


illic heu miseri traducimur!
Juvenal

Instauration®

VOL. 8 NO. 2

JANUARY 1983



MAJORITY RENEGADE OF THE YEAR

The Safety Valve

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip codes.

As far as fundamentalist Christians are concerned, Israel is the Holy Land. As far as I am concerned, Nordic Lebensraum is the Holy Land.

103

In your mention of George Wallace's election as Alabama's governor, you neglected to say that his Republican opponent, Emory Folmar, a tough law-and-order man, was portrayed by the state ACLU leaders as a Nazi. The liberals, blacks and "New Southerners" therefore had a Hobson's Choice. They either had to vote for a vegetable or for someone the press called the "May-ortollah." You can well imagine where their votes went.

301

The other day on Phil Donahue's show a professional black racist made the statement that "One of the most serious problems we face in this country is that white people vote in a bloc." Needless to note, no one commented on the absolute idiocy of the contention. When asked about the dozen or so blacks sitting in one section of the audience, Donahue admitted that they had been brought in by the show's management "so the guests wouldn't feel all alone up there in a sea of white faces."

783

Minority comics like Richard Pryor are allowed maximum flexibility to the point of license, even libel, when speaking about racial matters. Why can't we have just one Majority funnyman who can say "whatever he wants" in the American tradition of free speech and the English tradition of "poetic license"?

361

I am a pre-Enlightenment, non-Christian conservative. I am going to write to the FBI asking for whatever information they may have on me. I would not be surprised if there is an "Honor Roll" list of Majority members guilty of thought crimes.

770

Perhaps some readers saw Buckley's waste-of-air-time "Firing Line" (Sept. 12). Rev. Jesse Jackson, his guest, claimed that 65 million Negroes died in the crossing of the Atlantic on slave ships. Even Buckley had to dispute this incredible whale-tale by saying he found Jackson's "mathematics" a little unbelievable. Buckley added that only about 650,000 slaves had ever been brought over from Africa. He challenged Jackson to name "one reputable black historian" to substantiate such a figure. Jackson cited the black red, W.E.B. Dubois, and said that he was as inclined to believe black historians as Buckley was inclined to believe his white sources.

095

While the cold-blooded Jewish slaughter of little children in Lebanon may not clear many liberal heads, it will turn some liberal stomachs.
Canadian subscriber

I have decided that conservatives are insane and liberals are just con men. Instauration has been taken in by the liberal con men merely by treating the black IQ debate seriously. Even the dumbest black knows blacks are just plain stupid.

208

Now I know why "E.T." is so popular. We're being worked on psychologically to accept, albeit in a roundabout way, that even ugly aliens from outer space can be cute.

902

As a result of those most interesting notes on the French Revolution ("Notes from the Sceptred Isle, Sept. 1982), I reread parts of Nesta Webster's *The French Revolution* and think her account of the Veto worthy of mention:

There came a critical point for the leaders of the French Revolution when it became evident that the tide was turning irresistibly against them. The pretext they needed for creating fresh dissensions was provided by the debate on the "royal sanction" Should the King be allowed to retain the right of the Veto? If so, should the Veto be "absolute" or "suspensive", i.e., should the King be able absolutely to veto the promulgation of a law or merely to suspend its promulgation until a later date? A panic on the subject of the Veto spread through Paris. The fact that the Latin word conveyed no meaning whatsoever to many people made the work of the agitators much easier. On the street corners, they cried, "Do you know what the Veto is? Listen then. You go home and your wife has prepared your dinner. The King says 'Veto!' and you get nothing to eat." One of the peasants thought the "suspensive Veto" was the right to suspend, i.e., to hang anyone he pleased. Some people believed the Veto to be alive: "What is he, this Veto? What has he done, this brigand, Veto?" Thus was the mob driven into a frenzy and the tide reversed.

774

"Magnet schools" are schools with high minority enrollment which boast special, highly attractive courses (say, computer programming) to attract bright Majority students. The ploy is unalloyed blackmail and arm-twisting, and often a blanket permit to commit mayhem on the Majority youngster.

329

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$25 regular (sent third class)
\$15 student (sent third class)
Add \$10.50 for first class mail
\$12.50 Canada and foreign
Add \$17.00 for overseas air
Single copy price \$2, plus 75¢ postage

Wilmot Robertson, Editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen

Third class mail is not forwardable.
Please advise us of any change of address
well in advance.

© 1983 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
All Rights Reserved

CONTENTS

Majority Renegade of the Year	6
Two Kinds of Apostasy.....	7
America's Spotlight	9
Screaming Numbers	10
Darwin Was More Moral and a Better Christian Than Many Present-day Creationists.....	12
Cultural Catacombs.....	16
Inklings	18
Cholly Bilderberger	20
Notes from the Sceptred Isle	22
Primate Watch	23
Talking Numbers	24
Channel XX	26
Elsewhere.....	27
Stirrings.....	31

Cholly B. is correct in his basic thesis that any institution that formally chooses to ignore race is bogus. Unfortunately, there is no other kind these days and some of us need to "work" for our padded welfare checks. I am sure Cholly is getting much \$ from dividends and interest from equal opportunity employers. If Cholly thinks congresspersons are wimps, he should meet federal careerists. Non-wimps are a security risk in a whimocracy.

403

Speakers on French government-owned radio and TV stations have been heard to declare, "A Jew cannot be a French citizen as well." Imagine all this, less than a century after the canonization of Dreyfus.

French subscriber

Perhaps we're lucky that there is a state of Israel, if only because Jews don't give their hearts and our atomic secrets to their former ideological motherland, Russia.

200

Journalists are like lawyers. Just like any good defense counsel, they know what to ask, and more important, what not to ask. Just like any good prosecutor, they know how to turn the heat on, often in the most subtle ways, not by screaming and ranting, but by skillfully manipulating the witness for the accused.

508

Paul Harvey has noted that Israeli drivers are the absolute worst, having the highest per-capita accident rate in the world. Israel has lost more lives in highway accidents than in all its wars put together.

317

The whole world is obliged to witness how the U.S. is in Jewish hands. One day America is going to have to pay a terrible price for this.

Belgian subscriber

The standard argument against "protectionism" is that high tariffs and other trade barriers simply won't work, that they caused the depression of 1929, that it'd hurt more than help our domestic economy and balance of trade. I'm no economist, but anyone must question these arguments if he has happened to notice that the Japanese get away with it, and are doing quite nicely for themselves.

198

Navy Publication P-485, "Afloat Supply Procedures," lists Israel as a "NATO country."

629

I can think of nothing which more clearly shows how far whites have fallen than the long search by sports writers for a "Great White Hope." The Great White Hope is here, as he always has been and as he always will be -- as long as there's a white race. In his rarest and most elite form, he is the tool-maker; in his more common form, he is the tool-user. It is absurd to propose or to believe that in order to demonstrate his superiority the white must descend to the level of the boxing ring and defeat a black at the black's own level on the black's own terms.

293

Cholly's Sutter Lang is magnificent, period. I myself have a pretty well-developed tendency to start the same kind of dialogue/dialectic as he does. But I have never been dragged into a brawl because of my Langesque verbalizing. I always see the other chap a tiny bit shocked, embarrassed, ill at ease -- then the nervous agreement. Females are the only ones who differ. The more petite the Nordic, the more niggardly her agreement. Big, tall, beefy Nordic tootsies, old-stock jewels with beautiful Illinois river-town twangy accents, never disagree.

579

What Marx wrote is all absurd, but is much loved in academia as a justification of a dictatorship of professors, not of proles.

581

I grew up in a little German-American mid-western town. Unlike almost everyone else, I was tall, narrow and had black hair and dark eyes. I was thought to be mostly French -- which I mostly am. I was a runner-up in a beauty contest, did some modeling and was in a movie (a bit part) before I married at 19. Though I was considered to be pretty or sometimes "beautiful," I was not considered exceptionally intelligent, though my teachers gave me A's in art and music and sometimes in literature. My main interests were daydreaming and dating. After I was married and a mother and my son was seven or eight, he asked questions about his grandfather -- my father, who'd been killed in Germany on the front lines. Unable to answer all of them, I began to be curious about my roots. So I wrote letters. After I had gone back to college I received a letter from a distant cousin telling me that she thought our great-grandmother must have been Jewish because she had black hair and dark eyes and very white skin. I reported this to my professors. Now I started to get A's not only in art, but also in math and philosophy and almost everything. Several times my professors remarked about the high intelligence of my Jewish ancestors. I graduated "summa." Shortly after graduation I got two letters, both of them informing me that my great-grandmother was probably not Jewish. Now comes the big question. Would my many Jewish professors have given me such high marks if they had known the truth? It's a horrible thought, but having come face to face with their intense racism for several years, I wonder.

935

In a full-page ad for Westinghouse weapons systems, Genghis Khan was portrayed as a mad 13th-century conqueror who poured molten silver into the ears of his victims. To make the story of Genghis's cruelty even more convincing, the Mongoloid warlord was given blue eyes.

107

It takes a lot of gall for a black to write an article for *Instauration* (Oct. 1982). To answer Mr. Curtis, I would conjecture that the ghetto black and his lifestyle may be here long after the Anglo-Saxons and the Afro-Saxons are gone.

852

We're off to Crete, having finished dragging off the poor bastards from Beirut into exile. What am I doing in this Convoy of Tears? I'm just following orders.

Seafaring subscriber

Cholly is right about our first duty being to bring down the present power structure. The ridiculous public education system and a venal legal system are two obviously weak points. Why are people surprised that the laws are so ridiculously complex? If the building trades dominated legislatures, would people be surprised if building codes required triple wiring and quadruple plumbing?

612

It was shocking to hear that Archbishop Trifa, the Romanian accused of having "incited a riot in 1941" in Budapest in which 300 "Christians and Jews" were supposedly killed, is to be deported. How in the hell can an American court order a man deported on the basis of unproven and unprovable allegations about a "crime" which took place 41 years ago halfway around the world? And now, where will this old man find asylum? Perhaps Nazi-hunter Simon Wiesenthal has plans for him. Perhaps the Israelis will kidnap him and whisk him away to the "democratic" racial paradise for a "fair trial."


191

Pollsters claim their "margin of error" is 5%. If it's 5%, how is it that two different polls in the same area can differ by much more than 5%?

466

The October issue was very good, especially "Conservatism and Racism." We're becoming more and more confident. It took us quite a while to assert European values over Christian ones, and now we're ready to separate race from conservatism.

209



☐ Strictly from a racial standpoint, isn't it obvious that a Palestinian state cannot in all logic be placed on the occupied West Bank? I have deep sympathy for that horribly put-upon people. But I find, too, that I can't raise much personal ire at the behavior of the Israeli-born Jews. They're only operating under the influence of innate primate/sociobiological principles. No, my anger is directed at the U.S. and other diaspora Jewish groups in the West who've vehemently advocated integration for decades. Surely this is one of the most crass examples of hypocrisy and double-think in this century.

606

☐ I don't see how any amount of prayers, public or private, are going to solve our country's real problems. Even a devout believer must realize that real, down-to-earth action is the only thing that can save anyone, whether they think they're going to some pie-in-the-sky paradise or not.

555

☐ Taking a leaf from the book of the most artful of all revisionists, those who authored the World War II myth of the six million, Japanese historians have now described their prolonged orgy of looting, arson, gang rape and wholesale slaughter of unarmed civilians in China and Korea as a series of "advances." Euphemistic marches on -- from the bombing of the Iraqi nuclear power plant through the months of carnage in Lebanon. Why all that was just Israeli "defensive measures"! And did you hear about the writing on one of the few remaining walls in Shatila? "Kil-Goy was here!"

602

☐ There are two great political parties in America today, and they sure aren't the Democrats and the Republicans -- nor are they the left wing and the right wing. They are the Dispossessed Majority -- whose life-and-death interests far transcend all petty matters of "left" and "right" or of Democrat and Republican -- and the Dispossessing Minorities, a broad coalition which includes not only those racial groups which are gradually preempting white America, but also millions of individual white Americans whom the system rewards for selling out their people.

The modern American system is designed so that white, and usually Anglo-Saxon or Irish individuals -- with names like Reagan, Carter, Ford, Johnson, Kennedy, Jackson, Bush -- get to "lead" as figureheads in most major departments of life, but only on the one condition that they do nothing to reverse, or even to slow down, the accelerating cultural and biological destruction of their people. They are permitted (for now) to retain a few advisers who are cut from the same ethnic cloth -- men with names like Powell, Jordan, Baker and Meese. Just past the glare of maximum visibility, however, comes the vast and murky sea of minority humanity -- not only in law, the media and the bureaucracies, but increasingly in the total human composition of the nation's urban areas. This spreading sea effectively isolates and insulates the handful of Howard Bakers and James A. Bakers from all the steadily retreating white Bakers of the hinterland.

223

☐ In *Natural History* (October 1982) Stephen Jay Gould again strikes out against "racists" and takes an extreme egalitarian position. (Could he have read the *Instauration* article?) He actually comes out and says that a steatopygous, misshapen Hottentot woman, exhibited in a cage throughout Europe, was the equal or the superior of her Caucasian spectators and that they should have been in the cage and she outside! He says this, literally!

821

☐ Listening to a sympathetic treatment of the 1967 "Summer of Love" in San Francisco on National Public Radio's "All Things Considered" ("turn on, tune in, drop out"), I was really appalled. One of the biggest exploiters of this horrible romanticizing of the whole Haight-Ashbury scene, was of course the electronic media. They, along with sociologists, celebrities and scores of others brought America's most revolting phenomenon, the hippie anti-culture, right out front. NPR spent fully half an hour on these Stone-age throwbacks and the presentation was written by Chris Koch. There was one little gem, a message from Art Linkletter, whose daughter committed suicide while on LSD -- the only logical outcome of this lifestyle, which has neither life nor style. He tried to counsel other parents that this "thrill-seeking" was the result of children who were "searching for discipline, for love, and for caring" and that if they didn't get it from their parents, they'd look elsewhere.

031

☐ *Instauration* (October 1982) calls routine circumcision of male infants "brutal sexual mutilation." This is an exaggeration. True, routine circumcision is unnecessary and practiced far too often in America. Telling a large proportion of your readers that they are brutally sexually mutilated, however, is not very tactful, especially when such a statement is incorrect. At any rate, why not dull the edge of your denunciation? The millions of circumcized Majority men can't reverse the procedure, but they can still pass on the genetic code for foreskins. So all is not lost.

347

☐ I am not too impressed with our rightist intellectuals. Most of them are economic incompetents, unable to demonstrate enough financial acumen to acquire two cents to rub together. They try to compensate for the inferiority they know they have by ridiculing anyone who either succeeds or tries to succeed financially. This phenomenon is seen by me very clearly as the idea that only a pauper can benefit the white race. That idea requires no refutation.

173

☐ Ariel Sharon, responding to Labor party charges of involvement in the Beirut massacre, replied that back in 1976, while Labor was in power, the Israeli army actively cooperated with the Phalangists when they massacred Palestinian refugees in the Tel Zataar camp after a long and bloody siege. Sharon apparently subscribes to the theory that one good massacre deserves another.

217

☐ There was a certain magical period when PBS allowed something strange and uncanny, not in the form of fiction, but through the words of white men with dark thoughts. And this wasn't brought to the viewer by some Rod Steiger or by a former star of "Rosemary's Baby," but by President Johnson's favorite journalist, a boy from Texas with a pleasant drawl, Bill Moyers on "Bill Moyers' Journal."

For me, liberal Moyers was "the enemy." But as Rilke once asked in a poem, "Don't you ever long for the enemy? He is the one who breaks down the walls." With shades of guilt and secret joy I watched Moyers interview Hugh Trevor-Roper. For the first time I heard someone say what I'd secretly believed but had not thought anyone brave enough to say -- Hitler was not insane. Hitler, Trevor-Roper declaimed, had an extremely powerful mind and unbelievable will power! In another Moyers interview, in shock and deep curiosity, I watched a man with an ugly mask over his head pretending to be the industrial revolution gobbling up young men. That was Robert Bly, the poet who teaches that the Church has done much damage to the dark, unconscious part of our mind by calling it "Satan" and that, just as the sea falls back into itself, we can fall into ourselves and that the great, dark unconscious will hold us up. But for real terror and absolute enchantment, the prize goes to Moyers' interview with Joseph Campbell who, like some ancient soul guide, patiently explained that we have to study other people's myths so that our own myths can come through. At the end of each interview, of course, came the obligatory hand-wringing. Trevor-Roper set his profoundly dark thoughts aside to praise the Goddess Reason, Robert Bly sought the approval of hippies turned therapists. Joseph Campbell turned his praise to Buddha. What myths belong to us?

And yet, thinking had begun in the mind of this viewer. New worlds had opened up and walls of light or concrete had fallen away. My enemies, if only for a few minutes, had become my friends. There was a momentary union of white with white. Who is this dark god who spoke through Trevor-Roper, Robert Bly and Joseph Campbell to me?

462

☐ Tell Cholly that the picture is less glum than it seems. I like to think of myself as well read, having had my nose more or less continuously in some book or other for a long procession of years. Yet I have never even heard of 95% of the authors, playwrights, television celebrities and radio commentators (assuming that's what they are) he mentioned in his long list of no-no's. Who is Neil Simon or Elliott Gould? One avoids such people by instinct. I wouldn't know Walter Cronkite or Dan Rather if I met them on the street, have never heard them speak a single word, and only guess at their identities by having heard of them through the pages of *Instauration*. Cholly may not believe this, but it's God's truth. And surely my case is not unique, for I have many friends who live in similar blissful ignorance. Tell Cholly that New York is not the center of the universe and that west of the Hudson there are many thousands of people who have never seen a copy of the *New York Times* and many who spend less than 15 minutes a week before the tube.

953

☐ Here in London this summer we had another Notting Hill carnival which is being acclaimed a triumph because it did not end in riots -- only "some mugging towards the end." The police say this was due to "sensitive policing," i.e., drug-pushers and pickpockets were allowed to run wild.

British subscriber

☐ The USA is the name of a government, not a country or nation. Rednecks call it America, but it's really Wogsville.

111

☐ Upper-upper-middle-class Orangemen and women are of the finest caliber, whether they were originally old Irish gentry who switched (Capt. Terrence O'Neill's people) or more recent Anglo gentry or a few Scots gentry. They have produced marvelous outputs in math, war, politics and, secondarily but still notably, in literature, including, for some odd reason, some of the more enjoyable but zanier science fiction: James White, Dunsany (Fantasy) and whoever wrote *Slow Glass*. Like the Baltic and St. Petersburg aristocracies, they were imports selected from off the top of already high-quality gene pools. However, the Orange lower class comprises some of the most unspeakable proles in sight. Ugly, Pictish, squat, cruel, incapable of either reason or pleasantry. They are the scum of South Glasgow. During World War II our GIs got their necks broken by South Glaswegian trash wrapping bike chains around them and giving a Yank a yank. Bobbies go four by four there, in cars, and yes, armed -- contrary to the Anglophile nonsense of our liberal anti-gun, anti-cop liars. The normal lowland Scots are really English refugees from the land wars and anarchy of the period between William I and Henry II. When we Green Irish complain about Orange "foreigners," we are partly misrepresenting the facts: Orangemen include even an odd, small contingent of Manxmen and Welsh. I do not resent sharing my ancestral island with them, but do resent being derogated from one end of my gene pool's history to the other by them, and then watching them *volte face* and grasp at the name Irish, which is a rather stylish name in the Western Peoples' lexicon of tribal names. Now I and any other Green Irishman in his right mind (there must be a few of us) would not embrace as tribal confreres the "Ghastly Proles," as John Nobull calls them, of lower class Dublin and of the pockets of god-knows-what out there in the West country. They are pre-Aryan, Fir Bolg losers, below the level of the amiable but not always superbly brilliant Kerry late Pleistocene types.

043

☐ Stayed up to midnight fascinated by Instauration as usual. The article by Inmate X is a natural for a movie.

911

☐ Thank you from the bottom of my heart! I just received the October issue with my story, "An American Tragedy." My friends read it, my family read it, and photocopies have been sent all around the country. Those close to me know who Inmate X is and how badly the story needed to be told to the public.

Inmate X

☐ I took a karate class with a Jewish doctor who, when I asked if he was Jewish, said, "I used to be, but I don't practice it any more." At my job I heard a Jewess say, "My family and I aren't Jewish any more. We quit." What about this?

633

☐ Lack of worldwide support for Israel after its savage leveling of Beirut? Never fear, some nutty Palestinian (or not so nutty anti-Palestinian) group will pull off a terrorist act which will outrage everyone and set the "halo" back on Begin's head.

687

☐ I was particularly disturbed by the article, "An American Tragedy." What an indictment of occupied America. Here is a person whose actions could save our people, being punished instead of honored. There but for the devious digit of destiny go I.

274

☐ I must say I believe that the article on Stephen Jay Gould (August 1982) could have been better. To my mind the quibbling about 19th-century skull measurements was a bit like faulting Newton because he never made it to the moon. Gould is not a scientist, merely a minority racist whose programmed attacks on Majority scientists deserve no notice.

450

☐ The question of who invented scalping is answered in part in the National Geographic (Jan. 1982). On March 22, 1622, at least 58 English settlers were murdered by Indians at Wolstenholme Towne in Virginia. Apparently the supposedly peaceful Indians had spent the night as guests in the homes of their unsuspecting hosts. In the morning, after most of the white men had left to work in the fields, the Indians suddenly attacked and murdered the remaining defenseless settlers, mostly women. Contemporary reports stated the corpses of the victims were mangled and dismembered. The recent excavations confirm these reports. Scalping scars were found on skulls and limbs were separated from their bodies. Since this event occurred two years before the first Dutch settlement in New Holland, and 100 years before Massachusetts began paying bounties for Indian scalps, it should be obvious that Eliot Kaplan's irresponsible accusations only reveal his anti-Northern European bias. If Northern Europeans had greeted Mr. Kaplan's ancestors in the same manner as their ancestors were greeted by the Indians, he and his kind would not be here today.

330

☐ Is there a market for racialist fiction? I'm not talking about literature, but popular fiction, pulp fiction, the kind of thing that can reach the masses. The *Turner Diaries* seems to have been successful and I would think *The Camp of the Saints* has opened more than a few eyes. Cholly Bilderberger's fictional columns are haunting and effective as propaganda, although perhaps not for the average man on the street. I'd like to put together an anthology of racialist fiction. But would there be enough of a market to break even on the project?

100

☐ I have been reflecting on the piece about Roder (Oct. 1982). Since several well-intentioned, but apparently too garrulous and trusting domestic "conspirators" have also been incarcerated for long terms for relatively minor offenses, the warning against engaging in even discussing potential violence with associates is timely. A common thread runs through every one of these unhappy events. How many of these failures are attributable primarily to the need for sharing one's beliefs and seeking reinforcement in a "common cause"? This appears to be a universal trait. The need to proselytize and commit acts of terrorism cannot exist in an individual simultaneously unless of course the terrorism has the tacit approval of the Establishment, as for instance, the Jewish Defense League.


142

☐ One weapon used very effectively by the liberal-minority clique to promote its goals is a clever manipulation of words and phrases. For example, imagine the difficulty in drawing the United States into World War I if this conflict had been named by our media "European War No. 223" or whatever.

333

☐ The most maligned regime in all South America, after Chile, is the Stroessner government in Paraguay. Ten years ago Stroessner was being accused of outrageous sex crimes involving little girls. None of these charges was ever documented or proved. But when you're attacking the far right, who worries about such trivialities? The remarkable thing about the General is the stability of his government. He's been in power since 1954 -- almost a record for South America. Perhaps the real reason the General is hated so much, other than the accusation that he has been harboring Dr. Mengele, is the fact that his father was a Bavarian.

958



MAJORITY RENEGADE OF THE YEAR ALEXANDER MEIGS HAIG, JR.

Feast your historical imagination on the following names:


Thomas Jefferson
John Marshall
James Madison
James Monroe
John Quincy Adams
Daniel Webster
William Seward
William Jennings Bryan

Now lower your sights on the following:

Henry L. Stimson
Cordell Hull
George Marshall
Dean Acheson
Dean Rusk
Henry Kissinger
Cyrus Vance
Alexander Haig

All the above were U.S. secretaries of state. But what a brontosaurian difference there is between the two rosters! Consider the accomplishments of the men in the first list. When their nation won a war, they won the peace; they arranged the Louisiana Purchase, wrote and enforced the Monroe Doctrine and swelled our frontiers with Florida, the Southwest, California and Alaska. And don't forget the heroic, sacrificial gesture of William Jennings Bryan, who resigned as secretary of state when he saw that Woodrow Wilson, by leading us into World War I, was transforming America, once a nation of immense promise, into a world-meddling, multinational conglomerate.

Now, with a sigh of disgust, look at the names in the second list. These are the men or the associates of the men who helped destroy Europe and then made us pay through our noses for its rebuilding, who watched supinely as Russia became a nuclear superpower, who welcomed Alger Hiss into their midst, who betrayed white nations to win points with black nations and black voters, who inveighed us into one no-win and one lost war in East Asia, who gave away the Panama Canal, who torpedoed the Monroe Doctrine, and whose backing of Israel has made the U.S. an accessory to one of history's worst crimes



-- the savaging of Palestine and the Palestinian people.

Consider carefully the last name, that of Alexander Haig, who at a recent meeting of the United Jewish Appeal in New York reduced American foreign policy to a minority racist formula when he stood up and solemnly declared to 300 cheering Semitic nabobs, "When we are true to Israel, we are true to ourselves."

Haig, whose brother is a Jesuit hierarch, is the son of the late Alexander Meigs Haig, an Irish-Catholic Philadelphia lawyer-politician, and Regina Anne Murphy. After a stint at Notre Dame, he managed to get into West Point through his family's political influence and graduated in the lower third of his class. Army scoop has it that he carried MacArthur's bedroll at the Inchon landing in North Korea. He served the minimal six months in Vietnam, just long enough to win the necessary quota of medals and, for the first and last time, to command troops in the field. Then, somewhat miraculously, he became a top-ranking paper-shuffling bureaucrat-fixer. As Henry Kissinger's ticket-punching toady in the National Security Council in the first Nixon administration, all doors were opened. In the climactic days of Watergate, as a four-star general and White House chief of staff, he, not Nixon, was running the country.

When Nixon fell, Haig, unlike most of the other non-Jewish Watergaters, did not fall. Ford, almost too hastily, sent him off to command NATO's armed forces. Haig probably had too much on everyone, including Ford, to be remanded to the Via Dolorosa of Haldeman and Ehrlichman. He was at the very heart of the umbrageous wheelings and dealings that put together Ford's pardon of out-tricked Tricky Dick.

If we can believe an informer informing on another informer, if we can believe John Wesley (Weaselly) Dean, the Majority Renegade of 1977, Haig was actually "Deep Throat." If so, he was betraying Nixon while he was presumably trying to save

him. *Instauration*, however, has always been convinced that Leonard Garment, Nixon's saxophone-playing Jewish lawyer friend, who succeeded Dean as counsel to the president, was the squealer. This was recently borne out by Edward L. Morgan, the man in charge of the White House Secret Service in the Watergate era. Morgan said that intelligence reports from four different agents pointed the finger at Garment. "What I knew," declared Morgan, "was that Garment was regularly meeting with and supplying information to Woodward."

Reagan's appointment of Haig to be secretary of state was the typical cringing kowtow of a so-called Western "conservative" Republican to his "moderate" Eastern brethren. It's the standard way of trying to buy off criticism from the Sulzberger sanhedrin. When Reagan was shot, Haig touted his abysmal ignorance of the Constitution by grabbing the TV spotlight, proclaiming, "I am in control here," and proceeding to explain quite incorrectly that the successors to a vacant presidency were first, the vice-president, and second, the secretary of state. Haig to the contrary, the Constitution only specifies the vice-president as the successor. But Congress has passed some laws that extend the succession to the speaker of the House, the president pro-tempore of the Senate, and lastly and leastly, to the secretary of state and other cabinet officers. Haig's brash attempt to skip two rungs of the ladder exposed his wanton will to power for all the world to see -- and laugh at.

Haig quit the Reagan cabinet because the U.S. was not being kind enough to Israel. Reagan had actually had the gall to ask Begin to stop building new settlements on the occupied West Bank. Haig not only wanted U.S. approval of the settlements, but wanted the Israelis to have a free hand to kill as many Lebanese civilians and Palestinian refugees as they could and level as many of their pathetic refugee camps as possible. To Haig, Palestinians and Lebanese simply don't count. What counts, particularly in U.S. elections and in U.S. image-making, is Jews. That's why the most pluralistic nation on earth does the bidding of the most racist nation on earth.

Haig's immortal words, "When we are true to Israel, we are true to ourselves," are a very accurate and very apt summation of the basic axiom of modern American "statesmanship." It is a long, long trail from Charles Pinckney's "Millions for defense, but not one damned penny for tribute." Back at a time that is

now practically ancient history, we once refused to pay one penny to the Barbary Pirates. Now we pay \$3 billion a year in tribute to a much more piratical state in the Middle East. It's also a pile of light years from Washington's "no foreign entanglements" to Haig's incessant diplomatic forays into the globe's four quarters.

For all these non-accomplishments, for all this long-distancing of American foreign policy from America's national interest, for all the undisguised admonitions that to be better Americans, Americans must be better Israelis, *Instauration* has no choice but to pin the award of Majority Renegade of the Year on the beribboned chest of Alexander Haig.

The only thing that Haig did right when he was secretary of state was for the wrong reason -- his support of Britain in the Falklands affair. Haig, an Irish American with bitter memories of England, was certainly not against Argentina's use of force to settle an age-old dispute. His support of Israeli barbarism shows what he really thinks of international law. No, Haig was for Britain because Argentina was perceived by the media as being governed by an anti-Semitic military junta. As surely as twilight blends into night, Haig's perceptions always seem to blend perfectly with the perceptions of the CBS Evening News.

Americans haven't heard the last of Haig. Right now he is zipping about the country giving lectures at \$20,000 per, writing his memoirs, scratching the backs of political bigwigs, attending bar mitzvahs, preaching the undiluted gospel of Israel, and generally trying to sell himself as the Republican version of Senator Jackson, i.e., chief point-man for American Zionism. As a reward he, his full-time political aide, Sherman Goldberg, and his part-time foreign policy adviser, Professor David Korn, expect massive financial backing for a shot at the Republican nomination for president in 1984, whether Reagan runs again or not. That Haig has formally declared he has no intention of entering the 1984 presidential race should not be taken *cum pluribus granis salis*. Anyone who listens to such political palaver must consider the source.

If the worst should happen and Haig should make it to the White House, we would have the most devious, the most historically blind, the most "I-infatuated" chief executive since FDR. There is not much lower that America could sink than to have a foreign agent as its 41st president.

TWO KINDS OF APOSTASY

Rev. Sun Myung Moon must be driving the editors of the *Guinness Book of World Records* batty. His recent mass wedding in New York, billed as the largest in history, was quickly topped by a much larger one in Seoul, South Korea -- 5,837 couples from 83 countries. Moon himself had selected more than 3,000 of the pairings during the previous eight days. Those who are glad that Moon's native land is finally being forced to accept some of the race-mixing that he and his Jewish assistants have long foisted upon the white West should think again. Korea remains an intensely racist nation, perhaps the most racist of any on earth. White liberals, for their part, while loudly protesting their "anti-racism" even to the point of marrying nonwhites, still want to live on white terrain. Their spouses need not be white, but most of their neighbors must be. Moon himself chooses to live in America.

Without a doubt, nearly all of the whites involved in the Korean mass wedding will return to the United States, Britain and other white countries to live with their exotic mates. This means they have not only voluntarily surrendered their own racial futures, but have also forced every white on earth to join them. Even tiny Iceland, which sternly objects to the stationing of black troops on its American bases, cannot hope to remain Nordic when the rest of the world has turned non-Nordic but still lusts after Nordic women.

For wisdom, let us turn to *The Jewish Right*, an unblushingly Israel-first newsletter published in a Los Angeles suburb. Its September issue paraphrases the Talmud:

Every Israelite holds the honor of his entire people in his hands. All Jews are mutually accountable for each other. In a boat at sea, one of the men began to bore a hole in the bottom of

the boat. In being remonstrated, he answered: I am only boring under my own seat. Yes, said his comrades, but when the sea rushes in, we shall all be drowned with you. So it is with Israel. Its weal or its woe is in the hands of every individual Israelite.

Nearly every Jew thinks this way. Why, then, do most Jews not strongly oppose Jewish intermarriage? The answer is that Jewish solidarity is not, and never was, based primarily on race *in the same way* that Nordic solidarity (when it existed) was. Race does play a very important part in Jewish unity, but its chief imperative is not purity but only that the Jewish minority differ *somewhat* from the local majority. Jews who settled in China wound up looking much like Chinese, while those in India, Scandinavia, North Africa and other areas also became more similar to the host population. Still, an *ideal degree of difference* was retained in all regions where Jews survived.

The present high rate of Jewish intermarriage in the United States does not alarm Jewish leaders to the degree one might expect because it is bringing the normal American-Jewish physical type closer to the "all-American" boy or girl. Some Jews are being lost in the process, but some extremely valuable new Jewish specimens are likewise being generated.

Nordic solidarity, on the contrary, derives from the collective interaction of individuals of a *permanently set* physical and behavioral type, one which has scarcely altered over thousands of years. Even future eugenics programs will not alter this ideal type (except perhaps by improving its brain wiring): rather, eugenics will bring ever larger numbers of lucky individuals closer and closer to it.

There is considerable evidence that groups of Nordics, like Jews, gain something from interracial symbiosis -- but only over the short term. Even the Spartans benefited temporarily from their non-Nordic helots. But since all human races are infertile, and Nordic strength is based on an *unchanging* type (or, more precisely, range of types), this strategy for group advancement must backfire over time. Besides, we now have robots and computers for helots!

With the Jews, racial mixing has had entirely different consequences. Jewish solidarity is founded largely on ideas, one being "mediation" and another being "change" or "metamorphosis" (not to be confused with progressive evolution in the Darwinian sense). The Jewish racial component may be reduced to a residue: indeed, effective mediation between a host population and the outside world is facilitated if one not only speaks the majority's language but partakes of its very being.

Thus, when Jews ridicule the ageless Nordic preoccupation with "racial purity," they are indeed being hypocritical, but not in the way commonly supposed. It is not that the Jewish gene pool itself is so pure (it clearly is not), but rather that the Jewish strategy-in-life demands an atypically high degree of racial indeterminacy. What is sauce for the Jewish goose is *not* sauce for the Nordic gander -- an expression which can be taken almost literally in light of all the recent Jewish-Nordic pairings.

"When the sea rushes in, we shall all be drowned with you." That, again, is what the Jews in the Talmud say to the apostate among them. But it is essential to realize that he is probably an *ideological* defector, not a *racial* one. Had he married a Christian, a Muslim, or even a black girl, his fellows would be much less alarmed. Instead, he has "bored a hole under all of them" by undermining the idea-centered Jewish strategy. Quite likely, he has shared too much of that idea with outsiders, thereby diminishing its potency.

"When the sea rushes in, we shall all be drowned with you." The words mean something entirely different to a Nordic nation -- or once did. Any capable people profits greatly from the *pre-ideological* factor of racial homogeneity and instinctual unity. Modern cross-cultural psychological research confirms this belief, which was universally held in the West until Jewish-generated propaganda drowned it in a sea of ridicule.

Consider the case of Ottumwa, Iowa. The Northrop Corporation of Hawthorne, California, was largely responsible for bringing 220 Saudi Arabians, overwhelmingly male, to this town of 27,000. Northrop had planned to bring in 500 by the end of 1982 as part of a Saudi airline training program. Instead, the Saudis have left because, last August, a local group of two dozen young white males attacked a dozen Saudis with clubs, chains and brass knuckles. The attacks were but the latest in a series in which the Saudis' tires were slashed and a car antenna broken.

Police Chief Wilfred Boettcher attributed the problem to "some of the local dudes. I think jealousy is a part of it -- taking out local girls. The same thing happened with our servicemen when they were overseas." He should have added that some Saudis choose to regard unescorted, unveiled females as common whores. Mayor Jerry Parker said the Saudis had been "pretty blunt in their requests [with younger girls] and it's upset the Ottumwa boys." The Saudis' oil wealth and fancy cars -- an unearned byproduct of Nordic ingenuity -- gave them one kind of sexual advantage.

Mayor Parker sourly warns that the Saudis' departure means the loss of a program which provided several million dollars a year to the city. Though the program was only begun in mid-1981, Ottumwa soon became dependent on this "fix" made possible through race-mixing. The deal amounted to: give us your petrodollars and we'll give you some training plus several dozen irreplaceable Nordic lasses a year.

When columnist Patrick Buchanan wrote recently, "Through birth control and abortion, the white race is committing suicide to improve the 'quality of life,'" he did not go nearly far enough. The mayor of Ottumwa -- one of the last nearly all-Nordic cities left on earth -- seemed prepared to let his people slowly become brown just so that (in the interim) they could eat out a few more times a year. That way went ancient Athens, Rome and not-so-ancient New York.

The weal or woe of white America is in the hands of every white American. We are all mutually accountable. Hence the saying, "The race's purity is the race's security." Needless to say, these words are not pleasing to the libertarian cuckoo-birds. Unfortunately, however, the notion of white purity displeases them much more, because of the media's and the politicians' tolerance of Jewish purity.

Ponderable Quote

In both Canada and the United States Jews may make financial contributions to the state of Israel. These contributions are tax deductible, and billions of dollars go that way. Israel, it seems, is classed as a "charity."

Some charity!

Doug Collins's column, June 26, 1982
Vancouver, British Columbia

AMERICA'S SPOTLIGHT

The *Spotlight* (300 Independence Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003) continues to print fascinating interviews on an almost weekly basis. Two of the best appeared in the October 4 and 11 issues.

North Carolina Klansman Jerry Smith, the October 4 subject, said that "if the *Spotlight* prints my story, it will be the first time the truth will be told" about his group's old-fashioned shootout with the Communist Workers Party in Greensboro. Smith and his buddies were put through the longest and costliest trial in North Carolina history for their part in the 1979 deaths of five CWP members. A jury acquitted the six, but now the federal government is unconstitutionally subjecting them to double jeopardy by holding grand jury hearings.

Smith began by describing the background to the shootings. A Klan group was holding a peaceful patriotic meeting in tiny China Grove, North Carolina, in July 1979, when a group of Marxist insurrectionaries, imported from New York and Cuba, attacked them with clubs and guns. The police were present and ordered the Klanspeople to go inside or face arrest. The red imports then did \$300 worth of damage to the China Grove Community Center, and publicly burned the American and Confederate flags. Later, the Klan was forced to pay the damages. The *Spotlight's* interviewer challenged readers to ponder on what would happen if a Klan group went up from the South to New York City, attacked a political group there, and destroyed public property.

Smith went on to tell how a government infiltrator, Edward Dawson, had set up the trap which got the Klan in trouble. Dawson told them about a Marxist "Death to the Klan" rally nearly 100 miles away, and urged them to oppose it. Not expecting danger, the Klansmen brought their wives and girlfriends along. "After [Dawson] led us into the ambush, he shouted to the Red leaders, 'You wanted the Klan; here they are!' Then he raced off to safety." Smith has his own copy of Dawson's sworn statement that he was working for the Greensboro Police Department on the day he led them into the trap, and that he had worked for the FBI previously.

When Dawson fled, the Klan car caravan was blocked in a very hostile neighborhood and no police were in sight. Fortunately, the news media were there. "The Reds passed out their clubs and began attacking the car with the women in it." The empty-handed Klansmen began grabbing those clubs away and "whipping them with their own clubs. They couldn't stand that, so they got out their guns -- and we whipped them again."

The *Spotlight* interjected, "That's sure not the way the national networks showed it on their newscasts."

No sir. But that's the way it happened, and in fact it was the videotapes we subpoenaed for our trial that proved they started it Those newsreels, eyewitness testimony -- all proved the



Reds fired the first shot. They fired the first five shots

The videotapes show that when I ran back to the car to get my gun, the Commies had me in a cross-fire from three directions at once. One of the Reds, a Jewess from New York, emptied her .38 revolver at me from less than 10 feet away.

By this time, the men behind me were firing back, so at one time I was in the middle of the street with bullets coming at me from four directions at once. You said the TV news showed me as looking "calm." I don't care what it looked like -- personally, I was scared to death.

The Greensboro police arrested only two latter-day Bolsheviks on minor charges which were quickly dropped. "No effort was made to prosecute the people who started the shootout." Fourteen Klansmen were arrested, but only six ever went to trial. The other eight had never been armed. For a year, the shootout films were examined frame by frame. From the first gunshot to the last was exactly 88 seconds.

Smith said the last thought in his mind was that his side might be arrested and charged for trying to defend themselves. But they were, and his wife was not allowed to see him for three days while the TV news made him look like a murderer. Fortunately, eyewitnesses -- including a very brave black lady -- told the truth despite death threats.

Smith contrasted the response of America's left and right wings to the shootout. Even the so-called "responsible left" supported the Communist Workers Party. On one occasion, more than 8,000 leftists, ranging from members of the National Council of Churches to the Jewish Defense League, swarmed through the streets of Greensboro chanting "Death to the Klan." "They stick together like glue," commented Smith. "I'll give them credit for that."

As for the right, even some Klan groups deserted the defendants. But thousands of supportive letters arrived from around the world. And two ministers launched "adopt a family" programs. Those were sorely needed: Jerry Smith's personal loss from the case, in the first year alone, amounted to \$70,000.

To this day, the acquitted Klansmen have trouble finding work. Smith was ambushed by a gunman while driving his pickup. And now the federal government is threatening double jeopardy.

Smith's most interesting remark came when *Spotlight* asked him if he had thought of writing a book:

As a matter of fact, I have, and both Coleman Pridmore and I have written our story. Several New York publishers have approached us, offered us thousands of dollars, and we want our story told, and God knows we can use the money. But each time we wouldn't sell to them, because they wanted to change it, twist it, just buy the rights and bury it so the story could never be told.

We don't have the money to publish it ourselves, but even though I've already lost over \$70,000 personally, I would gladly spend that much again and more just to get the truth to the people.

On October 14, Rep. Paul N. (Pete) McCloskey Jr., now a private citizen, gave the *Spotlight* another outstanding interview. "We have unleashed the new Hitler on the world," he declared, meaning Begin's Israel. What led him to the forefront of opposition to War Zionism? McCloskey said it was only in June of 1980 that he awakened to Middle East reality. He had made a "very simple statement" asking for even-handedness toward the Arab world:

When I made that statement, the Anti-Defamation League (ADL), which I had always thought was a civil rights organization, came out and said, "That's anti-Semitic . . ."

Then I started reading what Begin was saying. He was saying, "Don't worry about the Congress. We can control the Congress."

I found that AIPAC [the American Israel Public Affairs Commission] was operating with the toughest lobby I had ever seen. This is the only lobby I know where the word came directly from Jerusalem to AIPAC's headquarters in Washington. AIPAC boasted that they could then get the word out to every synagogue, every Jewish community center in all of the U.S. Within 24 hours, there would be letters deluging their congressmen.

The Jewish people in this country are not monolithic, but the Jewish community is very, very tough on people who speak out against Israel. They don't want any disagreement over Israel aired publicly, and, if a Jew speaks out against Israel, he is almost ostracized within the Jewish community.

Even after calling McCloskey an "anti-Semite," the Jewish lobby had the *chutzpah* to visit his office last June, asking that America forgive Israel's debts.

There is no lobby in the world that I know of, or in this country, that has ever before asked the U.S. to forgive the indebtedness of a foreign country to the U.S., and yet that lobby has been successful in getting Congress to forgive those debts. The president's budget proposal for foreign aid was to forgive \$500 million in debts to Israel. The House Foreign Affairs Committee increased that figure to \$750 million a few months ago.

McCloskey believes the worst threat of nuclear war comes not from the Russian-American standoff but from the Mideast. "The Israelis are after us to give them the KN-130 aerial tanker now so they can refuel their planes in flight . . ." Why? "Pakistan is developing nuclear weapons."

America should "wake up and stop treating Israel as if it were the 51st state" when it "has become very much like Adolf Hitler's Germany." Israel's use of cluster bombs in Lebanon was arguably "the most vicious, the most outrageous act of terrorism against a civilian population" in more than a generation. Yet we still see "Holocaust" and its spinoffs on TV "every year on prime time."

McCloskey said about 30 members of the House had come to him and said, "Pete, you're right -- but we can't say so publicly." Why not? "You won't find many television, radio, news staffs where there isn't some highly placed person of immense Jewish sympathy."

In McCloskey's own district, editors who supported him had had advertisers threaten to withhold advertising, i.e., their bread and butter. Many Jews are decent people, added the Congressman, "but they are the only ethnic group which is so closely knit and so dedicated to the preservation of a foreign country." To do one's "duty" as a Jew, one has to give time and money to Israel.

McCloskey said, "the Jews have matured a great deal in the last 40 years," a quip certain to raise the hackles of a 3,000-year-old tribe. Still, if they put Israel first and American interests second, "they could restore anti-Semitism."

As for the publishers of the *Spotlight*, McCloskey said they were "doing an immense [public] service." Though, as a liberal, he disagreed with them on many issues, they certainly were not the "nuts" which the big media made them out to be. They were "prophets" who realized "the battle for peace in the Middle East will be fought in the U.S."

SCREAMING NUMBERS

Jewish enrollment figures are a perennial topic of hushed conversation on campuses all across America. Everyone has his or her own estimates, but few are able to produce hard or even soft data. An exception is *The Jewish Almanac* (Bantam, 1980), which gives estimated Jewish enrollments for 69 American and four Canadian colleges and universities, based on *College Guide for Jewish Youth*, edited by Norman Feingold and Samuel Fishman (Washington, D.C., 1978). The *Almanac* provided total enrollments for the spring of 1979. From this, *Instauration* has calculated Jewish percentages and ranked the colleges accordingly.

We hope this will settle some arguments and help people decide where to send their children. But words of caution are in

order. Our statistician has spent some time around George Washington University in Washington (listed as 14.6% Jewish). He has even carefully perused a recent student yearbook. Nearly half the students pictured there seemed to be Jewish (although most students were not pictured at all).

Furthermore, his *alma mater* (not listed here) gave this religious breakdown for its 1982 freshman class: Protestant 40%, Catholic 12%, Jewish 2.3%, Other 20%, None 24%, No Response 2.3%. Again, he is suspicious. When he was there just a decade ago, the student yearbooks showed every class to be at least 20% Jewish -- a far cry from 2.3%.

So the following figures are soft data indeed. But at least they may serve as a reference point for further local inquiry.

College	Enrollment	Est. Jewish Enrollment	Jewish %
1. Brandeis University, Waltham, MA	3,545	2,250	63.5
2. Queens College (CUNY), Flushing, NY	17,458	11,000	63.0
3. University of Rochester, Rochester, NY	7,881	3,600	45.7
4. Hofstra University, Hempstead, NY	11,000	5,000	45.5
5. Columbia University, New York, NY	17,900	8,000	44.7
6. New York University, New York, NY	42,000	17,000	40.5
7. SUNY Albany, Albany, NY	15,216	5,800	38.1
8. SUNY Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY	15,900	6,000	37.7
9. Boston University, Boston, MA	23,528	8,500	36.1
10. Oberlin College, Oberlin, OH	2,750	900	32.7
11. University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA	18,500	6,000	32.4
12. City College of New York, New York, NY	12,285	3,500	28.5
13. Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT	2,453	700	28.5
14. Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, NY	700	190	27.1
15. Tufts University, Medford, MA	6,800	1,800	26.5
16. Yale University, New Haven, CT	9,526	2,500	26.2
17. Washington University, St. Louis, MO	10,723	2,800	26.1
18. University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL	19,235	5,000	26.0
19. Reed College, Portland, OR	1,152	300	26.0
20. University of California, Santa Cruz, CA	5,880	1,500	25.5
21. University of California, Los Angeles, CA	31,743	8,000	25.2
22. Antioch College, Yellow Springs, OH	1,300	325	25.0
23. University of Chicago, Chicago, IL	9,425	2,200	23.3
24. University of California, Berkeley, CA	30,001	6,000	20.0
25. Temple University, Philadelphia, PA	35,600	7,000	19.7
26. Brown University, Providence, RI	6,700	1,300	19.4
27. Mass. Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA	7,972	1,400	17.6
28. University of Maryland, College Park, MD	35,000	6,000	17.1
29. Princeton University, Princeton, NJ	5,931	1,000	16.9
30. Northeastern University, Boston, MA	42,437	7,000	16.5
31. Harvard University, Cambridge, MA	20,525	3,300	16.1
32. Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA	1,292	200	15.5
33. SUNY Buffalo, Buffalo, NY	21,611	3,200	14.8
34. Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH	8,185	1,200	14.7
35. George Washington University, Washington, DC	12,296	1,800	14.6
36. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY	18,000	2,550	14.2
37. Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY	15,000	2,000	13.3
38. University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA	23,000	3,000	13.0
39. Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ	16,760	2,000	11.9
40. University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA	15,900	1,800	11.3
41. American University, Washington, DC	12,583	1,350	10.7
42. University of Illinois, Urbana, IL	33,684	3,600	10.7
43. Tulane University, New Orleans, LA	9,633	1,000	10.4
44. Smith College, Northampton, MA	2,518	260	10.3
45. University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI	39,000	4,000	10.3
46. Duke University, Durham, NC	9,900	1,000	10.1
47. University of Florida, Gainesville, FL	31,133	3,000	9.6
48. Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH	4,221	400	9.5
49. University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT	21,349	2,000	9.4
50. University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH	33,479	3,000	9.0
51. Stanford University, Stanford, CA	11,727	1,000	8.5
52. University of So. California, Los Angeles, CA	27,879	2,200	7.9
53. Emory University, Atlanta, GA	7,812	600	7.7
54. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI	46,017	3,500	7.7
55. Ohio State University, Columbus, OH	54,579	4,000	7.3
56. University of Texas, Austin, TX	43,094	3,000	7.0
57. Northwestern University, Evanston, IL	15,117	1,000	6.6
58. University of Missouri, Columbia, MO	23,474	1,500	6.4
59. Drake University, Des Moines, IA	6,568	400	6.1
60. University of Colorado, Boulder, CO	22,400	1,200	5.4
61. Kent State University, Kent, OH	25,130	1,200	4.8
62. Indiana University, Bloomington, IN	32,600	1,500	4.6
63. University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ	29,062	1,250	4.3
64. University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA	22,990	1,000	4.3
65. Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ	37,122	1,300	3.5
66. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC	20,293	650	3.2
67. University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN	46,400	1,400	3.0
68. University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS	25,480	700	2.7
69. U.S. Military Academy, West Point, NY	4,380	40	0.9
Canadian Colleges			
1. York University, Downsview, Ont.	12,337	5,000	40.5
2. McGill University, Montreal, Que.	16,171	4,250	26.3
3. University of Toronto, Toronto, Ont.	31,377	3,000	9.6
4. University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Man.	13,603	1,000	7.4

DARWIN WAS MORE MORAL AND A BETTER CHRISTIAN THAN MANY PRESENT-DAY CREATIONISTS

Last summer the Creationists succeeded in making asses of themselves in court again and, as a result, their cause, for the nonce, has sunk from sight and sound. Meanwhile they regroup for what will presumably be yet another exposure of their apparently hopeless confusions -- to a public which would very much like to be on their side. The case last year was dismissed as an assault on the principle of separation of church and state. According to the judge, truth was not an issue. It is hard, therefore, for the public to remain sympathetic. The Creationists are laughed off stage, probably not for the last time. Most likely they will work themselves into an even worse state of bigoted indignation.

It is a crying shame, because I believe that the issue they raise is a good one. The judge missed the point they are trying to make. It quite transcends the principle of the separation of church and state. Truth *is* the issue. The issue bears on one of the most important questions confronting us today, namely, is there an external standard for morality?

Before going into the pros and cons of this question, let me state my own position straight off. I go along with Darwin. Darwin never tired of pointing out that for him the important issue was not Evolution vs. Creationism (which is something that anyone can settle to his own satisfaction by looking at the evidence), but the more crucial and more difficult question of whether morality came before man. Is it a product of Natural Selection? He was convinced that it was, and wrote nine books after the *Origin* trying to prove it -- books that were largely regarded with contempt by his followers, since they made him appear a God-fearing, almost Calvinistic man.

His followers, of course, such as Huxley, were indeed atheists. They denied the existence of God. They denied the existence of any external standard of morality, and claimed that the only basis of any morality lies in the heart and intellect of man. This, to Darwin, was the veriest blasphemy and sacrilege, not only of religion but of science. The apotheosis of man by evolutionists utterly disheartened his old age. He foresaw the collapse of morality, the loss of religious feeling, the grossly maladaptive behavior on the part of the species, and its inevitable consequence -- a not too distant extinction.

Darwin associated Natural Selection with high morality, not with criminal behavior, as did Huxley. Up until about 1800 the Bible held sway in the field of natural history. All fossils were accounted for by the Flood; all living beings were accounted for by Noah's Ark. (And indeed there *had* been massive destruction of life and tenuous survivals.) The story was given its full scientific dressing and reinterpretation by the great geologists of the period. What Hutton and Lyell did for the story of the Flood, Darwin then proceeded to do for the story of the Creation.

The supernatural element was removed and the story retold in terms of the fossil record, geographical distribution, comparative anatomy and classification, embryology and rudimentary organs, and "the messages written in invisible ink in the

germ." By contrast the book of Genesis contains a simple story -- a good story. Some people like a good story so much that they hate anyone who changes a single word. There are others who think that because one word has been changed, there is no truth in the original. Tell them there may have been nothing supernatural about the Creation and they will leap to the conclusion that there is no God, and that morality must therefore be a human invention. They come to think the earth is a temporary domicile to be used and discarded, and that our only responsibility is to each other, and the more permissive we are the better.

The issue which the Creationists have raised, at least as I understand it and with which I am sure the public would like to be sympathetic, can be summed up very simply: Darwin was right and Huxley was wrong. The public would like nothing better than to see the godless professors shown up for the jackanapeses they are. The Creationists have zeroed in on the right target, but they somehow leave it unscathed. They know who the enemy is, all right, and they want more than anything to restore morality. They want to be able to declare with all the assurance of a scientist that there *is* indeed a Creative Principle, to which we are beholden for continued existence -- in short, God. They want us to study our Creator in order to learn how to behave. They are on the right track, but they do a miserable job.

If only they would wake up to which side they are on! Half the time they sound as narrowly humanistic as the worst of their critics. They sometimes act as if they are afraid to admit that they advocate moral restraint. They even pussyfoot on Human Rights, and leave it to some poor professor to come out flatly and say there ain't no such thing. Instead of sticking to the point they pick on poor Darwin, and make asses of themselves over the geological time scale, rudimentary organs and the evolution of the horse. No wonder the judge threw up his hands and said truth was not an issue, and threw the case out on constitutional grounds. Who wants to be compelled to eat nonsense? The public that wistfully waited for them to flush out the enemy and expose him for what he is turns away and finds more comfort in the soft godless conservation movement than in the old-time religion. The Creationists show a sad lack of moral fibre. Are they really our soundest stock? If so, what hope is there?

Semper Discens

Unponderable Quote

This monkey mythology of Darwin is the cause of permissiveness, promiscuity, pills, prophylactics, perversions, pregnancies, abortions, pornotherapy, pollution, poisoning and proliferation of crimes of all types.

Georgia Judge Braswell Deen

Election Follow-up

In *Instauration's* somewhat brief and definitely cynical rehash of the 1982 election campaign in its last issue, we neglected a few contests which, in some ways, were more important, at least in regard to indicating future political trends, than the more publicized races.

Paul Findley, the incumbent congressman from Abe Lincoln country in Illinois, was narrowly defeated by his Democratic rival. What's so world-shaking about this? Well, Findley was the most outspoken anti-Zionist in the House of Representatives. While his colleagues, almost to a man, were selling out to Jewish money and Jewish pressure groups, Findley came out for an independent Palestinian state and a cut-off or sharp reduction of our yearly multibillion dollar tribute to Israel. He even had a good word to say for Yasser Arafat.

Adlai Stevenson III, it turned out, was defeated in the race for governor of Illinois by the Jewish swing vote in the Chicago area. Before he quit the Senate, Stevenson had asked for an investigation of Israel's deliberate and bloody assault on the *U.S.S. Liberty*. For this he was never forgiven by those who never forgive. Although Jews in and around Chicago traditionally vote the straight Democratic ticket, this time precincts with heavy concentrations of Jews racked up many fewer Democratic votes

than usual. Most of these votes crossed over to Governor James Thompson, who has been kowtowing to Jewish racism ever since he entered politics.

In California, Tom (Mr. Jane Fonda) Hayden, having spent about a million dollars of his wife's money, won a seat in the California Assembly. If a professional terrorist like Begin can become prime minister of a Jewish country, why can't a professional terrorist like Tom Hayden, the ex-SDS agent provocateur and North Vietnamese collaborator, become the representative of a Jewish enclave in Los Angeles? The answer is, he can and did.

John Le Boutellier, the Republican conservative from Long Island, was beaten after one term in Congress. All his cozying up to Israel did him no good. There are scads of Jews in his district who didn't take kindly to his nationalist approach to domestic policy and his devastating criticism of Democratic phonies like Tip O'Neill. Le Boutellier's defeat is one more proof that WASP conservatives who try to buy their way into the Jews' good graces are spitting into the wind.

In Pennsylvania, 35-year-old William Worthington Scranton III won a second term as Lt. Governor. He is a Gracchite to watch. In 1972 he voted for McGovern, and a year later became a devotee of transcendental meditation, spreading news about his con-

version worldwide, even setting up a TM training school in Ethiopia, where he met his wife, Carol Vange. Today Scranton thinks highly of both Reagan and the Maharishi Mahesh Yogi. Despite his muddled ideas, Scranton's credentials rate very high with the Eastern Republican Establishment, which once regarded his late father as a political divinity. Perhaps someday "young Bill," a sort of Republican Jerry Brown, will be running for president against Democratic Gracchite Jay Rockefeller.

The final election count showed two new Jews added to the Senate, Lautenberg (N.J.) and Hecht (Nev.), two incumbent Jews re-elected, Metzenbaum (Oh.) and Zorinsky (Neb.), and five more who did not have to face reelection, Boschwitz (Minn.), Levin (Mich.), Specter (Pa.), Rudman (N.H.) and Cohen (Me.). All in all, this adds up to nine Jewish senators out of 100, or more than three times the Jewish percentage of the U.S. population. The percentage is almost as high in the House, which will have a total of 31 Jews compared to 23 in the last Congress. Two of them are women.

But all was not quite lost. Four Democratic Jews running for the Senate were defeated: Wecht lost to Heinz in Pennsylvania; Harriett Woods to Danforth in Missouri; Michaelson to Chafee in Rhode Island; and Levinson to Roth in Delaware.

Hitler's Zionist Connection

Early in 1935 a Zionist-owned passenger ship, bound for Haifa in Palestine, left the German port of Bremerhaven. On its stern were the Hebrew letters, "Tel Aviv"; from the mast fluttered the swastika. The captain, a Herr Leidig, was a card-carrying Nazi. Many years later, a traveler aboard the ship would recall this symbolic combination as one of "metaphysical absurdity."

But this was only one remarkable vignette from a little-known historical chapter -- the strong support of the Nazi government for Zionism. The centerpiece of the Nazi-Zionist connection was the Haavara Agreement, signed by the German government in May 1933, only a few months after Hitler took power.

Under this agreement Jews leaving Germany for Palestine deposited money in a special account for the purchase of German-made agricultural tools, building materials, fertilizer, pumps and so forth. These were exported to Palestine and sold there by Jewish-owned companies. The money from the sale was given to the Jewish emigrant

upon his arrival in Palestine in the amount corresponding to his deposit in Germany.

The Haavara pact helped realize the Nazi goal of freeing Germany of Jews and simultaneously served the Zionist aim of settling as many Jews as possible in Palestine. It complied with the British government's insistence that new settlers bring at least £1,000 with them, while it saved Germany major losses in hard foreign currency.

Haavara (Hebrew for "transfer") violated the international Jewish boycott against Germany, and thus became the object of heated debate by delegates at the 1933 and 1935 World Zionist Congress. In the end, the powerful Jewish body gave its approval.

The transfer arrangement quickly became routine. In 1936 the Jewish Agency (the Zionist "shadow government") assumed direct control of operations, which remained in force until the end of 1941, two years after the outbreak of World War II.

The Nazi government backed the Zionist movement politically and financially. Zionist organizations were authorized to open

training centers in Germany to prepare Jewish emigrants for their new life. German newspapers and political leaders openly expressed the hope that, with a state and country of their own, the Jews would abandon their diaspora dual-loyalty charade and finally take their place among the nations of the world as a "normal" people, thus solving the age-old Jewish problem. Secretly, the Nazi government backed the Zionist movement in Palestine with financial support.

But opposition to Haavara was also growing. Palestinian Arabs and German businessmen in the Middle East objected strenuously, and many Germans feared that by supporting Zionism Germany was only strengthening an implacable enemy. Moreover, Haavara was proving a financial drain on the Third Reich.

Haavara would probably have died a quick death had it not been for the support of one man -- Adolf Hitler. In the face of growing criticism, Der Führer insisted that the goal of removing Jews from Germany justified the sacrifices.

During its lifetime, approximately 60,000 German Jews emigrated to Palestine and more than 139.5 million marks (about \$40.4 million then) in German goods were transferred there under Haavara. As an internal memo of the German Interior Ministry noted in 1937:

There is no doubt that the Haavara arrangement has contributed most significantly to the very rapid development of Palestine since 1933. The agreement provided not only the largest source of money [from Germany!] but also the most intelligent group of immigrants, and finally it brought to the country the machines and industrial products essential for development.

In July 1938, representatives from 32

countries met at Evian, France, to deal with the problem of Jewish refugees from Germany. The delegates to the international refugee conference, which had been organized at the initiative of President Roosevelt, were unanimous in their condemnation of Germany. But none of the participating countries, including the leading democratic states with their vast territories, would agree to accept German Jews. The conference, which Germany did not attend, broke up without accomplishing anything.

In London, though, American envoy George Rublee and German diplomat Helmut Wohlthat reached a secret agreement in February 1939, whereby Germany pledged to expedite the emigration of all remaining German Jews. They would be exempt from any emigration fee or taxes and could leave

with all their personal wealth and belongings. Germany specifically pledged continued backing for Haavara.

The Haavara and Wohlthat-Rublee agreements are almost completely unknown today, which is quite understandable given the prevailing ideological climate. Few historians are willing to acknowledge what Ingrid Weckert points out in her recently published book on German-Jewish relations between 1933 and 1939, *Feuerzeichen*:

Seldom has a single agreement contributed more to the building of a state than Haavara did to the founding of Israel. National Socialist Germany, allegedly bent on "exterminating" the Jews, actually laid the foundation for the state of Israel with this generous emigration agreement

An Instaurationist Recalls the Old Britannica

Since in regard to the social sciences the *Encyclopaedia Britannica* has now become little more than a propagandistic organ of the liberal-minority coalition, it is a privilege and a delight to own an earlier edition. It is like having a window on the past. The 12th edition is generally conceded to be the best. I do not own the 12th, unfortunately, but I recently did fall heir to a set of the 13th, and the few times I've dipped into it have certainly borne out all I've heard. Anyone who wants to see what I mean should read the article on Gullah. He won't stop laughing for weeks.

What I like most about the 13th edition, however, are interesting historical data that could never have made it into the latest Mortimer Adler edition. For example, on p. 396, Volume 21, I learn that during the political upheavals in Rome at the beginning of the second century, the Jews of Palestine

took the opportunity to massacre all the defenseless women, children and old men of Greek and Roman extraction they could lay their hands on, and that the situation became so chaotic by A.D. 117 that Emperor Trajan, who was visiting the area, felt obliged for his own safety to cut short his trip and return to Rome.

I was even more edified by random comments I've run across on the Vikings. For example, I had known there is no trace of Pictic, Gaelic or Welsh in the English language, but that it is full of Scandinavian terms. Words like *sky*, *liie*, *wiie*, and *thing* are Scandinavian, thus making it easy for Scandinavians to learn English. The Anglo-Saxon words for these and other terms at the heart of our language are unfamiliar to us. In the far-off past, however, this rich vocabulary emphasized the cultural riches of the Northmen in the minds of our English an-

cestors.

Nor had I ever heard before that the Swytzers of the Alps trace their ancestry to the Viking raiders of the Rhine, and on the strength of this have always enjoyed a higher social standing and moral influence than the people around them.

In yet another volume we are told that throughout the Middle Ages and into modern times the only parts of Europe where the peasants have been continuously prosperous, self-sufficient and free are Norway, Switzerland and England.

It makes me feel sorry for all those who have to get their facts from later *Encyclopaedia Britannica* editions, which tell such a different story -- how the Romans persecuted the Jews for no reason, how the Nordic race is a pernicious myth, etc., etc. I will not go on. We all know the "new learning" by heart.

From an on-the-spot Instaurationist

Beverly Hills Nights

I have been temporarily obliged to take a menial position in a Beverly Hills nightclub-disco-restaurant. I work strange hours -- from 8 P.M. to 2 A.M. The clientele is very international. We have American Jews, Israeli Jews, South African Jews, British Jews and Iranian Jews.

We also have just plain Iranians, who appear to be lapsed Moslems, who were terrified that the Ayatullah's henchmen would put them against a wall in Tehran for being too Western. On the other hand, many Westerners would like to see them put against a wall in Beverly Hills for being too Iranian. They come to the club for one thing

and one thing only -- women.

Any approach will do. One tactic is for the Iranian to station himself somewhere on the route from the disco to the ladies' room and grab at girls as they go by. When this fails, they will approach the girl and offer to buy her a drink. Whether or not she accepts, five seconds later the Iranian will suggest the ultimate. In Iran they were told that all Western women are sluts who will do it free for the asking.

There are other kinds of Iranians. The parking valets are all Iranian students, and most of them are very quiet and unassuming. Many of the valets go to school during

the day, park cars in the evening, and think themselves lucky if they get six hours' sleep. A handful of light-skinned Iranians (known as "shah-lovers" to the valets) sometimes wander in by accident. Once they see how many Iranian riff-raff there are, they never come back.

The Jews who come to the disco are almost always foreign-born. A high percentage are from South Africa or Israel. Far away from their family ties they quickly degenerate into booze, drugs and casual or interracial sex. Cocaine is very popular with this crowd, and a male Jew who hasn't managed to make a pick-up on the disco floor will

often stand out on the sidewalk at closing time, offering free cocaine to any woman who will go home with him.

The Jews who come for dinner are usually American-born. They will often bring grandparents who will complain about everything, and then stuff so much food in their mouths that it turns the stomachs of the people at adjacent tables. Many of these New York Jews are caricatures -- parodies of

themselves. Their sense of style is incredibly gross; their manners incredibly pushy.

Jews born and reared in Beverly Hills like to think that they have much more style than their New York cousins. Many are second-rate actors and assistant producers who try to put on airs. Running to the pay phone to call their agents seems to occupy most of their time.

The popularity of the place has spread

into the ghetto, and we are often favored with visits from Negroes wanting to get a piece of the action. Although some white girls have a penchant for black suitors, by and large the Negroes are not successful in their endeavors. Since the stiff drink prices also act as a deterrent, there are very few regular black patrons. Needless to say, the race-mixing is all one-way. Black men with white women. Never the other way around.

Handbook for the Book People

Since a Jewish American Princess by the name of Lisa Birnbaum recently wrote *The Official Preppy Handbook* to tell young WASPs how to behave, dress and act, it was only fitting that two more Princesses, Debbie Lukatsky and Sandy Toback, would do the same for their kind. Accordingly, *The Jewish American Princess Handbook* (Turnbull & Willoughby Books, 15 N. Arlington Hts. Road, Arlington Heights, IL 60004,

the eventual husband, aside from being Jewish, he should be, in descending order, a commodities broker ("sky's-the-limit" salary), doctor (\$30,000 to \$299,000 a year), lawyer (\$25,000 to \$199,000), CPA (\$42,000 to \$59,999), social worker (\$15,000 to \$29,999). Hubby should most definitely not be a pilot, policeman, marine biologist, computer analyst, gym teacher or officer in the armed forces. "What," asks the authors, "would a nice Jewish girl want with guys like these?"

The Rules for Sex section contains this homily: "Always be coy and make him spend a lot of money before you give in. The more he spends, the more he loves you."

In the matter of jobs, if the JAP should condescend to work, she is told:


The Princess has a jump on the thousands of college grads entering the job market every June. The Jewish network has more jobs than the *New York Times* want ads. Her father and other MOTs (Members of the Tribe) can get her in the doors her resume can't.

This advice lets the cat out of the bag. It may explain more than a thousand books why Jews are so cash-ridden.

The authors devote a page to plastic surgery. Don't choose a surgeon recommended by a non-Jewish friend. "Specify small nostrils." Plan the nose-bobbing five months before summer, so "your new nose will look even cuter with a tan."

When the Princess is finally hitched to her Prince, she should demand a flagstone patio for her new house, a daily maid, a hot tub, swimming pool, tennis court, yacht, \$100 seats at bond dinners, and a Betamax and wide-screen TV.

The Jewish American Princess Handbook is really more about money than Jewish American Princesses. One can hardly arrive at any other opinion after thumbing through this cloying compendium of execrable taste. The People of the Book have become the People of the Handbook, because the People of the Book have become (or always were) the People of the Checkbook.



\$4.95) now graces the shelves of American bookstores. The authors tell JAPs where to buy their expensive finery, what college to go to to meet NJBs (nice Jewish boys), what colleges not to go to so they won't meet "forbidden fruit" (non-Jews who drive pickups, vans and jeeps). Recommended cars for all JAPs are Porsche 911s, Mercedes 450-SLs and Cadillacs equipped with sun roofs -- all with mandatory automatic transmissions, because "Princesses do not drive stick."

It being understood that a Jewish Princess will only marry a Jewish Prince, "she finds it enticing to date a Gentile boy... one her parents would never approve of. The lure of blond hair, blue eyes and a one syllable last name are just too powerful to resist." As for

Numero Uno on Britain's "Roll of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal" is His Royal Highness Charles Philip Arthur George, Prince of Wales. Of lower numerical rank are:

Simon Charles Henry Rufus	Marquess of Reading	No. 61
David Herbert	Viscount Samuel	No. 286
Rachel Leila	Baroness Dacre	No. 364
Nathan Mayer Victor	Lord Rothschild	No. 563
Edward Herbert	Lord Jessel	No. 670
James Wogan	Lord Remnant	No. 683
Michael	Lord Marks of Broughton	No. 867

There are also Lords Nathan (748), Segal (912), Kahn (930), Goodman (933), Hirshfield (959), Joseph Lord Kagan (1106), plus others like Sydney Lewis Lord Bernstein (982) and John Denis Lord Leonard (1136). Solly Lord Zuckerman is ranked 1015, Lord Strauss 1161, Myer Lord Galpern 1163; and not to forget their ladyships: Margaret Sisan Baroness Ryder of Warsaw (1150) and Lena May Baroness Jeger (1164). The magic number (666) is allotted James Hugh Myles Lord Borwich.

A complete list of British lords is available for \$12 from Sentinel Publishing, Box 2345, Station "D", Ottawa, Canada K1P 6A2.

The Moan Heard Round the World

There are 30 times as many Christians as Jews in the United States. There are at least 30 times more Christian than Jewish prisoners of conscience in the Soviet Union. It stands to reason that American periodicals should run 900 times as many articles about the plight of Soviet Christians as about the fate of Soviet Jews. At times, however, it seems the numbers are the other way around.

Jack Anderson, the Mormon muckraker whose rake never reaches the rich bottom muck, is one observer who has done some complaining about this. "[The] widespread official persecution of Christians has gone virtually unnoticed in the United States," he writes. "Only rare cases are reported here -- like that of the 'Siberian Seven,' the Pentacostals who forced their way into the American Embassy in Moscow in 1978." (It is hard to ignore a family that is holed up in one's embassy for four years.) But, notes Anderson impatiently, the "Seven" are only the iceberg's tip. In the last two years alone, at least 115 Baptists and 30 Adventists have been imprisoned for "anti-Soviet slander." Indeed, most members of the governing Council of Evangelical Christians and Baptists in the USSR have been in prison since January 1980. "Belief in Christianity is defined by Soviet law as a form of schizophrenia."



Jack Anderson

"While Western journalists have publicized the plight of Soviet Jews, more than half the prisoners of conscience in 1981 were Baptists, Adventists, Russian Orthodox, Jehovah's Witnesses, or other Christian church members." This phrasing suggests that close to half of the Soviet religious prisoners in 1981 may be Jewish. The facts are

otherwise. The new *Jewish Almanac*, edited by Richard Siegel and Carl Rheins (Bantam Books), contains a comprehensive list of "all 95" (actually 97) Soviet Jews who are "known to have served terms in labor camps, exile, or mental institutions" since 1966. The list includes the name, date of birth, profession, trial date, state charges and length of sentence for each so-called "prisoner of conscience." Actually, many of these Jews were charged with "parasitism," "treason," "economic speculation" and the like.

Only a minority of the cases clearly involved agitation for Judaism and/or Zionism. Few of the sentences were longer than three or four years, and at least 49 of the trials were back in 1970 and 1971. Another 33 or so occurred in 1972-75. Fewer than a dozen have taken place since. Nearly all of the Jewish prisoners are or were on the state's "general regime," which permits one visit every three months, no restrictions on mail (or at least no more restrictions than the other 270 million Soviet citizens), and also a money allowance.

This relative handful of Jewish dissidents has received the lion's share of Western sympathy. Several rallies held in their behalf have attracted upwards of 100,000 protesters, including top figures in both Democratic and Republican White Houses. And yet, as a Jewish guest on television's MacNeil-Lehrer Report recently observed, "Most Jewish dissidents in Russia are still Communist. They just disagreed with the way the [ins] are running Russia."

The Jewish writer Joseph W. Samuels stated in the *Houston Chronicle* (June 19, 1976) that the heavily atheist Russian Jewish community desires emigration more for political than religious reasons. The United States has, by one estimate, paid \$40 million annually since 1972 to help resettle Soviet Jews. As for Soviet Christians, who are much more interested in freedom of worship than freedom to engage in global power-politics, they have not received a pittance of support.

Israel's Enemy List

Well, well, Jewish censorship of the U.S. media is finally coming out of the closet. The boys from West Jerusalem have decided to play hardball to eliminate any possible recurrence of the fairly balanced coverage of what the Israeli marauders did to Lebanon last summer. If there is one thing that makes world Jewry shudder, it is objective reporting in the press or on TV.

In early November, Ambassador Moshe Arens demanded a meeting with the *Washington Post*, in which he angrily presented the paper's editors with a formal document that rated their paper in last place for its reporting of the Israeli invasion of Lebanon

and the aftermath of horrors. Forty-eight major U.S. newspapers had been carefully analyzed by the Israeli Embassy (probably with the help of American Jewish groups) and the results gave the *Post* a rating of minus 53. The *Chicago Tribune* got minus 34, *Los Angeles Times* minus 33, *New York Times* minus 25. The most pro-Zionist newspaper turned out to be the *Atlanta Constitution*, to which the Israelis awarded a plus 56. The *Constitution*, proud of this distinction, ran a long article on its selection as the top toady.

In a telephone call on November 8 an Israeli spokesman named Shai followed up Ambassador Ahrens's visit by telling the *Post* editor, "I lost any trust in your people . . . If I knew you were going to quote me, we will take measures against you." The dumbfounded reporter asked Shai if he was threatening him. Shai replied, "I'm not threatening you."

But of course he was. The Israeli Embassy's analysis of the media's reaction to the Lebanon invasion and massacre was an obvious threat. Big brother was and is watching. In any future Mideast wars, the word is out to be careful and be much more pro-Zionist. It seems that the U.S. is now entering the age of unconcealed Jewish censorship. The old threats of lawsuits, loss of advertising, loss of jobs and social ostracism may soon be replaced by the mailed fist of Mossad.

Needless to say, the *Post* is worried, even though its boss lady, Katharine Graham, is half-Jewish and her son, Donald, the *Post's* present publisher, is one-quarter. In an attempt to placate the Jews, executive editor Ben Bradlee invited Michael Berenbaum, executive director of the Washington Jewish Community Council, to observe (oversee?) the newsroom operation of the paper for several days. Perhaps the safest thing for the *Post* to do would be to give him a permanent desk.

Parody of a Travesty

In the movie "Judgment at Nuremberg," producer Stanley Kramer has the chief defendant, Ernst Janning (played by Burt Lancaster), a "world-renowned jurist," break down into a long confession. Janning declares that he made his life "excrement" by cooperating with the Nazis.

Of course, nothing even remotely like that happened in Nuremberg or anywhere else in Germany. That is what Telford Taylor -- the chief U.S. prosecutor in 12 of the 13 Nuremberg war crimes trials -- told a large Young Men's Hebrew Association gathering in Manhattan last summer. He had accepted their invitation to watch the 1961 movie and comment on it. Taylor did not add the obvious -- that, despite years of postwar bullying, no one in Germany behaved like "Ernst Janning" because no one felt anything like Stanley Kramer and his colleagues presumed they must feel.

Taylor helped in the original "Playhouse

90" TV version of "Judgment," serving as a technical adviser to writer Abby Mann. But he "winced" throughout the movie version, with which he had "nothing to do." One major distortion to which he objected was when the American military commander in Germany leaned on the chief prosecutor to go soft on the Nazis. There was no such pressure from any source, said Taylor. Had there been, he would have gone straight to Gen. Lucius Clay, the actual U.S. military commander in Germany at the time, who strongly supported the trials.

There also were no Marlene Dietrichs on the loose at Nuremberg, said Taylor. She played a German general's sexy widow who tried to influence an American judge. Taylor insisted that no judge "would have allowed himself to talk to" the widow of a previously convicted defendant about the case.

Someone asked Taylor about Hiroshima. He replied that the redundant Nagasaki bombing was "very close to being a war crime." No one asked him about Dresden, or Hamburg, or Berlin -- or about the non-aerial slaughter of a million or so Germans in the east at war's end.

Interestingly, in light of Middle East developments, this man who in 1948 proposed a World Court to settle future war crimes, and who (almost alone) still rejects the notion that Nuremberg was "only victor's justice," says he has belatedly concluded that "training [troops] is the main way to enforce the laws of war." That should have pleased his audience.

Move Over, Wayne Williams

Many black Americans seem convinced that their wonderful race could never produce a mass killer, the cases of Idi Amin, Emperor Bokassa, *et al.* notwithstanding (*Instauration*, Sept. 1982). Now it appears that the greatest mass killer in twentieth century U.S. history is a full-blooded Negro, Coral Eugene Watts of Houston and Michigan. Watts has confessed to the murders of at least ten young women, and police believe his real death tally may surpass white homosexual John Wayne Gacy's record of 33.

Psychiatrists say that Watts is a paranoid schizophrenic convinced that women are evil beings who must be destroyed. Since most of his victims happened to be whites in their prime child-bearing years (roughly 20-27), a second motive may have taken precedence.

Watts, whose IQ is low-normal (for his race) at 75, was allowed to plea-bargain in exchange for his confessions. If convicted of burglary and attempted murder (!), he may get 60 years in jail, with parole after 20 -- when he will only be in his forties.

Incredibly, police in Kalamazoo, Michigan, were convinced that Watts went on to commit a string of murders after his first killing. Police Chief John Cease says,

We tore our hair out on this one, and so did the prosecutor's office. I had to sit here frustrated and watch this guy go on a rampage for 7 years and 10 months. We were certain that he killed Gloria Steele. I feel that conclusively today, and you can print it.

Later, as Watts perpetrated the "Sunday Slasher" killings in Ann Arbor, Michigan, he was tailed almost constantly as a suspect. Police Chief Albert K. Ferber of Grosse Pointe Farms recalls, "For the guys involved in that surveillance, it was a tremendously frustrating thing. We knew he was going to kill somebody."

The cops wanted to lock Watts up, but they never had the airtight evidence required by a civil rights-obsessed legal system. Eventually, Watts headed for Texas, and Michigan warned the state he was coming. His 75 IQ did not keep him from working as a bus mechanic there -- which may help to explain why the American transportation system is breaking down.

Between January 1981 and August 1982, 33 women were murdered in Houston. Since most of them were white, they did not command the publicity given to Wayne Williams's black juvenile victims in Atlanta. (Some people who were intimate with the Atlanta case remain convinced that some of the children had been routinely killed by relatives in the high murder rate zone.)

Only weeks after Watts practically had himself put away, one George Banks massacred 13 people in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania. Banks's mother was white -- though one would never guess it looking at his heavily Negroidal features. Being threatened as a black apparently drove Banks ape. In one afternoon, he slaughtered all four of his white girlfriends and the assorted mongrel offspring they had given him, plus some innocent bystanders. Neighbors remembered Banks as a "religious man," though he often talked about "starting a war" and once stood on the street threatening to "blow everybody away."

The first black in his neighborhood, Banks single-handedly wrecked the 98%-white Wilkes-Barre's record as America's least crime-ridden city.

Lingo or Language?

When the Israelis wish to wipe out a Lebanese town or Palestinian refugee camp, they use the Hebrew word for "purify" to describe their operations. A "purified" town is one that has been leveled to the ground. A "purified" Palestinian is a dead Palestinian.

Hebrew is an odd language. It has about 100,000 words, though only 12% can be found in the Old Testament. It has difficulty with modern words and is a little heavy-handed in the way it handles them. "Normalization," for example, become the hybrid "normalisatzia" in Hebrew. When Begin tried to substitute "nirmul," he was rebuffed by the Hebrew Language Academy.

Ancient Hebrew had practically disappeared as a spoken language by the end of the 19th century. Even the written language had become a hodgepodge of Old Testamentese, Talmudese, Aramaic and Arabic. There were no words for most flowers and birds; no word for pencil. Elevator was tortuously rendered by the monstrosity "an ascend and arrive." As reporter Jane Friedman writes, "To speak Hebrew you had to point."

Today, thanks to the all-out efforts of Zionist scholars, Hebrew, not a goyische lingo, not even Yiddish (a Bronxified German), is the official language of Israel. However, it hasn't been easy. What do you do about electricity? How about "hashmal," Hebrew for Isaiah's incandescent vision in the sky? Other words had to be invented from scratch. Nevertheless, some 10% of the Hebrew dictionary is drawn from Latin or English words. As for pronunciation, grammar and spelling, only scholars and academics pay any serious attention to such matters. Hebrew may be very old, but compared to other ancient languages -- Greek, Latin, Sanskrit -- or to modern Western languages, it is a linguistic jungle.

Picking Nits

At a time when white people are focusing more and more on sheer demographic survival, the dynamic minorities are getting ever testier about the most piddling concerns. One black woman recently chewed out Dear Abby for citing "Robert Redford, Burt Reynolds and Paul Newman" as examples of attractive men:

[A]s an Afro-American lady, I am not physically attracted to these men. Would there have been any harm to include one black male? Or did "Cooled Off in Coos Bay" identify herself as a white female?

I, for one, am tired of hearing the "classic" American beauty described as "blond and blue-eyed"

I am appalled by your lack of consideration, and think you owe an apology to a very large group of your readers

Brown & Burning

In fact, "Cooled Off in Coos Bay" did identify herself as white. Coos Bay, Oregon, is a virtually all-Nordic town. Besides, America is not yet nearly 1/3 black, so why must one in three examples of handsomeness be black? But logic flies out the window when Americans are confronted by "appalled . . . burning" minority members, so "Abigail Van Buren" Friedman apologized profusely and pleaded guilty to "thoughtlessness and insensitivity."

Unponderable Quote

NBC, too, spent the better part of the past decade cruising contentedly -- second place in the ratings, solid, respectable, and nearly as conservative as CBS.

TV Guide, Feb. 6, 1982, p. 5