman then began assailing Emma West, evidently outraged that she had the courage to speak her mind. The things that she said, all England had better consider. Danny O'Shea's blood, and the blood of thousands like him, is on the hands of these sick White liberals who have created and defended this climate in London, where all of the wild beasts of the jungle are allowed to roam freely and devour the sheep, as the liberals continue to embrace them. Emma West was arrested. Danny O'Shea's murderers roam free. The animals have certainly taken over the farm!

William R Finck  
The Editor



192. Macabre representation of the Tree of Knowledge and Death. Woodcut by Jost Amman, from Jacob Rueff's *De conceptu et generatione hominis*, printed by Peter Fabricius, Frankfurt, 1587.

## C o n t e n t s

The Perennial Struggle W R Finck	4
ChristReich - The Revelation of Jesus Christ Chapter 2 - W R Finck	6
To All Who Deny Two Seedline Part 7 - C A Emahiser	12
Do Not Worry - Pastor Ken Lent	18
Letter from Dr F Ellis to UK Prime Minister	19
German [?] Woman names Baby Jihad	23
News from South Africa	24
A Dog for Jesus - Rudyard Kipling	26
Health Matters	27

# The Perennial Struggle

William R Finck

Every year around this time there is the same list of questions circulating among Christian Identists, and many of us have the same inward struggle. What should we do about *Christmas*? For many of us it is not a struggle at all. Rather, we simply alienate our families and friends by shunning their pagan holiday. However while it is good never to compromise the truths of our faith, we are also told to love our brethren above all but God Himself. There certainly are places where we should never cross the line, and we should not violate the commandments of God even in spite of our brethren. But it may be advantageous to see Christmas as an occasion to witness to the truth, rather than as an opportunity to somehow prove that we ourselves are more holy than our kith and kin.

Of course many of the people who adhere to the true Christian faith as it is found in Scripture, as those who associate ourselves with one form or another of Christian Identity see it, have come to understand the errors of our ancestors. Therefore it is natural for Christian Identists to despise Christmas as a pagan holiday. They have good reason to do so, because Christmas and many of the things associated with it clearly have pagan origins. But the winter festival has been a de facto part of our culture for thousands of years now - in spite of its complete absence from Scripture. And because of this, it is traditionally a principal gathering time for most White families. In fact, often it is the only time of the year in which many White families take an opportunity to gather at all! And if you are in the position that many in Christian Identity find themselves, the rest of your family celebrates Christmas with all of the usual holiday fervor.

It is fully evident from Scripture that Christ was born not in December, but sometime during the end of what is to us September – that time of the year at which the Old Testament Feast of Trumpets occurred, and a couple of years before the year in

which our popular chronology places His birth. A few hundred years after Christ, the Roman church adopted the ancient pagan winter solstice festival for its own purposes. There is no doubt that the winter festival is a pagan holiday, celebrated among the Greeks as Bacchanalia, and in Rome as Saturnalia. It was a time of drunken revelry and sexual promiscuity.

Examining the pagan origins of what we now call *Christmas*, we find, for instance, the so-called *Christmas tree* described in Jeremiah chapter 10, written nearly 600 years before the birth of Christ, from verse 3: “For the customs of the people are vain: for one cutteth a tree out of the forest, the work of the hands of the workman, with the axe. They deck it with silver and with gold; they fasten it with nails and with hammers, that it move not. They are upright as the palm tree, but speak not: they must needs be borne, because they cannot go. Be not afraid of them; for they cannot do evil, neither also is it in them to do good.” This is but one of the pagan customs which our Scythian-Israelite ancestors took out of Mesopotamia when they departed.

And in spite of all this, I find myself at times wanting to defend Christmas, but only because the jews and all the other enemies of Yahshua Christ are continually attacking it. And they do this even in spite of the fact that jewish merchants and bankers profit so handsomely from it! So this is the perennial struggle which I referred to at the opening of this discussion. Yet it need not be a struggle at all, once we attain the proper perspective.

1 John 4:21: “And this commandment have we from him, That he who loveth God love his brother also.”

We in Christian Identity should be well aware of the prophecies which Yahweh uttered of our people, that there are those who would slumber, and there are those whom He has called into awakening in His marvelous light: the truth of the Gospel and the

**I find myself at times wanting to defend Christmas, but only because the jews and all the other enemies of Yahshua Christ are continually attacking it**

Covenant. We who are called to understand His Word need not vaunt ourselves over our brethren who have not yet been blessed in that same manner. For God – and no man – decides which of us awaken, and which continue in that prophesied blindness.

Yet if one can use even a pagan holiday to do good, how can that ever be evil? Was that not the example of our Redeemer in the first place? While most Christian Identists certainly would not eat the ham, and most of them may also - as they should - shun the silly tree and the made-in-China decorations, we certainly should not shun our kin. We should reject the commercialism which the jews profit from so handsomely - but we should also reject that commercialism all the rest of the year! We should celebrate and cherish the little time that we get with our families all year long, but for many of us this is the only time we may actually get to spend with them. Therefore we should not despise them, even if they know nothing about those things which we esteem to be true. Rather, this is also an excellent time to testify to that truth.

At John 8:22-23 we find this: “Then there was the feast of dedication in Jerusalem – it was winter – and Yahshua walked about in the temple on the porch of Solomon.”

The feast of dedication was instituted by the Maccabees after the restoration of the temple about 150 before the birth of Christ, after the temple had been spoiled by the Greeks of Syria. Like Christmas, it is a holiday instituted by man, and not by God. Christ was found in the temple during the feast of dedication, not necessarily because He was celebrating the feast, but because that is where His

people were gathered! Yahshua was teaching in the temple at the feast of dedication, and although the religious authorities were opposed to Him, John tells us at 8:30 that: “Upon His saying these things many believed in Him.”

We can indeed go to our family gatherings on Christmas, and every other chance that we get. And while we certainly should not go into debt for those unnecessary things which the jews try to sell us, we can, and we should, use those times to testify to the truth of the gospel.

As Paul said speaking of his trial in Rome, albeit in a somewhat different context, at Philippians 1:15-18: “Some indeed even because of envy and strife, but some also by approval are proclaiming the Christ. Surely these out of love, knowing that I am set for a defense of the good message, but those out of contention are declaring the Christ not purely, supposing to stir up tribulation in my bonds. What then? That in every way, whether in pretext or in truth, Christ is declared, and in this I rejoice. And surely I will rejoice.”

Whether in pretext or in truth, if Christ our Redeemer is declared, we too should rejoice. Therefore we should not let the jews take the Christ out of Christmas. Rather, we should celebrate our Redeemer on that day and on every other day. However if the Christmas holiday is the day upon which we can be with our kin, and attest our love for them and for Christ too, then we must certainly take advantage of it as best we can.

So to hell with the antichrists! Transform the pagan winter holiday into a true celebration of Christ. And in the meantime pray for His return, that He may make all new things, and make gone His enemies.



# ChristReich

## The Revelation of Jesus Christ

### Chapter Two

#### William R Finck

**II “1 For the messenger of the assembly in Ephesos, write: Thus says He commanding the seven stars in His right hand, He walking in the midst of the seven golden lampstands: 2 I know your works and your toil and patience, and that you are not able to bear evils, and have tried those calling themselves ambassadors yet they are not, and you have found them liars, 3 and you have patience and have endured on account of My Name and have not grown weary. 4 But I hold against you that you have left your first love. 5 Therefore remember from where you have fallen and repent and do these first works. But if not, I shall come to you and I shall remove your lampstand from its place, if you should not repent. 6 This other thing you have: that you hate the works of the people-conquerors, which I also hate. 7 He having an ear must hear what the Spirit says to the assemblies! To he who prevails I shall give to him to eat from the tree of life which is in the paradise of Yahweh.**

Ephesos: The word is apparently from *epheis*, which is a word with a wide variety of meanings. It may be a *throwing*, *hurling* or *shooting*, or in another sense *permission* or *license*. The name may also be *appetite* or *desire*, and so it may mean *desirable*. There was a famous festival held there in honor of the idol Artemis from the earliest times, and the town may have grown up around that, since if the name does refer to throwing and hurling, it seems to be named after the games. This town was long famous for its large but roofless temple of Artemis, whom the Romans called Diana. Yahshua tells us that the Ephesians hated evil works, and rejected false apostles. Yet they were criticized for having “left [their] first love”. Since it is explained by the Book of Acts in chapters 18 and 19 that it was Paul of Tarsus who founded the assembly at Ephesus, then the Christianity which Paul brought to Ephesus must have been what Yahshua was chastising them for having left! Paul had even warned the elders of Ephesus, at Acts 20:29, that “I know that after my departure oppressive wolves shall come in to you, not being sparing of the sheep!” This statement to the

assembly is therefore also a recognition of the legitimacy of Paul's mission by Yahshua Christ Himself.

Yet even though the Ephesians rejected false apostles and would not put up with evil, they were chastised for somehow departing from the Gospel, although we are not told exactly what that departure entailed. In Paul's one epistle to the Ephesians which we have in our Bibles, Paul talks about fornication and other sins, yet he is exhorting and edifying them, and not chastising them for any particular transgression, so their departure must have come later.

The Ephesians are then noticed for hating “the works of the Nicolaitans”, and in the *Christogenea New Testament* the word was translated - rather than being merely transliterated - as “people-conquerors”, since that is what it means: those who would overcome the people, from the verb *niko*, or the adjective *nikos*, and the noun *laos* meaning *people*. The Nicolaitans are, evidently, that professional priesthood which would strive to rule over the people through heresies of sacramentalism, seeking to control them for the purpose of filling their own lusts and their own bellies.

#### **About the Nicolaitans**

There are several accounts, none of them complete, of the sect of the Nicolaitans in the early Christian writings. I have said in the past that I doubted the existence of this sect at all in reality, and I believed that the word described a class of people. I still do, however now I realize that there may have at one time been such a sect, but it seems that it could not have existed for long if it indeed did at all, and the word still defines certain behavior found among a certain class of people.

As for such an early sect, Irenaeus believed that they existed and in his *Against Heresies*, Book 1 Chapter 26 says that “they lead lives of unrestrained indulgence”, however the Greeks had more than their share of hedonists who did that, and therefore there must be more to the story, and there is, for

Irenaeus also said that to them “it is a matter of indifference to practise adultery, and to eat things sacrificed to idols”. Later in his treatise, in *Against Heresies*, Book 3, Irenaeus gives us this in Chapter XI: “John, the disciple of the Lord, preaches this faith, and seeks, by the proclamation of the Gospel, to remove that error which by Cerinthus had been disseminated among men, and a long time previously by those termed Nicolaitans, who are an offset of that 'knowledge' falsely so called, that he might confound them, and persuade them that there is but one God, who made all things by His Word; and not, as they allege, that the Creator was one, but the Father of the Lord another; and that the Son of the Creator was, forsooth, one, but the Christ from above another, who also continued impossible, descending upon Jesus, the Son of the Creator, and flew back again into His Pleroma; and that Monogenes was the beginning, but Logos was the true son of Monogenes; and that this creation to which we belong was not made by the primary God, but by some power lying far below Him, and shut off from communion with the things invisible and ineffable.” So we see that Irenaeus answering all of these things against the Nicolaitans, the sect had far more problems and arguments with Scripture than having simple hedonist tendencies.

Ignatius in his early *Epistle to the Trallians* says “the impure Nicolaitanes, falsely so called, who are lovers of pleasure, and given to calumnious speeches.” In his *Epistle to the Philadelphians*, in Chapter VI, which is titled “Do Not Accept Judaism”, we find this: “If any one confesses the Father, and the Son, and the Holy Ghost, and praises the creation, but calls the incarnation merely an appearance, and is ashamed of the passion, such an one has denied the faith, not less than the Jews who killed Christ. If any one confesses these things, and that God the Word did dwell in a human body, being within it as the Word, even as the soul also is in the body, because it was God that inhabited it, and not a human soul, but affirms that unlawful unions are a good thing, and places the highest happiness in pleasure, as does the man who is falsely called a Nicolaitan, this person can neither be a lover of God, nor a lover of Christ, but is a corrupter of his own flesh, and therefore void of the Holy Spirit, and a stranger to Christ. All such persons are but monuments and sepulchres of the dead, upon which are written only the names of dead men.”

Now these are only two of the earliest witnesses,

and both Tertullian and Clement of Alexandria also discuss the Nicolaitans on several occasions. While some early Christian writers, notably Tertullian, took for granted the story that they were founded by the early Christian, Nicolaus, who is mentioned in Acts Chapter 6, Clement in his *Elucidations*, Book II Chapter XX relates that the sect consisted of men who actually perverted the instructions and precepts of that same Nicolaus, and became known by his name. While I will not go into all of the details here, the citations are indeed included in the notes at the end of this chapter. The other writers do, however, agree that there is much more to the Nicolaitan creed than mere hedonism. About the mention of the Nicolaitans in the Revelation Tertullian does relate that the assembly at Thuateira was infiltrated by “an heretical woman, who had taken upon herself to teach what she had learnt from the Nicolaitans.”

**The Tree of Life is Christ Himself and His race. As He told the apostles, “I am the vine, you are the branches”. This phrase first appears in Genesis Chapters 2 and 3, and especially at 3:22 which is properly the first Messianic Prophecy. It appears again later in the Revelation in Chapter 22 (John 15:5).**

**And to the messenger of the assembly in Smurna, write: Thus says the First and the Last, He who was dead and lives, 9 I know your tribulation and poverty (but you are wealthy), and the blasphemy from those saying for themselves to be Judaeans and they are not, but are a congregation of the Adversary. 10 Do not fear the things which you are going to suffer. Behold, the False Accuser is going to cast some from among you into prison, that you may be tried, and you shall have tribulation for ten days. You must be faithful until death, and I shall give to you the prize of life. 11 He having an ear must hear what the Spirit says to the assemblies! He who prevails shall not be harmed by the second death.**

Smurna is *ointment*, used for anointing. This assembly was not criticized by Yahshua. In fact, only two of these seven assemblies were not criticized at all, those of Smurna and Philadelphia. Since Smurna refers to the ointment used for anointing, and only the people of Israel – along with

Christ Himself – are the anointed (see the paper at Christogenea. [Yahweh's Anointed, the Children of Israel](#)), and since Philadelphia means *brotherly love*, it is fully apparent that those who recognize the scope of the covenants and then put to practice that recognition by loving their fellow Israelite brethren, will not be criticized or condemned by Yahshua Christ at the judgement!

It also happens, that these are also the only two assemblies to which Yahshua mentions the Adversary, the synagogue of Satan, who are those children of Satan who claim to be Judah, and they are not. These are the Kenite-Canaanite-Edomite tribes who had infiltrated Judaea in the centuries before Christ, adopted Judaism in name, became circumcised and were left to be known as Jews in the Christian era. These people have actually and literally descended from the tribes of the enemies of Yahweh our God. Ezekiel explains this in chapters 35 and 36 of his prophecy, Paul explains this, in part, in Romans Chapter 9, and Yahshua Himself explains it in Luke Chapter 11 and John Chapters 8 and 10. Therefore it is evident that the “synagogue of Satan” is only mentioned to these two assemblies indicates that the adversary would persecute these assemblies above all others, because they observed the racial aspects of the Covenant.



The assembly at Smurna is poor, but they are wealthy. This means that while they have no earthly riches, they have stored up riches in heaven through their behavior here in this world. Surely this was

through keeping those last instructions of Yahshua, the admonitions that we love one another, found in John Chapters 13 and 15.

#### **The Ten Days:**

The ten days of verse 10 are often interpreted as pointing to the ten persecutions of Christians under the empire. These occurred with the following emperors and years: Nero (64 A.D.) , Domitian (c.90-96), Trajan (98-117), Hadrian (117-138), Marcus Aurelius (161-181), Septimus Severus (202-211), Maximus the Thracian (235-251), Decius (249-251), Valerian (257-260), Diocletian (303-305). But there were also persecutions under Galerius (305-311), and it is clear from the record in Acts and from Paul's first epistle to the Corinthians that there were also earlier persecutions of Christians under Claudius, for which cause Paul advised against marriage at 7:26 thus: “Really then I suppose that to be such is good, because of the present violence, that it is well for a man to be so.” Yet without the persecutions of the time of Nero and Claudius, there would be ten – except that John is very likely writing (but not receiving) the Revelation around 96 to 98 AD.

**“12 And to the messenger of the assembly in Pergamos, write: Thus says He having the sharp two-edged sword, 13 I know where you dwell, where the throne of the Adversary is, and you possess My Name and you did not deny My faith even in the days of Antipas My witness, My faithful one, who was slain before you, where the Adversary dwells. 14 But I have a few things against you, because you have there those holding the teaching of Balaam, who had taught Balak to put a trap before the sons of Israel, to eat things sacrificed to idols and to commit fornication. 15 Thusly you have also those holding the teaching of the people-conquerors in like manner. 16 Therefore repent, but if not I will come to you quickly and I shall make war with them by the sword of My mouth. 17 He having an ear must hear what the Spirit says to the assemblies! To he who prevails, I shall give to him the hidden manna, and I shall give to him a white stone, and written upon the stone a new name, which no one knows except he receiving.**

Pergamos was originally the name of the citadel of ancient Troy, but later the word came to describe any citadel. This later Pergamos was the seat of the famous Attalid Kingdom of the third and second centuries BC. Towards the end of the third century BC Pergamos defeated the invading Galatae, who




then negotiated a deal with its king by which they settled in a portion of the ancient land of Phrygia,

which then became known as Galatia. The Attalic kings were very wealthy, and Pergamos was the treasury of great riches. It was also a center of worldly learning, and Strabo states that it was well-populated with all sorts of Philosophical sects. Marc Antony allegedly once sent 200,000 volumes to Alexandria from the library at Pergamos. Pergamos was the capital of the Roman district of Asia, and it had a large and famous altar which was recently excavated, believed to be dedicated to Zeus. With certainty it dates to the time of the Attalic kings. The staircase up to the altar was 20 meters wide. The structure is over 35 meters wide and 33 meters deep. The base is decorated with a frieze depicting the battle between the gods and the giants, a famous Greek story probably handed down directly from the Old Testament scriptures. The city was the administrative center of a very rich province. Pergamos was also a center of the worship of Asclepius, the ancient Greek pagan god of medicine and healing, and was therefore a center for pharmakeia. There were, however, more famous temples of Asclepius in Epidauros and in Kos. But the Asclepius cult of Pergamos was very large, strong and influential. Yet with all of this, I could not find a meaningful reference to Pergamos, or any reference to the martyr Antipas, in the early Christian writings. Neither did Livy, Diodorus Siculus or Strabo have anything of especial significance to say about Pergamos that may give deeper insight into Yahshua's statements here, so far as I have been able to tell.

### The Teaching of Balaam

Here in the message to the assembly at Pergamos we see a warning concerning fornication – race-



Altar of Zeus, Pergamos in Berlin Museum

mixing – very much like the one to Thuateira which is the next of these messages. It is evident in the account of Numbers Chapters 24 and 25 that Balaam was hired to curse the Children of Israel, but that every time he tried, he could only utter blessings. Then we see that Balak the king of Moab had the women of his tribe go out to seduce the men of Israel, but the entire account – that Balaam had actually instructed Balak to do this, is not related to us in Numbers, except later where it is alluded to in Numbers 31:16: “Behold, these caused the children of Israel, through the counsel of Balaam, to commit trespass against the LORD in the matter of Peor, and there was a plague among the congregation of the LORD.” Further details are filled in by later Scriptures:

**Micah 6:5**<sup>5</sup> O my people, remember now what Balak king of Moab consulted, and what Balaam the son of Beor answered him from Shittim unto Gilgal; that ye may know the righteousness of the LORD.

**2 Peter 2:15**<sup>15</sup> Which have forsaken the right way, and are gone astray, following the way of Balaam *the son* of Bosor, who loved the wages of unrighteousness;

**Jude 1:11**<sup>11</sup> Woe unto them! for they have gone in the way of Cain, and ran greedily after the error of Balaam for reward, and perished in the gainsaying of Core.

**Revelation 2:14**<sup>14</sup> But I have a few things against thee, because thou hast there them that hold the doctrine of Balaam, who taught Balac to cast a stumblingblock before the children of Israel, to eat things sacrificed unto idols, and to commit fornication.

And here we can clearly see the references to a race-mixing event having taken place, which was counseled by Balaam. **Paul also affirms this in 1 Corinthians Chapter 10, where he also explains that the crime behind this episode was one of “fornication”. Paul says in verse 8: “Neither should we commit fornication, just as some of them had committed fornication, and in one day twenty-three thousand had fallen.”**

### Antipas the Martyr

Compartet made the error of interpreting the name Antipas as meaning “against the father”, and extending that to mean “against the pope”. While it is quaint, it is also novel: the word has no such meaning in Greek. The meaning was assigned by unknown lexicographers, but not until at least 1150 AD in a work known as the Etymologicum


Magnum. I do not trust that work not to have had an interpretative clerical influence. There is no known earlier evidence that such a meaning of the word, and it should not be readily accepted. Rather, the more natural meanings are *in place of all*, or *against all*. It may be indicative of the true Christian martyr withstanding all opposition to Christ. There is no specific records in the early Christian writings of an actual martyr named Antipas.

### **The Pontifex Maximus: A Reformer and Identity Error**

Another error that Comparet and many others in Christian Identity make is to follow Alexander Hislop in his book, *The Two Babylons*, especially where he claims that the title pontifex maximus came to Rome through Pergamos, inheriting it from Eumenes II upon his death in 159 BC. This is easily disproven from the classical writers, and here I shall offer one example, Diodorus Siculus, and two citations of his. The first is found in his 7<sup>th</sup> book, at 7.5.8, where he explains that Iulius, the legendary founder of the Julian line and ancestor of the famous Gaius Julius Caesar and his kindred, gained the title pontifex maximus after he was bested in an election for the kingship by Silvius, after the death of Aeneas. So we see that Diodorus believed the title to have been in Rome since its inception. Whether one wants to accept the myth or not is of no consequence, since Diodorus' second testimony is a historical citation which is from about 202 BC, in the fragments of his 27<sup>th</sup> book (27.2) where he stated that "As pontifex maximus he [meaning P. Licinius Crassus Dives] was obliged by reason of his religious duties not to absent himself from the vicinity of Rome."

Furthermore, the Roman historian Livy mentions the title pontifex maximus in many historical contexts before the death of Eumenes II of Pergamos, the first being in connection with one Quintus Furius, who held the office circa 447 BC, This is from Livy's Book III, Chapter LIV (3.54). "18 And to the messenger of the assembly in Thuateira, write: Thus says the Son of Yahweh, He having His eyes as flames of fire and His feet like fine brass, 19 I know your works and your love and faith and righteousness and patience, and your last works are greater than the first. 20 But I have against you that you allow that woman Iezabel who calls herself a prophetess, and teaches and deceives My servants to commit fornication and to eat things

sacrificed to idols. 21 And I have given her time, in order that she would repent, yet she did not wish to repent from her fornication. 22 Behold, I cast her into a bed, and those committing adultery with her into great tribulation if they do not repent from her works, 23 and I shall slay her children with death, and all of the assemblies shall know that I am He who examines minds and hearts, and I shall give to each according to your works. 24 Now I say to you for the rest of those in Thuateira, as many who do not have this teaching, whoever has not known the depths of the Adversary as they speak: I do not cast upon you another burden, 25 but hold fast that which you have until when I should come. 26 Now he who prevails and who keeps My works until the end, I shall give to him authority over the Nations, 27 and he shall shepherd them with an iron staff as it breaks ceramic vessels, 28 as I also have received from My Father. And I shall give to him the morning star. 29 He having an ear must hear what the Spirit says to the assemblies!



**Ruins at Thyatira**

Thuateira apparently means *heavenly sacrifice*. In Greek, *thua-* is a prefix form of *thuos*, or *sacrifice*. The word *teira* may be from the noun *teiros*, "found only in the plural [*teirea*], the *heavenly constellations, signs*." (Liddell & Scott), or it may be from the verb *teirô*, which means "to rub hard, ... to wear away, wear out, distress" (L&S). So in Greek, *thuáteira* may mean "heavenly sacrifice", or perhaps "distressed sacrifice" or "sacrifice of distress" or something similar. *Strong's* simply lists the word as being "of uncertain derivation" (2363), not venturing a meaning, and neither does Thayer.

This assembly, like the one at Pergamos earlier, was castigated by Yahshua for having tolerance for fornication. The word fornication can be used to describe various illicit and deviant sexual acts, however it can be fully demonstrated, from 1 Corinthians Chapter 10, Jude 7, and elsewhere in the Bible, that fornication is race-mixing. We have seen that especially in Numbers Chapters 24 and 25, discussed above in the message to the assembly at Pergamos where this same admonishment was issued in a different manner. In the Old Testament, idolatry associated with fornication was a full indication that race-mixing was the committed offense, and that can be established from Paul's statements concerning the account, cited here, and from the account itself, of the events found in Numbers Chapters 24 and 25.

Jezebel was the daughter of Ethbaal, who is known as Ithobalus in the histories of Josephus. Having had Menander's now-lost translation of the ancient Chronicles of Tyre as his reference, Josephus related that Ethbaal was a priest of the idol Astarte, the

Ashtoreth of scripture (cf. 1 Kings 11:5, 16:31) who had taken the throne of Tyre by force from the descendants of the famous King Hiram. While there is no convincing proof that Ethbaal was of the stock of the Canaanites, that is a possibility, however we see here that even Jezebel had the opportunity to repent. It is apparent here, that Jezebel is the model whore, as Yahshua describes her. This is a fitting analogy. When Israel plays the harlot, the nation is eaten by metaphoric dogs (cf. Psalms 22:16, Philippians 3:2, Revelation 22:15). Likewise the body of Jezebel the harlot, upon her death, was eaten by dogs, as Yahweh had pronounced would happen to her (2 Kings 9:36). Because of their acceptance of race-mixing, this assembly will suffer great tribulation. We also see in verse 24, that race-mixing comes from "the depths of the Adversary", or Satan. All through the ages, it has been the Edomite Jew who has been the promoter of the integration and mixing of the races, and this is even by their own admission, for they are proud of it. This is the first light in understanding mystery of iniquity!



*ChristReich.org* is the home of William Finck's Commentary on the Revelation of Yahshua Christ, and a project of his popular website, [Christogenea.org](http://Christogenea.org).

It is also the name of his new book, *ChristReich: A Commentary on the Revelation of Yahshua Christ*.

The entire text of the book is available freely on this website [here](#).

The book itself is available at [Lulu.com](http://Lulu.com).

[Click here for the Christogenea Books page at Lulu.](#)

# To All Those Who Deny Two Seedline Part Seven C A Emahiser

This is the seventh in a series of *Special Notices* to all anti-seedliners who are opposed to the proposition that there are a literal walking, talking, breathing, genetic Satanic seedline people among us in this world. To proclaim otherwise is a declaration that we have no enemy, and neutralizes and undermines our defenses against them. To concede such a position is beyond all responsible comprehension, and only those who assume the obligation of pointing out and identifying the enemy are Israel's true watchmen. To brazenly obstruct the message of the true watchmen's warnings is the height of treason. The judgment for interfering with the true watchman in his appointed duty is not a very pretty one. But, sad to say, this is what many are doing. Again, I would warn you, we are at WAR. This WAR has been going on now for over 7,000 years. It is a WAR between YHWH and His children and Satan and his children. It is a battle to the death for one or the other.

In the last *Special Notice To All Who Deny Two Seedline #6*, we were looking into the writings of Lt. Col. Jack Mohr. As Mohr refuses to identify the enemy, he is actually giving them aid and comfort in a time of WAR, and there is no greater act of sedition against YHWH's Kingdom. In *Special Notice #6*, I used two illustrations of how Mohr shot himself in the foot with his thesis *Seed of Satan, Literal or Figurative?* In his booklet of 27 pages, he misapplied the word "enmity" in Genesis 3:15 and "beguiled" in 2 Corinthians 11:3. With this *Special Notice* we will scrutinize more of his suppositions. In analyzing Mohr's writings on his anti-seedline argument, one can make some interesting observations. I notice that Mohr is working with a limited source of information. It is obvious he has a *Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of The Bible* along with some unnamed Bible dictionary. It also appears he is quoting entirely from the *King James Version*. Equipped with this limited source of data, he seeks to dictate to everyone his own unqualified views which are based on his personal reasoning. From what I can observe so far, if he doesn't like what he reads in *Strong's* he will switch to his unnamed Bible dictionary in order to pick and choose that which best suits "his" likes. It is conspicuously obvious he did this with the meaning of Seth's name on page 11. If you wish to understand what has been cited so far concerning Mohr's writings, you might obtain a copy of that *Special Notice*. We will now continue where we left off with *Special Notice #6*.

In order to show you the next place in his article where Mohr shoots himself in the foot, it will be ne-

cessary for me to quote a couple of paragraphs from his booklet *Seed of Satan, Literal or Figurative*, page 11:

"... But Wise [James E. Wise] states again without any Scriptural backing, when Eve stated 'I have gotten a man from the Lord', she thought Cain was her firstborn and she thought he was the promised seed, she later acknowledged that Abel, not Cain, was the promised seed. Therefore, if Abel was her promised seed, then Cain would have to be the seed or progeny [sic. progeny] of the serpent. There is absolutely no Scriptural evidence which indicates that Eve thought that Abel was the 'promised seed.' Verse 25, which Wise quotes as confirmation of this statement merely says that when Eve had Seth, she said: 'God has appointed me another seed instead of Abel, whom Cain slew.' She is merely stating that God gave her a son to replace the one who had been killed. Nothing is said here about 'promised seed.' 1 John 3:12 does not 'plainly denote that Cain was the offspring or progeny of the wicked one.' The Bible dictionary says the word Cain means 'acquisition', which means: 'the act of having one's own; to get or gain through one's efforts.' Seth means to 'compensate; a sprout', it has nothing whatsoever to do with being a substitute as Wise avers. I wonder where this man got his information or if he just dreamed it up, the latter seems the more possible."

Mohr's last statement here, concerning the name of Seth, highly suggests his own theological dishonesty. I will show you why. As we know, according to his own words, Mohr has both a *Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of The Bible* and an unnamed


Bible dictionary. Mohr said this on page 3: “The word ‘serpent’ as used here and throughout this chapter is #5175 in Strong’s Concordance ...” From this statement we can irrevocably conclude beyond all doubt that he has a copy of the *Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance of The Bible*. The question is: why didn’t he quote from it in this instance? Again, Mohr’s statement is: “Seth means to ‘compensate; a sprout’, it has nothing whatsoever to do with being a substitute as Wise avers.” This is what the *Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance of The Bible* has to say of the name Seth: “#8352 ... Sheth, *shayth*; from 7896; *put*, i.e. *substitute*; *Sheth*, third son of Adam: — Seth, Sheth.” If you will notice very carefully, it speaks of the meaning as being “**substitute**” and mentions absolutely nothing about “compensate” or “sprout.” You can even go to the word #7896 from which #8352 is taken and it suggests no such meaning! Furthermore, *Gesenius’ Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon to the Old Testament* agrees with *Strong’s* on both words. You will find #7896 on page 819 of *Gesenius’*. I myself have 14 Bible dictionaries/encyclopedias including *Zondervan’s* (a 5 volume set), *Interpreter’s* (a 4 volume set) and *The Popular Critical Bible Encyclopedia*, (a 3 volume set). In addition to the Bible dictionaries/encyclopedias, I have 13 Bible commentaries including the *Interpreter’s* 12 volume set. In checking through all these references on the name Seth, I only found one which suggested a meaning of “compensate”, and another one which suggested a meaning of “sprout.” These last meanings seems to be an invention of a person by the name of Ewald, see *Unger’s*, page 999. Outside of these two references, most all of the other dictionaries/encyclopedias and commentaries are generally agreed to the meaning of Seth as “substitute” or “in place of.” I submit, therefore, Lt. Col. Jack Mohr had both of these meanings before him, yet he rejected *Strong’s* in favor to his unnamed Bible dictionary in order to fabricate his point, which he thought nobody would ever notice!!! This is the epitome of blatant, unabashed, brazen treachery and deceitfulness of the most evil kind!!!

Now for a few references to show that James E. Wise was correct when he made his statement that Seth was a “substitute” or “appointed” in the place of Abel.

*The Pictorial Bible Dictionary*, Merrill C. Tenny, general editor, page 774: “SETH (*seth*, Heb. *sheth* [so KJV in Num. 24:17; 1 Chron. 1:1], ...) ... His

name (meaning ‘appointed’, i.e., ‘substitute’) signifies that he was considered a ‘substitute’ for Abel (4:25) His birth recalled man’s tragic loss of divine image (5:1f). He became the founder of the line of faith (4:25; Luke 3:38).”

*The Wycliffe Bible Commentary* page 11: “The Hebrew word shows marked similarity to the word *shat*, translated ‘appointed’ or ‘set.’ In reality, Seth became the one on whom God could depend as the foundation stone for His family. He was ‘set’ or ‘appointed’ to take up the work and mission of Abel.”



The *Adam Clarke’s Commentary* abridged by Ralph Earle: “Eve must have received on this occasion some divine communication, else how could she have known that this son was appointed in the place of Abel, to continue that holy line by which the Messiah was to come?”

Matthew Poole’s *Commentary On The Holy Bible*, volume 1, page 14: “*Instead of Abel*: to succeed his father Adam, as Abel should have done in the priesthood, and administration and care of holy things in the church of God.” *The New World Dictionary Concordance to the New American Bible*, page 619: “... The name is explained in popular ethnology which sees it deriving from the verb *sith*, to replace. Eve stated ‘God has granted me another offspring in place of Abel’.”

Now that it is conspicuously obvious, and we can plainly see this man’s agenda, let’s take a look at how ridiculous some of his conclusions are. Everything he is accusing James E. Wise of, he is guilty of himself. Let’s take a look and see what Mohr says about Wise on page 12: “But let’s analyze Gen. 4:1 very carefully and see what it really says,

not what some man [Wise] thinks it says. Read it for yourself, it is very clear. I can find no hint in this chapter, that Even [sic. Eve] thought Abel was the ‘promised seed.’ How could he [Abel] have been when he was killed. Do you mean that God would have given a ‘promised seed’ to Eve, only to be murdered? It doesn’t make much sense, does it? The promised seed was ‘Seth’, who God gave her to take the place of Abel. It becomes a gross assumption on the part of the author [Wise], when he states: ‘because of this, Cain could only have been the progeny of the serpent.’ When we quote the 1 John 3:12 verse about Cain ‘wicked one’, we must see vs. 12 in its context, it cannot be lifted out of its proper setting just to prove a point that someone wants to make ...”


Mohr is totally lacking any insight on this one. We have read several references which show that Seth was an appointed seed in Abel’s place, and that this is the very meaning of Seth’s name. If Abel was not a “promised seed”, there would have been no need for his blood to cry from the ground. Why, then, did Abel’s blood cry from the ground? Abel’s blood was crying for revenge! Seth became the revenger of blood for Abel! Yahshua became the ultimate revenger of that blood, and will, in time, destroy all the descendants of Cain. This whole WAR is a blood-feud. The revenger of blood is spoken of in Numbers 35:19: **“The revenger of blood himself shall slay the murderer: when he meeteth him, he shall slay him.”** It appears that Lt. Col. Jack Mohr has shot himself in the foot on this one also!

If one continues to shoot one’s self in the foot long enough, one will find one doesn’t have a leg to stand on. This is exactly what Lt. Col. Jack Mohr seems persistent in doing, as he shoots himself in the foot again on page 20. As he attempts to show you his great intellect on the subject of race, he goofs again. This is what he said:

“Here again we see Wise [James E. Wise] as he does some more ‘supposing’, when he states that the

word GENERATION could be translated RACE. If you check your Strongs’s Concordance, you will find the word Generation has five meanings. In the Old Testament we find the Hebrew word (‘daur’ — 1755, which means: ‘an age; a dwelling’; posterity.) It is used thusly in Gen. 7:1— ‘And the Lord said unto Noah, come thou and all thy house into the ark; for thee have I seen righteous before me in this GENERATION.’ (Nothing here to do with RACE!) We find a second meaning to the word in Dan. 4:3 — where the word is (#1859 ‘dar’ meaning ‘generation.’) In the New Testament, three Greek words are used for GENERATION. In Matt. 1:1— ‘The Book of the GENERATION of Jesus Christ ...’ Here the word is ‘genesis’, (#1078) which means: ‘nativity; figurative, nature; generation’). In Matt. 3:7 we read: ‘O GENERATION of vipers, who hath warned thee to flee from the wrath to come?’ (Here the Greek word is ‘gennema’: —


#1081, meaning: ‘offspring; produce; fruit; generation.’) Finally in Matt. 12:41 we read: ‘The men of Ninevah shall rise up in judgment with this GENERATION, and shall condemn it.’ (Here the word is ‘genea’ — #1974 [sic. 1074], meaning: ‘a generation; an age; nation; time’). This is the only occasion in the entire Bible where the word GENERATION could mean RACE, but we see from the context that this is not what it means here. Once again, Wise has borne ‘false witness’ to what a word means.”



As you will shortly see, the “false witness” is not James E. Wise, but rather Lt. Col. Jack Mohr! Let’s start with the Hebrew word # 1755 which Mohr mistakenly interprets. *Wilson’s Old Testament Word Studies*, page 184 says this concerning this word. (The Hebrew characters are exactly the same as shown in *Strong’s*): “... an age, a generation of men; a race of men contemporary, Gen. 6:9, *or*; implying conformity, Prov. xxx. 10-14. So it may be understood in that disputed passage, Isaiah liii. 8, ‘his generation’, the race conformed to the Messiah, equivalent to ‘the seed’, verse 10: Gen. vi. 9, &c., all, many generations, Heb. generation and generation; every generation. Heb. *id* ... Dan iv.

3, 3, 34, 34.” How would you like to be serving under a jester like Mohr on a battle front, where you and the lives of several thousand others depended on his ludicrous judgment? Continuing now with the *Gesenius’ Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon to the Old Testament* on the Hebrew word #1755. Among the other meanings *Gesenius’* says this on page 194: “The idea of *age*, or *generation* being neglected, it often means *a race of men ...*”

We will not go into every *Strong’s* number reference that Mohr mentions here. However, it should be obvious, from this last example, that Mohr doesn’t have the slightest idea of what he is talking about concerning the Old Testament. Let’s see what Mohr says about the New Testament, besides that which is quoted above. If you will recall, Mohr is saying the only verse in the Bible that can be rendered “race” is Matthew 12:41, and there he states that it doesn’t mean “race” in that case either. In other words, Mohr is, in effect, saying there is not one case in the entire Bible that refers to race. Now, that is a bold statement. Let’s see if it will hold up under the magnifying glass. In *The Complete Word Study Dictionary, New Testament*, by Spiros Zodhiates, the Greek word #1078, *genesis*, is described in part as: “In the passive *genesis* means race, lineage, equivalent to *genea* (1074), genealogy, book of genealogy ...” Zodhiates then describes the Greek word #1074, *genea* in part: “Metaphorically spoken of the people of any generation or age, those living in any one period, a race or class ...” You can see very clearly, then, contrary to what Mohr says, these two words, *genesis* and *genea*, do imply race.



the praises of Him who called you out of darkness, into His marvelous light’.”

If Mohr had had an *American Standard Version of the Bible*, he could have observed that “chosen generation” in this particular verse is rendered “an elect race.” Mohr is way off base when he claims: “This is the only occasion in the entire Bible where the word ‘generation’ could mean ‘race’, but we see from the context that this is not what it means here.” Evidently, Mohr is unaware of how to find the subject of race in the Bible.

If we will turn to the word “generation” in W. E. Vine’s *An Expository Dictionary Of New Testament Words*, it will direct us to go to page 291 for the word “kind.” Here is what it says under this heading: “GENOS ..., akin to *ginomai*, to become, denotes (a) a family, Acts 4:6. ‘kindred’; 7:13, R.V., ‘race’ (A.V., ‘kindred’); 13:26, ‘stock’; (b) an offspring, Acts 17:28; Rev. 22:16; (c) a nation, a race, Mark 7:26, R.V., ‘race’ (A.V., ‘nation’); Acts 4:36, R.V. ‘(a man of Cyprus) by race’, A.V., ‘of the country of Cyprus’; *genos* does not mean a country, the word signifies parentage (Jews[?] had settled in Cyprus from, or even before, the reign of Alexander the Great); 7:19. R.V., ‘race’ (A.V., ‘kindred’); 18:2, 24, R.V., ‘by race’ (A.V., ‘born’); 2 Cor. 11:26, ‘countrymen’; Gal. 1:14, R.V., ‘countrymen’ (A.V., ‘nation’); Phil. 3:5,, ‘stock’; 1 Pet 2:9 R.V., ‘race’ (A.V., ‘generation’); (d) a kind, sort, class, Matt. 13:47, ‘kind’; in some mss. in 17:21, A.V., ‘kind’; Mark 9:29, ‘kind’, 1 Cor. 12:10, 28 ‘kinds’ (A.V. ‘diversities’); 14:10 (ditto) ...” With this kind of a foundation to work from, one should be able to find hundreds of passages on race. Lt. Col. Jack Mohr couldn’t be more mistaken in his assertions on these Hebrew and Greek words. Now, who is really the one doing the “assuming” and being “intellectually dishonest”?

We should take note that Jeffrey A. Weakley highly recommends Jack Mohr for his expertise concerning the so-called fallacy of the subject of the Seedline doctrine. This is what he said in his *The Satanic Seedline, Its Doctrine and History* on page 29: “There are other arguments, but the ones addressed here are the major ones that I have encountered. If you have encountered an argument and you are sincerely seeking an answer, I suggest that first you completely study it out in God’s Word (look up definitions, check parallel passages, be sure of contest, etc.). After that, I suggest you contact men such as Pete Peters, Dan Gentry, Earl Jones, Jack Mohr, etc. ...” I guess the old saying is still true: birds of a

feather really do flock together!

## Confusion Concerning Trees

On page 6 of Mohr's *Seed of Satan, Literal or Figurative?* he continues to lambaste James E. Wise. As we will see, Mohr's criticism of what Wise was saying is totally unwarranted. This is what Mohr says in his supposed conjecture:

"Wise then goes on to make some very positive statements. which I do not believe he can back with Scripture. At least he doesn't do it here. He says: 'Therefore (because of the explanation he has given), that which is spoken of or called the 'tree of the knowledge of good and evil', was the devil. In other places he is called the devil, Belial, etc.' But there is no Scripture which will back up his contention, no matter how much you want to believe there is. The Idea that Satan or the devil was the seducer of Eve in the garden, may fit in with your theological concept, but it's not what the Word says.

"The author then mentions other trees in the garden when he says: 'In Gen. 2:9, God first mentions the stationary trees, pecan, peach, apricot, etc.' For the life of me, I can't find any of these trees listed anywhere in the Bible. The apple tree is listed, but no pecan, apricot or peach. If he's imagining these trees in the Garden, maybe he [sic. he's] imagining when he says Satan was there too. If a man will add words which aren't there, in order to 'sell his point', he's not to be trusted in his explanation. This man is 'intellectually dishonest', and willing to twist Scripture to make it say what he wants it to.


"He then goes on to the 'tree of life.' He indicates that these 'trees' were personalities of some kind or other, although the Bible says they were trees in the original language that is what they were. No chance to make them anything other than trees."

There you have it, Mohr just called our Messiah a wooden tree. Who else but our Savior is the "TREE OF LIFE"? How else do we eat of Him but by taking Communion? How much more blasphemous a remark can there be made than this? Mohr is totally inaccurate when he claims the pecan, peach and apricot trees are not mentioned in Scripture. He just didn't look for it. These trees are included in Genesis 1:29 when it says: "**And YHWH said, Behold, I have given you every herb bearing seed, which is upon the face of all the earth, and every tree yielding seed; to you it shall be for meat.**" Mohr

is making an insinuation that this couldn't include a pecan, peach or apricot tree which have seeds. Mohr is not only blasphemous but totally unskilled in YHWH's Word. Yet, inasmuch as all the trees "bearing seed" were permitted by YHWH to be eaten as food in Genesis 1:29, the trees of Genesis 3 couldn't have been fruit trees. Why would YHWH allow the fruit of the trees of Genesis 1:29 to be eaten, and then turn around and go back on own His own Word and make it unlawful to eat of one of them in Genesis 3? Talk about something that "don't make any sense", as Jack Mohr has said himself several times in this booklet. There simply is no better argument, that the trees of Genesis 3 were not wooden trees, than this passage. As Lt. Col. Jack Mohr said himself: "**God is not the author of confusion.**"

I wonder how Lt. Col. Jack Mohr might interpret Mark 8:22-24 which says: "**22 And he cometh to Bethsaida; and they bring a blind man unto him, and besought him to touch him. 23 And he took the blind man by the hand, and led him out of the town; and when he had spit on his eyes, and put his hands upon him, he asked him if he saw aught. 24 And he looked up, and said, I see men as trees walking.**"

Oddly enough, the word tree in this passage also means a solid wooden tree. Let's now check *Strong's, Thayer* and *Zodhiates* to see what they have to say about the Greek word #1186: *Strong's Exhaustive Concordance Of The Bible*: "#1186 ... dendron from drus (an oak); a tree: — tree."



*Thayer Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament*, page 128: "#1186 ... to grow to the shape and size of a tree."



*The Complete Word Dictionary, New Testament* by Spiros Zodhiates, TH.D.: “#1186 ... dendron ...neut. noun. A Tree ... ‘to become a tree’ (a.t.) means become like a tree in size (cf. Mark 4:32). ‘I see men as trees’ means not distinctly, in an unusual size.”

Question: When was the last time you saw a solid oak tree, just down the street, about 175 feet high with a trunk 5 feet in diameter, pull up its roots and start walking? It is obvious the Bible is using figurative language many times when it speaks of “trees.” Hence the answer to Lt. Col. Jack Mohr’s question on his thesis, *Seed of Satan, Literal of Figurative?* Lt. Col. Jack Mohr goofs again on page 5 of this booklet. Mohr argues thusly about the word “tree” in Genesis 3:17:

“While Eve had been warned by God not to eat of the ‘tree of knowledge of good and evil’, He has said nothing to her, which is recorded, about ‘touching it.’ (See Gen. 3:17). Eve was the one who added the ‘touching business’, when she talked with the serpent. Like so many of our people, she thought she had to add to the Word. The author [James E. Wise] then goes on to ‘surmise’, since he has no Scriptural proof, that the ‘trees’, in the Garden were not made up of ‘sap, bark, and foliage.’ In other words, these trees were not really trees, since trees cannot ‘discern between good and evil.’ Yet nothing written in this Scripture indicates that these ‘trees’, whatever they may have been, were supposed to do any discerning. The word TREE as used in this passage comes from the Hebrew word ‘ets’, 6095 and means ‘a tree for firmness; hence wood; gallows; helve; stock; timber; tree; wood.’ Absolutely no indication here that it refers to a ‘person’, or ‘being’ of any kind, such as a ‘serpent’ or ‘Satan.’ He goes on to compound his strange explanation by stating that these ‘trees’ were endowed with the gift of speech. Show me any-



where where the Scriptures so states.”

What is noticeable here is that Mohr says the word for “trees”, in Genesis 3:17 is #6095. It is not, however, it is #6086. It is from #6095, but it is not #6095. The Hebrew word #6095 is *atsah*, not *ets*. Actually, what Mohr does here is to correctly apply *ets*, but then goes on to use *Strong’s* definition for *atsah*. Quite a deceptive maneuver, I would say. Anything to make his point! There can be quite a difference between #6086 and #6095. This is what *Wilson’s Old Testament Word Studies* has to say about the word “tree” on page 453 (In this case, the

Hebrew characters are identical to *Strong’s* #6086):

“... a tree; often collective. trees: Gen. i. 11 &c. Figuratively, trees represent men, green trees the righteous, dry trees the wicked, Ezek xx. 47; xviii 24, all the trees of the field, all men, the high tree the lofty and powerful, the low tree the weak and contemptible ...”

From this definition by Wilson we can clearly see the Hebrew word #6086, *ets*, as used in Genesis

3:17 can be used both literally and figuratively. Mohr’s argument that it can only mean a literal “tree” is completely flawed. This goes for all the other anti-seedliners who use this point of contention to establish a false premise. When one considers how dangerous it is, to life and limb, to present the Two Seedline message, it is quite inconsiderate of the anti-seedliners to harass the messenger in his duty to his Maker and His Kingdom! By doing this, the anti-seedliners are actually aiding and abetting the enemy in this time of WAR. It’s tantamount to defecting over to the enemy’s side when millions of lives of our brethren are at stake. Such treason is more damaging, in effect, than the evil, Satanic enemy can bring about.

## Faith of the Covenant Fellowship

# Do Not Worry

Kenneth W. Lent

Greetings Remnant in Christ,

Due to a few recent rapid-fire edicts by the bankster controlled US rule makers such as declaring America to be a war zone where the US Army can be used against the American people, and more mega power land grabs wanted by the EPA, some emails have been coming in wanting to know how we can organize in large numbers to lawfully take our government back before things get really nasty. I can understand the concern but let's remember that Yahweh has almost exclusively worked with a small select group of people to accomplish His purpose instead of working through the movement of some large number of the masses of people. We are not to worry. Be aware, certainly. And work with your hardcore small groups. But worry and panic in fear? Never. As far as America goes --- ***things are falling apart right on schedule.***

Our backs are to the wall, but we shall prevail. Those who know the truth, remain in the truth, and stay loyal to our King, are few indeed. They have always been a "little flock" keenly aware of who they are and who the adversary is. This is not about "right" versus "left", "conservative" against "liberal" or "democrat vs. republican" --- rather, all which is going on around us is ultimately Christ versus antichrist. Period! And those who don't know that the "antichrists" are led by the cursed Cainite/Edomite jews (aka the Communists) with all of their wicked government subversions, need to get their heads out of the sand.

It is now time to stay tight with your elect remnant solid folk who will not, nor cannot, be deceived by the judaized wolves in sheep's clothing. God's Word tells us who we can trust and who CAN'T be fooled by the world's deceptions: "For false Christs and false prophets shall rise, and shall shew signs and wonders, to seduce, if it were possible, even the elect." (Mark 13:22) (but it's not possible for the elect in Christ to be seduced in any manner of that term)

The Scriptures teach that false doctrine of the world's false-Christ churches would become so seductive to the carnal mind of judaized churchdom assemblies that all would be led astray except "the elect". Don't expect big numbers of group revivals to join in loyalty to the cause of righteousness. But rather realize that a few solid true Christians who are racially aware and who love God's laws are all we need to win our nation for Christ's Kingdom after the smoke of chastisement clears.

It has always been a small select group made of "the right stuff" that gets the job done in winning the land for God's gifts of liberty and freedom. It was that way in the days of Joshua and Caleb, in the days of Elijah the Prophet, in the Christian American Revolution of 1776, and so it will be today. Mass majorities of lukewarm nominal Christians aren't needed to be victorious. One will get little more than a "we love God" lip service out of them anyhow. "-- for there is no restraint to Yahweh to save by many or by few." (I Sam.14:6)

Here's the prayer of our present circumstance for Christ's Remnant, to be prayed daily:

"Watch ye therefore, and pray always, that ye may be accounted worthy to escape all these things that shall come to pass, and to stand before the Son of man." (Luke 21:36)

This is our divine prayer command within the latter day battle for our Saxon homelands. We are not to be directed by the multitudes nor fear what they may do to us. The Scriptures teach that the Kingdom is the government of God, that it is ours in the end, and no man can take it away though try as they may in the present conflict between good and evil.

"Fear not, little flock; for it is your Father's good pleasure to give you the kingdom." (Luke 12:32)

May God bless and keep the Elect Remnant in His favor,  
Ken

# Response to the UK Prime Minister's Speech on Immigration delivered to the Institute of Government 10th October 2011

Dr Frank Ellis

Dear Mr Cameron

Mass immigration is not a natural disaster akin to some tsunami, drought or earthquake that periodically and unpredictably overwhelms a country. Mass immigration is a purely man-made phenomenon which is encouraged openly or covertly by people who benefit from it economically or by people who for ideological reasons wish to see England looking like some failed Third-World state, Pakistan, for example.

Mass immigration, especially mass non-white immigration, poses real dangers for the future of England. The idea that England can survive the mass, continual influx of hundreds of thousands of non-white immigrants is hideously naïve. As the white indigenous people of England are relentlessly displaced by the rapid and aggressive breeding of non-whites, the whole texture and nature of our towns and cities will be changed forever, is already changing, and, in some places, Bradford, Birmingham, whole swathes of London, have already changed for the worse.

I do not regard these huge, unprecedented changes, changes which were imposed on the white indigenous English without any consultation or any regard for England's future, with no regard for the links to our past and heritage, as in any way beneficial. In every possible regard they are disastrous. As I have made clear to you before, the changes brought about by mass, non-white immigration represent the racial, cultural, physical and psychological dispossession of the white indigenous English.

In what way, pray tell, do the indigenous English, especially those left in London, benefit from having their capital, my capital, overrun with non-whites?

The short answer is that they do not benefit from being overrun by non-whites. They suffer from overcrowding, reduced quality in public services, noise, freakish behaviour, poor education provision as a consequence of schools overrun with immigrants, exceptionally high levels of crime and corruption and high taxes to pay for foreigners.

Moreover, they are daily bombarded with BBC and other state-sponsored propaganda that they should actually be grateful for all these non-white immigrants being here.

Furthermore, should the white indigenous English protest about what is happening to their country, they will be vilified as something monstrous, when in fact their opposition to being dispossessed is entirely rational and moral, in every way normal.

What is not normal, what is perverse, what is most decidedly unnatural, is that white politicians such as you Mr Cameron, are actively encouraging hordes of non-whites currently resident in England, and others swarming across our borders, to overwhelm our country. Mass, non-white immigration has not delivered a single benefit at all to the white

indigenous English.

Your portrayal of the immigration debate as one dominated by extremes, is itself extreme (and wrong) and designed to show you as the conciliator, the moderate with sensible proposals, when in fact you have a long record of colluding with those who have sponsored mass non-white immigration.

Some form of immigration, subject to exceptionally tough controls is acceptable, but the numbers involved should be very small indeed and it should be made clear that employment in England does not

**changes brought about by mass, non-white immigration represent the racial, cultural, physical and psychological dispossession of the white indigenous English**

in any way imply a right to permanent residence. There are absolutely no benefits to be derived from the mass influx of unemployable Third-World immigrants.

One of the main problems, especially with regard to Indian and Pakistani immigrants, is the reliability of any qualifications. In the NHS this can literally be a matter of life and death, or lead to operations which are bungled because they are carried out by incompetents. Remember Daniel Ubani, the Nigerian with a German passport? Ever heard of the Indian, Manjit Bhamra?

One of the weak links in your immigration proposal is that you show no understanding of the race factor. Race and race differences, matter and they cannot be made not to matter by government diktat. Nor can endless race relations laws and amendments deny the basic consequences of race and race differences.

Large numbers of non-whites in a white country will always be a permanent source of tension and very often violence. We see the evidence for this all over the world. The fact that, for most of her history, our England has been racially homogenous has been a great blessing. Racial diversity is a curse.

As the number of non-whites increases, as it has done grotesquely over the last 30 years, so the racial, social and economic stresses become ever harder to hide, or to deny. Blacks engaging in looting and raping are just obvious and visible examples of how mass, non-white immigration has failed and how the white population bears the costs, economic, cultural and psychological.

Immigration is not just about the on-going immigrant threat to England, it must also face the problem of those who have come here in large numbers and who have managed to secure a British passport. They have come here and wish to stay, because they enjoy a standard of living in a First-World economy that would be impossible in Pakistan or Africa.

If the numbers involved were exiguous and all further non-white immigration was almost

impossible, except for a highly-qualified and suitable few, then all the legitimate fears and worries about immigration would disappear. That the rational, logical, healthy and morally reasonable fears of the white English indigenous people with regard to mass, non-white immigration show no sign whatsoever of abating, is because the problems associated with mass, non-white immigration – crime, corruption, child abuse, depraved honour killings and forced marriages violence, physical dispossession and overcrowding/overpopulation – are getting worse.

On these trends the English will be reduced to a racial minority in their own country some time in this century. Do you really want that outcome for your children Mr Cameron? Immigration policy must therefore deal with two problems: one immediate; the other long term.

The threat posed to England by mass, non-white immigration is largely a consequence of immigrants exploiting legal instruments which oblige us to accept them. The obvious first step is to rescind all legislation that prevents or hinders the expulsion of immigrants.

Your view, Mr Cameron, that ‘Britain will always be open to those who are seeking asylum from persecution’ is an outrageous proposition and one that has done so much to make it possible for immigrants to enter England under false pretences. It leaves us permanently vulnerable to events in other parts of the

world, over which we have no control, but which, when they lead to political collapse, mean that we are obliged to permit hordes of so-called asylum seekers (criminals and illegal immigrants) to enter England and “enrich” us. This is something that must change if we are to have any chance of saving England. Leaving the EU must also be a very high priority.

The immediate problem is to prevent all further immigration. It must be a matter of the highest priority to hunt down, round up and to deport all illegal immigrants. If they have assets these can be seized to cover the costs of deportation. The next step is make it clear to non-whites currently resident in this country, especially blacks, that they shall not

**Race and race differences, matter and they cannot be made not to matter by government diktat. Nor can endless race relations laws and amendments deny the basic consequences of race and race differences.**

be permitted to enjoy any special status merely because they are non-whites.

If large numbers of blacks are incarcerated, having been subjected to the due process of English law then that must be seen as an indication of a black predisposition to commit crime, not some insidious racist plot, as in the Marxist slander of institutional racism.

Again, black educational failure reflects low-mean black IQ – well documented – not a white conspiracy. Blacks will have to learn to live with their limitations. Whites are not responsible for black failure and the psychological terror aimed at whites, often by other whites, to make white society feel guilty for black failure should be dismissed out of hand.

The next step is to recognise that the welfare state has created a massive parasitic underclass. People who refuse to provide services in return for welfare handouts should be denied any money at all and housed in government hostels where they will receive basic survival provision and no more. This means a bed, basic food and a roof.

For those who show willing there will be firmness but fairness and maybe at times some warmth. Those that riot can expect ruthless counter measures to restore order and discipline. Respect, dignity, status, self-esteem, a sense of achievement cannot be donated by charity: they have to be earned. The only politician in England who grasps these facts of life is Frank Field, a very honourable and decent man.

Other measures, some of which I noted earlier this year can also be taken.

First, long term, every effort must be made to encourage large numbers of non-whites to return to their own countries. Generous financial benefits and inducements can be made to encourage repatriation.

Second, under no circumstances must there ever be an amnesty for illegal immigrants.

Third, under no circumstances will the creation of

an independent Islamic/Muslim state ever be permitted within the territory of the United Kingdom.

Fourth, the provisions of Sharia are grossly incompatible with the legal, political and cultural traditions of England and shall not be permitted.

Fifth, family migration cannot be used as an excuse to bring relatives to this country. People who cannot bear to be separated from their families should not separate themselves from their wife (wives) in Pakistan. That these individuals are allegedly in search of a better life is an irrelevance, an emotional red herring, and imposes no obligation, moral or legal, on England to end this self-induced separation.

**black educational failure reflects low-mean black IQ – well documented – not a white conspiracy. Blacks will have to learn to live with their limitations. Whites are not responsible for black failure**

When you say - ‘immigration is not just about people coming to live here for a while. Some will want to settle and then join us as fellow British citizens...’ - you ignore one very important consideration. Do I, as a white Englishman, want these people to join me?

What happens when I emphatically do not want these people to join me? How can millions of non-white immigrants just ‘join me’? The answer is they cannot and they must not be encouraged to believe that they are welcome to ‘join us’.

I do not want to have to endure the psychologically distressing sight of English cities overrun with immigrants and it is not just the cities that face invasion. The next attack wave to hit white England, currently being planned by your government, is the calculated destruction and concreting of the countryside, including the Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONBs) and National Parks.

The aim here is to impose thousands of non-white immigrants on areas which have so far escaped them and when your party has managed to tear up the planning laws – not a perfect legal instrument by any means – and given the red light to rapacious developers, what will be the result? The result will be hundreds of thousands of shoddy, high-density, anti-social housing units in the countryside.

For the first time there is now the real risk that mosques, hitherto confined to cities, will appear in the countryside. It is a truly dreadful thought. The long term problems will be racial tension, soaring council taxes, more crime, certainly more violent crime and Third-World squalor in England's ancient shires and when hordes of blacks imported to Ludlow, Ripon, and why not Witney\* [PM's constituency, Editor], as part of government policy, start to engage in a bit of looting and violence, because that is what they do in the hood, the liberals will explain this degenerate behaviour as arising from a lack of opportunities for 'young black

*Editor's Note – Dr Ellis had been suspended by the Vice-Chancellor, pending disciplinary proceedings. The University issued a media release stating that it was investigating an alleged breach of its diversity policy. It also said Ellis's views were wholly at odds with the University's values, he had jeopardised the university's obligations under the [Race Relations \(Amendment\) Act 2000](#), and that he had not apologised for his remarks. Dr Ellis took early retirement in June 2006, pre-empting the outcome of the disciplinary action because of his published views that races are different and not equal..*

people' in a market town: the rioters were alienated and misunderstood; it's not the fault of the 'young black people'.

The final result of permitting the developers to run riot and to build these shanty towns will be the destruction of a priceless asset.

So Mr Cameron: it really is time to stop the talking, posing, the endless consulting and act.

Yours sincerely,  
Frank Ellis

## FURY AT FOREIGN LAGS ON THE RUN

Conor Sheils 19th December 2011

<http://www.dailystar.co.uk/news/view/226178/Fury-at-foreign-lags-on-the-run/>

The Home Office faces fresh calls to deport foreign criminals after a leaked report showed thousands of foreign killers and rapists are still loose in Britain.

Shadow Home Secretary Yvette Cooper demanded answers over the failure to send foreign lags home.

She said: "Ministers need to explain why they have failed to get a grip of problems in border controls and why they have taken their eye off the ball in dealing with serious foreign criminals."

A leaked UK Border Agency report showed that in September, 4,238 criminals earmarked for deportation were on the loose, having been released because immigration bosses couldn't send them home.

The figure is almost 500 higher than the previous figure released in May, proving ministers are fighting a losing battle.

And the problem looks set to get worse as the report warns of countries, including Poland and Germany, dragging their heels when it comes to

taking non-indigenous criminals back.

### Crime warning over foreign inmates

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/feedarticle/10001097>

In response to its critics the Government said,

"We are doing everything in our power to increase the number and speed of removals. We now start deportation action 18 months before the end of their sentence to speed up the process and we are chartering more flights to remove foreign offenders. We will change the immigration rules to cut abuse of the Human Rights Act, we'll open more foreign national-only prisons, and we'll be able to remove more European offenders through the Prisoner Transfer Agreement."



# "German"(?) Woman Names Child "Jihad"

Paul Harkes



This story from the *Huffington Post* reads like something out of a South Park episode. After many attempts I have managed to write this without my head exploding.

A five hundred and twenty eight pound Muslim woman in Germany named Elfi Yaghi has given birth to the country's largest baby who weighs in at over thirteen pounds.

Foregoing the usual caesarean section procedure used for newborns of this size, she demanded to rear the baby boy using the good old fashioned method. While birth rates around the West are in rapid decline, Ms. Yaghi aged 40 has already given birth to thirteen other children.

To top off the absurdity, she decided to name the child Jihad, the Islamic term for holy war often used by terrorists to rally attacks against the West.

If you can read this story and not see anything wrong then there is no helping you. The fact that it's

even newsworthy should tell you everything you need to know. The notion that in any society this situation could ever even transpire is incomprehensible.

The West used to value greatness and beauty. We produced universal geniuses like Beethoven, travelled to the moon, and possessed highly functioning economies.

Now we made our highest value diversity and our reward is that obese women give birth to children whose name infers that they should crusade against the civilization that subsidises them.

It'd be as if I moved to India, ate McDonald's all day, impregnated multiple women, and named all of my kids *PakistaniDeathSquadz & Ghandi*.

I am truly speechless because a society at this stage of terminal decline is beyond the point of parody.



**Baby Jihad, who joins 13 siblings of Elfi - Mohammad Yaghi is reportedly the largest baby born naturally in German**

*Editor's Note – We recall an American child named Adolph Hitler actually being removed from the parental home solely because the State objected to his name!*

*The wedding feast itself, is the destruction of all of the enemies and aliens among the children of Israel, so that the bride may be sanctified and cleansed in preparation for her union with the husband.*

*Commentary on Revelation 18*

# History & Fundamentals

## from South Africa

By 'fundamentals' we speak of things like: summer, winter, autumn, spring, you know, things that politicians cannot change or which they change only to the detriment of the order of things. There is an ultimate order, and the closer we attain to it, the greater becomes our personal and national wellbeing.

The trick is to discover the fundamental non-negotiables that harmonise with natural law. Once that is established half the battle is won. We who are Bible-believing Christians have the advantage of possessing the Book of Rules that provide for us the remaining answers to our quest for a successful national constitution ensuring prosperity, but not necessarily peace. We know that because we have lived through pockets of time where this was demonstrated. Pre-war Germany and post-war South Africa knew both sides of that equation. The prosperity generated in wicked men and wicked systems jealousy and hatred that proved terminal for both successful systems.

Nevertheless that is no reason for turning away from what we know produces success, because again, we are living witnesses of the chaos and calamitous alternative that is evident from east to west and pole to pole.

The forcing of wrong systems upon the world by delinquent politicians can produce nothing but what grows from the seeds of chaos they sow. Racial integration and usury have made their way into our social and economic order and defiled the sweet waters of social harmony and honest money. Now their poison is in the pot from which we are being forced to eat. Lunatics from our asylums could not do more harm to our way of life than the heartless troublemakers who do all these things driven by greed for money and lust for power over our nations.

It is no longer necessary to warn about the predictable consequences of what would follow the practice of universalism and money manipulation, the evidence is splashed on our screens and newspapers every day.

The sickening decline in decency, common sense and public behaviour, and the decadent music and art that despoils our culture is the price we are paying for violating the divine decree or to allow an alien "one who is not of your race" to rule over us. It is a Fundamental Sanction, which we have ignored



The lessons from our past we too have ignored. South Africa had every reason, after emerging from a history peppered with "Kaffir wars", and centuries of struggle, to thumb their noses at what is anything but the 'United Nations'. We knew what had been achieved through "Separate

Development". It was a workable system that was getting better all the time. We knew what our problems were and we knew how to handle them. Why murder our greatest leader to please the jabbering idiots in New York? If it had led to a shooting war would we have been any worse off than we are now? They would have smashed our cities, but our racial integrity would have remained intact. And cities can always be rebuilt.

South Africa was not overwhelmed in warfare. Nobody wears medals or carries the wounds of war that forced our demise. We live in blushing shame because we put our trust in politicians instead of Almighty God, whom we pretend to worship. *We gave in to the most primitive of peoples, who never won a single battle against us, and surrendered to an apparition called 'world Opinion'.* We gave them the keys to our fabulous wealth, to our arsenals, and worst of all to the minds of our school going children. In return they rape our wives and our beautiful daughters, they slaughter our farmers, and if we shoot back in



defence we are flung into our prisons.

The second Boer war ended with victory for England but the glory achieved by the humble Boer lives on to this day. Our defeat was achieved on pieces of paper. Our generation will surely carry the tag, in terms of courage and valour, as the most forgettable of White South Africa. To exacerbate the shame, now that our heritage has been disgraced by a thing called democracy, hundreds of thousands of our best people have bolted and left this sinking ship - not that we blame them.

We are down and disgraced, but so long as the Promises of our God remain upon the pages of His eternal Word we know that our condition is temporary, not permanent. We are learning a long and painful lesson, one that has happened again and again to God's stubborn, hard-hearted people. This time we have to learn it well because our racial destiny is at stake.

We've had warnings, one such is found in Nebuchadnezzar's dream. Daniel's interpretation of the feet and toes kingdom is translated as follows by Ferrar Fenton: "As you saw its feet and toes were formed part of clay, and part of

iron; that kingdom shall be a divided one; yet the firmness of iron will be in it, because you saw the iron mixed with tempered clay. So as the toes were part of iron, and part of clay, part of that Empire will be strong, and part brittle; and as you observed the iron was mixed with the tempered clay, they will mix themselves with the races of mankind - but will not stick together with each other, as iron does not unite with clay. But in the days of those kings, the God of Heaven will establish an Everlasting Empire and indestructible, - whose Sovereignty will not be transferred to another people. It will subdue and break all the kingdoms, and will stand forever.

The New English Bible is even more graphic with references to the races. "As, in your vision, the iron was mixed with common clay, so shall men mix with each other by intermarriage, but such alliances shall not be stable: iron does not mix with clay." (Dan. 2/43,44) How about that from the Liberal translators!

The reason why Christ's Kingdom will stand forever is because the values of His Kingdom are the Fundamental values we have spurned.

## Eurozone Psychodrama



The EU is becoming a centralised superstate - exactly what the 'European project' was designed to be from the very start. Jean Monnet, the Rothschild frontman and

'Founding Father' of what has become the EU, wrote in a letter to a friend on 30th April, 1952:

*'Europe's nations should be guided towards the superstate without their people understanding what is happening. This can be accomplished by successive steps, each disguised as having an economic purpose, but which will eventually and irreversibly lead to federation.'*

This is precisely what has happened and is still happening. The euro is an economic vehicle to bring about political union and establish a Superstate called Europe.

## *A DOG FOR JESUS*

*Rudyard Kipling*

*I wish someone had given Jesus a dog  
As loyal and loving as mine  
To sleep by His manger and gaze in His eyes  
And adore Him for being divine.*

*As our Lord grew to manhood His faithful dog  
Would have followed Him all through the day  
While He preached to the crowds and made the sick well  
And knelt in the garden to pray.*

*It is sad to remember that Christ went away  
To face death alone and apart  
With no tender dog following close behind  
To comfort its Master's Heart.*

*And when Jesus rose on that Easter morn  
How happy He would have been  
As His dog kissed His hands and barked its delight  
For The One who died for all men.*

*Well, the Lord has a dog now, I just sent Him mine  
The old pal so dear to me  
And I smile through my tears on this first day alone  
Knowing they're in eternity.*

*Day after day, the whole day through  
Wherever my road inclined  
Four feet said, "I am coming with you!"  
And trotted along behind.*

# Smoke, Mirrors, and the “Disappearance” of Polio

Suzanne Humphries, MD



There is plenty of confusion on the topic of vaccination, especially amongst brainwashed doctors who trusted their medical schools. Then the unsuspecting, trusting public trusts them...

because the medical establishment must know best, right? And doctors are nice people, trying to do a good thing. True. I was once one of those brainwashed doctors who believed in the benevolence of the medical system and believed that all I learned was the best that modern times had to offer. It is blazingly clear to me now though, that much of what is taught in medical school is enormously limited. I now see that most doctors are little more than blind slave-technicians who follow the dogma they were taught and were rewarded for repeating, even as the truth unfolds in front of them dictating otherwise.

Unbeknownst to most doctors, the polio-vaccine history involves a massive public health service makeover during an era when a live, deadly strain of poliovirus infected the Salk polio vaccines, and paralyzed hundreds of children and their contacts. These were the vaccines that were supposedly responsible for the decline in polio from 1955 to 1961! But there is a more sinister reason for the “decline” in polio during those years; in 1955, a very creative re-definition of poliovirus infections was invented, to “cover” the fact that many cases of “polio” paralysis had no poliovirus in their systems at all. While this protected the reputation of the Salk vaccine, it muddied the waters of history in a big way.

Even during the peak epidemics, unifactorial poliovirus infection, resulting in long-term paralysis, was a low-incidence disease that was falsely represented as a rampant and violent crippler by Basil O’Connor’s “March Of Dimes” advertising campaigns.

At the same time as Basil O’Connor was pulling in

45 million dollars a year to fund the Salk vaccine development, scientists started to realize that other viruses like Coxsackie, echo and enteroviruses, could also cause polio. They also discussed the fact that lead, arsenic, DDT, and other commonly-used neurotoxins, could identically mimic the lesions of polio. During the great epidemics in the United States, the pathology called polio was reversed by alternative medical doctors who attested to great success, using detoxification procedures available at the time – yet they were categorically ignored.

Now it is admitted in the medical literature that other viruses can cause polio, yet few people on the street have any idea.


Prior to 1954, the following undoubtedly hid behind the name “poliomyelitis”: Transverse Myelitis, viral or “aseptic” meningitis, Guillain-Barre Syndrome (GBS)- (what Franklin Delano Roosevelt had), Chinese Paralytic syndrome, Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, epidemic cholera, cholera morbus, spinal meningitis, spinal apoplexy, inhibitory palsy, intermittent fever, famine fever, worm fever, bilious remittent fever, ergotism, post-polio syndrome, acute flaccid paralysis(AFP).

Included under the umbrella term “Acute Flaccid Paralysis” are Poliomyelitis, Transverse Myelitis, Guillain-Barré syndrome, enteroviral



Polio victims in Iron Lung ward 1950's

encephalopathy, traumatic neuritis, Reye's syndrome etc.



When people ask me where all the children on iron lungs are, I would answer that they should ask Dr. Douglas Kerr from Johns Hopkins, who stated on

pg. xv in the Forward to Donna Jackson Nakazawa's book "The Autoimmune Epidemic"...

*"Infants as young as five months old can get Transverse Myelitis, and some are left permanently paralyzed and dependent upon a ventilator to breathe... my colleagues at the Johns Hopkins Hospital and I hear about or treat hundreds of new cases every year."*

Does the public have any idea that there are hundreds of cases of something that would once have been called polio, and some of those children will be dependent on a modern version of the iron lung? No. Parents today think that the Salk vaccine eliminated any need for ventilators, because the pictures of all these children on iron lungs are no longer paraded in front of people in order to create fear. Besides which, today's "iron lungs" don't look like a prototype submarine. They are barely recognizable as today's "ventilators."

## Populace Rendered Disabled & Dysfunctional dependent upon Drugs

The truth is, vaccine after vaccine is found to be failing to do what parents expect and that is to protect our children. Governments are lying to us, the pharmaceutical companies are lying to us and the media is lying to us. Our children are getting sicker and sicker when they should be healthier now than ever before.

This was clearly shown in a powerful statement written in a study by Gary Null, PhD and Nancy Ashley, VMD, MS. They said:

*"It seems that we have allowed a vaccination industry to become much like military-industrial-complex— it cannot be touched, questioned, or changed... Since vaccination became commonplace from the 1950s to our present day, the health of the average American has gotten worse, and as a nation we have become sicker and sicker. Chronic fatigue, depression, allergies, asthma, attention deficit disorders, autism, rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, diabetes, Parkinson's, Lou Gehrig's disease, lupus, asthma, fibromyalgia, IBS — we are plagued with a host of debilitating, chronic*

*diseases that tend not to kill us quickly, but leave us disabled and dysfunctional: dependent on the pharmaceuticals industry to keep us going. We know that we are destroying our own natural immune system by relying on vaccines to briefly and incompletely protect us against certain bacteria and viruses, but what else is happening to us by allowing ourselves to be injected with this witches' brew of degradation products? Are we too sick to notice that there is a problem? Time will tell, but how much time do we have?"*

<http://www.progressiveradionetwork.com/health-headlines/2011/11/30/gary-null-phd-and-nancy-ashley-vmd-ms-deadly-injection-the-h.html>

Clearly the reason vaccines are failing to keep children healthy is to finance the pharmaceutical companies. After all, when our children are ill we go to a doctor and the doctors prescribe drugs that are manufactured by the same pharmaceutical companies that manufactured the vaccines. This proves there is method in their madness.

*If the people let government decide what foods they eat and what medicines they take, their bodies will soon be in as sorry state as are the souls of those living under tyranny — Thomas Jefferson*

# Do Childhood Vaccines cause Subdural [Brain] Hemorrhages currently diagnosed as Shaken Baby Syndrome?

Harold E Buttram, MD and Catherine J Frompovich October 2011

<http://www.vaccinationcouncil.org/2011/10/23/do-childhood-vaccines-cause-subdural-brain-hemorrhages-currently-diagnosed-as-shaken-baby-syndrome-and-other-health-anomalies/>

A growing base of raw material statistics from the USA and Japan showing clear time-based relationships between childhood vaccine schedules and incidences of subdural hemorrhages, occurring predominantly during the first six months in the USA and during the

seventh month in Japan, provides heretofore uncollected input material for an epidemiological study of massive proportions that clearly can illustrate a causal vaccine-subdural hemorrhage relationship and other health anomalies.

## Safe Natural Sweeteners in order

[http://www.naturalnews.com/034317\\_natural\\_sweeteners\\_raw\\_honey.html#ixzz1fep1S6rW](http://www.naturalnews.com/034317_natural_sweeteners_raw_honey.html#ixzz1fep1S6rW)

**Stevia:** Stevia is processed from the leaf of the stevia plant, which is native to South America. This herb derivative has no effect on blood sugar, insulin signaling and triglyceride formation. It develops most of its sweetness from glycosides called stevioside and rebaudioside. These compounds are 250-300 times sweeter than sucrose, which makes them have the ability to withstand heat and have longer shelf life. Studies have even shown the stevia leaf to have beneficial effects at improving cellular insulin sensitivity and at reducing the risk of type II diabetes and high blood pressure.

**Lo Han Extract:** A fruit plant that grows native in Southern China/Northern Thailand. Lo Han has a very low glycemic index and low sugar content. It gets the majority of its sweetness from a glycoside nutrient called mogrosides. These mogrosides are 300 times sweeter than sugar and act as anti-oxidants that have shown abilities to inhibit cancer cell formation. This is a wonderful sweetener but can be hard to find and expensive.

**Coconut Nectar:** This is a very low glycemic liquid sweetener derived from the liquid sap of the coconut blossoms. The glycemic index is 35 making it one of the lowest of natural sweeteners. Also, the fructose levels are very low at 10%, and it contains a wide variety of

anti-oxidants, minerals and other nutrients that enhance blood sugar stability. It is challenging to get and quite expensive.

**Organic, Raw Honey:** This superfood does have an effect on blood sugar and contains approximately 53% fructose, so one should only consume this in moderation. Honey contains a wide array of trace minerals such as calcium, iron, zinc, potassium, phosphorous, magnesium, copper, chromium, manganese and selenium. These nutrients are critical for healthy cellular insulin sensitivity and blood sugar balance.

Raw honey is also extremely rich in anti-oxidants and natural enzymes. Honey contains flavonoid anti-oxidants such as pinocembrin and pinostrobin that help reduce oxidative stress in the body and promote better enzyme activity.

**Organic Blackstrap Molasses:** Molasses is a byproduct of the processing of sugar. It does have an effect on glycemic index and must only be consumed in moderation. Blackstrap molasses is a very rich source of iron, copper, manganese, potassium, magnesium and selenium.

*Editor – We do not recommend use of Sugar Alcohols: including xylitol, glycerol, sorbitol, maltitol, mannitol, and erythritol due to reported side effects.*

# THE SAXON MESSENGER



## A n n o u n c e m e n t s

The Saxon Messenger can be contacted by email [editor@saxonmessenger.org](mailto:editor@saxonmessenger.org)

The Saxon Messenger Website is at <http://saxonmessenger.org/> where this issue and future issues will be archived.

Clifton A Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries can be found at <http://emahiser.christogenea.org/site/> including all writings produced by his ministry since its inception in February 1998

### **Christian Identity Radio**

Christogenea 8 pm EST Friday Biblical Exegesis and Commentaries

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Notes from Commentary on Revelation posted at <http://christreich.christogenea.org/revelation>

CHRISTOGENEA SATURDAYS 8 pm EST

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Programme notes at <http://christogenea.org/chrSaturdays>



CHRISTOGENEA EUROPEAN OPEN FORUM CALL

first & third Thursdays each month

at 2:00 pm Eastern or 7:00 pm U.K.

If you have not yet connected to the Christogenea Community Conference Voice/Chat Server go to <http://christogenea.net/connect>

Audios of all the above are available at <http://christogenea.org/audio/feed>

Christogenea 24/7 Internet Radio Streaming

The Radio pages can be found at <http://christogenea.org:8000/index.html>

and at <http://christogenos.org:8000/index.html>.