



## WASPISHLY YOURS

**A** *HABSBURG TRAGEDY* by Crown Prince Rudolf and Judith Listowell (Ascent Books, Cromwell Road, London, 1978) has an interesting team of authors. Crown Prince Rudolf, long dead, the romantic "suicide" of Mayerling fame, supplied the diary. Lady Listowell was born in Hungary, the daughter of a diplomat. Her maternal grandfather was a member of the Hungarian Upper House and was a familiar of Prince Rudolf. She married the Earl of Listowell in 1933.

The book catalogs the remarkable number of Jews who were on friendly terms with Prince Rudolf. Besides Maurice Szeps, editor of the *Neues Wiener Tagblatt*, whom he provided with political information and for whose paper he wrote anonymous articles, Rudolf's close friends included Professor Menger, who founded the Austrian National Economic School, Dr. Frischauer and Gyula Futtaky. Under their influence, he became anti-clerical, anti-German, anti-Russian and pro-French.

Szeps's daughter, Sophie, married Paul, the younger brother of Georges "Tiger" Clemenceau, who imposed the draconian peace on the Central Powers. The Clemenceau family were French aristocrats who had given up their titles in 1789 and joined the Revolution. Léon Gambetta, the prominent French-Jewish politician, was also a friend of Szeps.

A Jewish woman on close terms with Rudolf was Frau Wolff, the most notorious madam in Vienna, who introduced him to various ladies of the evening. It was thanks to her "acquaintance" that when he died he was infected with syphilis and gonorrhea and addicted to morphine.

Rudolf's uncle, Field Marshal Archduke Albert, wrote to his nephew on Jan. 5, 1883:

Practically all journalists are Jews, baptized or unbaptized, Jews who stick together all over the world and who are, in addition, Freemasons. The rulers of this most dangerous secret society, most dangerous because it is directed against the Throne and the Church, oblige the world brotherhood to an absolute mutual help, from which none can be exempted. Originally Jews were excluded from [the Masons], but nowadays all the literate rich are Jews and zealous Freemasons, who are often more numerous in the lodges than are Christians. But the *Neues Wiener Tagblatt* seems to me a dangerous paper . . . because it calls itself a democratic organ and unobtrusively works for the fostering of republican ideas, from time to time disguising its aims by some dynastic, loyal and patriotic leading articles so that it misleads a lot of well meaning people and circulates widely amongst the lower classes.

Rudolf passed this letter on to Szeps.

Lady Listowell believes that in the end Rudolf was executed by a small special force commanded by Archduke Albert, who was alarmed at the danger to the Habsburg

Empire posed by the degenerate heir to the throne, who was presumably passing state secrets on to Jewish friends of France, the enemy of Austria's ally, Germany. Although Rudolf often talked of suicide, she does not think he had enough willpower. She recounts various reasons and circumstances which indicate suicide was unlikely, although she admits he may have shot his mistress, Marie Vetsera.

Two instances in the book sadly demonstrate how Nordics are their own worst enemies. The most brilliant general in the Hungarian uprisings of 1848-49 was Arthur Görgei, who was born in 1818 in Toporey, Upper Hungary, and died in Budapest in 1916. He won a series of victories against the Austrians and would have established Hungarian independence had the Tsar not sent in the Russian army. Yet he was not a Magyar, but the son of a Saxon settler. He did not even like the Hungarians, whom he considered to be noisy and emotional. Released from jail after the creation of the dual monarchy in 1867, he went on to become as clever an engineer as he had been a general.

The second instance was the visit of Rudolf to Trieste (often called in those days "the Hamburg of South Germany") to celebrate the 500th anniversary of its union with Austria under the Habsburg crown. Italian irredentists organized a great many demonstrations, but Rudolf's most dangerous opponent was a German Austrian, William Oberdank, who would have assassinated Rudolf had his plot not been discovered by a pro-Habsburg Italian.

Rudolf, incidentally, was only 30 at the time of his death.

**T** *HE FORTUNATE SLAVE -- An Illustration of Slavery in the Early 18th Century* by Douglas Grant (Oxford University Press, 1968) points out that wars between African states produced small numbers of casualties, but the widespread devastation that followed in their wake caused great famines. Tribal kings greatly weakened their states by selling off their subjects, often as many as three-quarters of whom were already slaves. African chiefs often had wars by private agreement whereby each rounded up and sold off the subjects of the other. Sometimes a chief would march forth in martial array, passing one of his own villages in daylight, then return at night and round up and sell its inhabitants.

The death rate on slave ships dropped from 23½% at the end of the 17th century to 10% by 1734 (a report of the Committee of the Privy Council), a rate often lower than that of the crew. A dead sailor meant money saved, while a dead slave meant money lost. The same casualty rate applied to ships carrying emigrants, who often paid for their passage beforehand. Their death in transit was, if anything, a bonus to the shippers. In the reign of Queen Anne, 13,000 Palatines fled to England from Germany,

4,000 of them going on to New York. Of these, 1,700 died during the voyage or immediately thereafter.

Not surprisingly, the overcrowding, sickness and lack of ventilation on emigrant ships made for an exceedingly high mortality rate until the middle of the 19th century, when the Mormons proposed some significant improvements.

The author quotes books of the time which state, "Negroes are much indulged in their first three years to accustom them to the climate and work and trained by slow and easy degrees to the same labour as the rest." Harsh discipline was avoided at all costs in case the newcomer tried to escape or commit suicide. "A new arrival should always be treated with the greatest care for the first two or three years after his arrival in the opinion of the experts. He should be systematically built up with good food, warmly dressed and bedded in a dry spot." None of this applied to white immigrants, who had to look after themselves and their families as best they could. Slaves who had already been slaves in Africa were preferred, since they were more accustomed to servitude.

The fortunate slave featured in the book is Ayuba Ben

Solomon of the Djialla family, born about 1702. A Fula Moslem, he went in 1731 to sell two of his own slaves in Mandingo territory, where he and his servant were seized and sold into slavery. By a combination of fortunate circumstances he was taken to England, introduced to the Royal Family, and was sought out as an expert on Arabic inscriptions. He made an arrangement with the Royal African Society that in the future all Moslem slaves who came into the Society's possession would be exchanged for two non-Moslem slaves. He later returned to Africa and died in his Fula homeland.

The author says that in 1710 the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel accepted a plantation in Barbados under Colonel Codrington's will, which stipulated that the Society should always keep at least 300 Negro slaves. Today, Codrington College, Barbados, is the premier school of the West Indies. The present Lord Codrington (the family has gone up in the world) was recently in the news when a West Indian government wanted to buy his slave breeding records. His lordship, who lives on an estate in the west of England, refused to sell.

---

## A report from our mole

# We Monitor an Anti-Free Speech Forum

I managed to attend the third day of the Group Defamation Conference at Hofstra University, Hempstead, Long Guyland, April 20-22, 1988. About 90% of those present were Jews. The conference hall was festooned with scary posters of Nazi Germany and the Holocaust (courtesy of the ADL).

The first speaker was Louis Henkin, an old buzzard who has probably been living in fantasyland since he learned how to talk. He spoke about the UN and how international law "requires" that laws against defamation be on the books in all countries. He mentioned that apartheid has been making people aware of this issue, adding that there is more equality and freedom of expression in the Third World than in the West. (Someone had the sense to challenge this during the question and answer period and Henkin changed his tune.)

Next was David Partlett, an Australian robot who put everyone to sleep with his legal beagle talk. He said that the U.S. is unique because its citizens see government as an evil, an attitude which makes it difficult to pass anti-defamation legislation. Then came David Kretzmer, Israeli law professor. He surprised a few people by talking about "Kahane-ism" as group defamation in Israel, comparing Meir Kahane's proposed legislation banning sex between Jews and non-Jews to the Nuremberg laws. He also reviewed the comic opera antics in the Knesset between Kahane's supporters and enemies. Over all, Kretzmer's spiel was

lively and interesting. For an Israeli, he was pretty evenhanded.

David Martin, a bearded egghead from Ontario, Canada, was the last speaker of the morning session. He spoke about the Keegstra and Zündel trials, making a snide remark about the cultural level of rural Alberta, which brought titters from the audience. While he left no doubt as to what he thinks about people who deny the Holocaust, he neither condoned nor condemned Canada's crackdown on revisionists. In the Q & A period, he claimed there is much broader political discourse in Canada than in the U.S. With the exception of Jesse Jackson, he asserted, all American presidential candidates range from the moderate right to the far right.

The afternoon session began with one of the conference honchos introducing a colored gent, Julius Chambers, as "one of the greatest civil rights litigators in the history of the world." Chambers in turn introduced a windbag named Lee C. Bollinger, who said free speech is not endangered if racial epithets are banned. Next came a raving, hardcore, cement-brained feminist from Stanford. She raved on and on about pornography and the defamation of women. Her statements and statistics were so crazy she made the other clowns look like a bunch of elder statesmen.

When she finally sat down, we took a badly needed coffee break. The coffee was good but somebody forgot the bagels.

Next up was Kenneth Lasson from Balti-

more Law School. The only speaker with a sense of humor, he began with a grave warning that there was one group whose defamation he would never tolerate: the Baltimore Orioles, the team that had just set a major-league record for consecutive losses at the beginning of a season. Lasson reiterated Bollinger's ideas, though he did say that if forced to choose he would be against making group libel a crime. Then Leon Friedman, a sort of intellectual Ed Koch, wrapped up the conference with the same message. Sure, we know the Nazis and the Klan are evil, but we should think twice about repressive legislation. Otherwise, groups we don't want to see get hurt may also lose their First Amendment rights. Referring to Shockley and ethnic jokes, he asked, "Where do you draw the line?"

I had to leave before Friedman finished, but unless he dropped a bombshell afterwards, his remarks seemed to be par for the conferees. There did not seem to be any concerted attempt to propose laws to silence revisionists. But I wasn't present at the "moot court argument" held the previous day. Somehow I think Jews were just testing the waters with this conference. All in all, it was a crashing bore. The most I got out of it was a reminder that our intelligentsia (Jewish and non-Jewish) is dogmatic, ignorant and lunatic beyond belief.

# Notes from the Sceptred Isle - John Nobull

## Colloquies — Scene II

*Eugene and Charity continue their verbal sparring.*

**EUGENE.** Back in April 1981, the Communist mayor of Vitry-sur-Seine led a large group of furious townspeople in an attack on a housing complex where 320 Malians had been installed at public expense. The Communist Party leader, Marchais, is on record as saying, "We do not want a new Harlem or a new Soweto in the Paris suburbs."

**CHARITY.** Red fascism is not unknown, but the same rules apply as to the more common variety. Morality is indivisible.

**E.** I would say that Marxist morality is extremely divisible. The Marxists in the U.S. and South Africa are all for Harlem and Soweto now. Yet after WWI the South African Reds inspired a strike of the white workers on the Rand against the competition of cheap coolie and kaffir labour. The slogan then was, "Workers of the World Unite and Fight, for a White South Africa."

**C.** I find that very hard to believe.

**E.** General Smuts used tanks and aircraft to suppress the revolt, and the miners' leader, Taffy Long, went to the gallows singing "The Red Flag." He even used to call Oppenheimer, "Hoggenheimer."

**C.** The insult hardly endears him to me. These must be mere historical aberrations. What matters is that the people's will should prevail, and in South Africa the oppressed nonwhites are the people.

**E.** In Australia, also in 1922, the trade unions demanded a White Australia policy, regulating immigration by race. Didn't they represent the will of the people?

**C.** The whites in Australia are an artificial majority, which came into existence through the dispossession of the aboriginals. Now they are atoning by permitting nonwhite immigration.

**E.** Do you really believe that the aborigines favour a mass influx of Asians? What they want is freedom to practise their cruel old tribal customs and to go on receiving handouts from the whites.

**C.** Who are we to charge the aboriginals with cruelty, we who used to use the cat-o'-nine-tails so freely? Why shouldn't they participate in whatever benefits are available?

**E.** I must admit I am rather in favour of encouraging tribalism. As for the handouts, they only harm the recipients in the long run, because they can't produce them for themselves. So they become dependent -- like junkies on drugs. But let's return to the question of the will of the people. You can hardly claim that the French majority is artificial, yet on October 10, 1985, Jean Raspail was quoted in *Le Figaro* as saying that by the year 2015 a significant part of the population of France under 15 years of age would be North African (3.7 million vs. 6 million

native French).

**C.** Wasn't it Raspail who wrote that revolting book you lent to Jasper, all about so-called self-defence against a massive influx of immigrants?

**E.** It was.

**C.** I looked through it and was appalled. Can't you see that the immigrants will become to all intents and purposes French, or British or whatever, within a couple of generations? And if they don't, what is lost? They will just enrich our culture, that's all. I notice that you aren't above eating at a Chinese or Indian restaurant occasionally.

**E.** May I remind you that I always did, even before the mass influx of immigrants? I want the Chinese and Indians to preserve their cultures and, even more, I want to preserve the better elements of ours.

**C.** That is all verbiage. We must learn to live with the fact that the world is rapidly becoming one. No other attitude is tolerable. Besides, the immigrants enrich the countries where they settle. It's all nonsense about their taking jobs away from white workers.

**E.** In March 1985, Claude Bissard, a member of the political bureau of the French Communist Party, was quoted in *Le Monde* as saying that recent immigrants were augmenting the number of jobless at a time when jobs were scarce. All of which means their presence was harmful to French workers at a time of recession.

**C.** Then why do we so often read in the press that hostility towards immigrants for these reasons is quite unjustified?

**E.** Because a lie which is repeated often enough comes to be believed.

**C.** Isn't that what Hitler advocated in *Mein Kampf*?

**E.** No, he was quoting Ludendorff on the favourite propaganda method of the Jews.

**C.** I still say that what Le Pen really stands for is mindless violence.

**E.** Come, come. Even *Newsweek* admits that Le Pen is a "splendid orator," which rather implies that he has something to say. However, he does represent a reaction against immigrant violence. Back in August 1982, *Le Figaro* was reporting threats by immigrants in the ghettos of Lyons and Marseilles, caches of Molotov cocktails and slogans like, "Soyons cruels . . . un bon flic est un flic mort . . . Nous ne travaillerons jamais." The rise of Le Pen has put a

dampener on that sort of provocation.

**C.** Well, I have a little notebook in my bag to counter walking propaganda transmitters like yourself. In December 1984, there was an editorial in the *Daily Telegraph*, of all newspapers, expressing shock at the way in which French workers had responded to immigrant-led strikes by throwing bottles and shouting insults. The key passage



reads: "The whole political spectrum is slewed sideways in France by a profound and venomous nationalism which in Britain is kept under lock and key. The statutory liberalism of Britain, for all its bossiness and tendency to intrude, has much to be said for it if scenes like those at Talbot are the alternative." I say two cheers for the Telegraph, which has so often disgraced itself by reporting the race of those involved in alleged crimes.

E. What that shows is that the will of the people, which you hold so sacred in South Africa, is never to be given any outlet in England.

C. Quite right, too. Some attitudes should not be given any currency, however many people share them. Better a Communist dictatorship any day than a racist democracy.

E. A Communist dictatorship would hardly suit you, you know.

C. My interests are quite immaterial when important principles are at stake. Although you wouldn't fit in very well with a proletarian racist state, I sometimes suspect you would support it, all the same.

E. Proletarians are by definition lacking in race-consciousness, but I take your point. I would accept a working-class racial state while working towards elitism in the future. To me, the most moving story from France is that of the clochard in Lyons who died of cold a hundred yards from a government reception centre rather than share it with so many Arabs. I read about it in the Economist of January 26th, 1985.

C. Serves him right.

E. You know, that reaction rather belies your name.

C. Too bad. Such romanticism leads straight on to fascism. I still say that an egalitarian racist state would hardly suit you.

E. Le Pen is no egalitarian. If he ever became Prime Minister, he would abolish all income taxes within five to seven years.

C. There is no chance of that, thank God, so he won't be able to ruin France with his voodoo economics. Anyway, it is only right that the rich should pay a little extra to help support the poor.

E. It isn't so much the poor who are supported by tax money as an army of bureaucrats. Remember how Nixon made himself unpopular by suggesting that cheques should be put in envelopes and sent directly to welfare recipients? It was treated as a bad joke, but the real reason for the outrage was that the army of bureaucratic parasites would have been outflanked.

C. Simple people need social workers and civil servants to look after their interests.

E. Comment is superfluous. The fact is that Le Pen's ideas are attracting the attention of quite a lot of prominent people.

C. Name one.

E. Well, what about the Pope? He received fifteen Euro-MPs in audience, led by Le Pen, and urged them to struggle against the decadence of Europe.

C. That merely confirms what Sadie Birnbaum says about the Pope's authoritarian attitudes.

E. While we are on the subject, do you really think that aggressive lesbian dyke is a suitable companion for your niece, Tourmaline?

C. Tourmaline is nineteen, and she has been too sheltered. It is time she came in contact with a wider variety of opinion.

E. In that case, you will not mind if I introduce her to M. Le Pen.

C. As her aunt, I should object rather strongly. Le Pen's wife has revealed his sexist, racist brutality.

E. On the other hand, his two attractive daughters, who kiss him on both cheeks in public, say that their mother told a lot of lies for money and to get her revenge.

C. You would argue in his favour! What about his paranoid love of folk singing? That is surely rather peculiar and out-of-date.

E. I would say that it is a sure sign of mental health. As a dedicated Breton, his idea of heaven is to sit among his Welsh cousins singing in chorus at the Cardiff Arms park during an international rigger match.

C. Yes, I remember the bibulous behaviour of the Welsh after one such match. I wonder how they behave when they lose.

E. Wales never loses a rigger match. The other side sometimes scores more points, that's all.

C. Well, we must just hope that Le Pen's success is a flash in the pan. Responsible commentators must just play it down. We must try to re-educate public opinion in France.

E. Every possible effort has been made to play down the Le Pen phenomenon. Before the Eurovote in 1984, the polls gave him 6-7% of the vote: he got 11%. This time, they gave him a grudging ten percent; he got 14.4%.

C. That is just because people are ashamed to admit they are going to vote for him.

E. I would say they are afraid of being penalised if their views become known.

C. Can they really expect to hold such views with impunity?

E. If freedom of opinion is to mean anything, yes.

C. You make me tired, Eugene. Some views just aren't acceptable in any civilised society any more.

LUCY (*re-entering stage right*). Ah, there you are. Fighting again, as usual. I thought you would be watching the tennis.

E. Well, I did intend to, but Charity was so interesting that I stayed here instead.

L. Well, Charity, dear, you will have to put up with Eugene at dinner as well. Behave, both of you.

*To be continued*

### Tasteful Quote

I've had my face lifted, my nose thinned, my eyes were done a long time ago, and now I just had a tummy tuck, but that was because I had a hysterectomy. I figured, if you're going to close it up, close it up and tighten.

Joan Rivers,  
Feb. 5, 1987

Republicans compared the massive media attack on vice-presidential candidate Dan Quayle to a "feeding frenzy." I would characterize it as an army of maggots feeding on a corpse. To the consternation of the mediacrats, however, the corpse got up and walked away. At this writing he is not only walking but running.


The Quayle blowup was almost a word-for-word replay of the 1952 election when Nixon was the Republicans' vice-presidential choice. Never forgetting and never forgiving him for his part in the exposure of Alger Hiss, the fulltime Stalinist spy and probably part-time homo (aren't they all?), the mediacrats cooked up a bum rap based on a special election fund that Nixon had collected from affluent well-wishers. The polemics grew so ear-splitting that Ike was considering throwing his running mate to the wolves. The day was saved with Nixon's banal "Checkers" speech. Quayle, who was a little unsteady when the mud pile first hit the fan, pulled himself together and never sank so low as to call upon his dog (if he has one) to get him out of the hole that Rather, Brokaw, Jennings & Co. dug for him.

With so many of the Jews gone from the high seats of TV, with Lawrence Grossman fired as NBC news chief, with ABC and NBC now owned by two Majority-run corporations, with Tom Murphy, the chairman of Capital Cities/ABC Inc., a known conservative and a friend of the late William Casey, you might think that TV would have been a little less brutal to Quayle. But this was too much to ask. (Nothing, of course, could be expected from CBS, which is controlled by Jewish multimillionaire Laurence Tisch, a big Democratic Party wheel.) Instead of stirring up the liberal-minority hornet's nest, the Majority heads of ABC and NBC, the aforementioned Tom Murphy, and Robert Wright, who now runs NBC for General Electric, apparently shut their eyes and ears as the lies, libels and lecherous litany spewed forth -- the lecherous litany consisting of reviving a show-and-tell canard put out by Paula Parkinson, a peroxidized blonde "lobbyist" who now claims that Quayle propositioned her during one sultry evening in a Miami townhouse he was sharing for one night with two other congressmen. CBS News, in Injun Dan's traditional spirit of foul play, actually had a lewd and highly salacious X-rated rehash of Parkinson's allegations as the lead story on a night when Iran and Iraq were discussing peace, Burma was blowing up and several other highly important events were either downplayed or ignored in order to take another swipe at Quayle.

Although her lurid "confession" makes Parkinson little better than a prostitute, the etiolated Hugh Hefner decided to rerun her 1980 photograph in the November Playboy. Meanwhile, she has sold her story and a new portfolio of nude photos to Penthouse.

It's true, however, that for one brief moment the two Majority network bosses, but not the Tisch-Rather SWAT team, may have pulled a little rank to get their news shows to exhibit a tad more balance, a trifle more fairness and a smidgeon more decency in the matter of Palestine. One evening, Peter Jennings astonished viewers by comparing Israel to South Africa (a giant leap for TV, even though the comparison is invidious, Israelis being much more apartheidish these days than Afrikaners). For such media lèse majesté, Peter was hanged in effigy by perambulating Jewish demonstrators outside ABC's Zoo City's HQ. That'll teach him!

\* \* \*



One of the slickest tricks of network politicking is the way the Big Three treat polls. How often did we hear Dukakis was 17 points ahead of Bush? How often before the Republican Convention, did we see charts of Mike the Greek's winning percentages flashed on the screen in order to persuade us not to miss the bandwagon?

Then came New Orleans and Bush moved ahead. No charts, no extended commentary. Even worse, a few days later, when Bush's lead fell a few points, we were informed that the race was now a dead heat and that Quayle had "flattened" the GOP upsurge. Still no charts. By mid-September, much was made of one poll that put Dukakis ahead.

One very unscientific way of measuring the networks' overfondness for the Massachusetts governor was to count the number of political jokes on the late-night Johnny Carson show. The Center for Media and Public Affairs had a statistician that came up with the following numbers: From January 1 through June 7, there were 73 gibes against the Republicans, compared to 41 against the Demos. Bush was the target of 36, Dukakis 15. The 36/15 ratio could easily be extended to the networks' handling of the two candidates on the talk shows and evening news. For every 36 dollops of slop on Bush, Dukakis got 15.

\* \* \*

Advertising agencies report that despite the sharp decline of network ratings, companies are still lining up to buy commercial time. The worse the program, the more eager businesses seem to be to advertise their wares. This TV seller's market permits the networks to pay less attention than ever to quality.

One primary cause of TV's rigor mortis is the makeup of the audience. A study conducted in January and February by A.C. Nielsen, the ratings czar, reported that blacks are cooped up with the boob tube three hours a day more than whites -- 10.6 hours vs. 7.3. The shows blacks prefer were about as asinine as they come -- *Amen, Sonny Spoon, 227, The Facts of Life and Knot's Landing*. The trouble is, excessive black

viewing can sometimes double a show's ratings, even the ratings of the most pathetic sitcoms.

Why are blacks spending more time in front of their sets than whites? The answer that first comes to mind is that they have more leisure time. Welfare's fertile fields grow a bumper crop of couch potatoes. What makes them favor some of the lousiest shows? Could it be racism? Shows with black actors and black angles get much more attention in the ghettos. Whatever the reason, black viewing habits have as deleterious effect on TV as their mating habits have on the size and quality of the American population.

\* \* \*

The man who coached Dan Rather for his confrontation with Bush was Tom Donilon, senior adviser to Senator Joe Biden, whose presidential campaign sank out of sight in the quicksands of plagiarism. Donilon had previously been deputy manager of the disastrous Mondale-Ferraro presidential campaign in 1984.

The current political editor of CBS News is Dotty Lynch, chief pollster for Gary Hart in 1984 and more recently director of Ted Kennedy's PAC. ABC's man in charge of election coverage is Jeff Gralnick, once the press secretary of George McGovern. David Burke, the newly hired president of CBS News, was once Fat Face's chief of staff.

NBC's vice-president for editorial content is Tim Russert, former chief of staff to Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan and once a top adviser to Governor Mario Cuomo. Ken Bode, NBC's chief political correspondent, worked as Congressman Morris Udall's principal aide in the latter's ephemeral 1976 run for the presidency. ABC's roaming reporter, Jeff Greenfield, wrote speeches for Robert Kennedy and authored the silliest book ever written on populism. Bettina Gregory took a leave of absence from ABC to manage her Democratic husband's unsuccessful campaign for Congress. Rick Inderfurth, ABC News expert on arms control, worked for McGovern and Hart and was appointed to the National Security Council by Jimmy Carter. ABC's chief foreign correspondent, Pierre Salinger, was President Kennedy's press secretary.

Mary Fifield, producer of *Face the Nation*, is a well-known political acolyte of Dukakis. Deborah Johnson, executive producer of CBS's *NightWatch*, once wrote articles for Mother Jones. The new president of NBC News, Michael Gartner, was formerly editor of the *Des Moines Register*, the Demotilted daily that recently came out with an editorial calling for Bush to dump Quayle.

To sum up, the top network echelon is a trio of two Majority scaredy-cats and a Zionist moneybags. The middle echelon, as abundantly indicated above, is shriekingly lib and partially min. The Republicans' only consolation is that perhaps half or more of the American viewing public is aware that the TV news departments are nothing more or less than Democratic propaganda agencies.

\* \* \*

The *New Republic* (June 9, 1988) ruefully admitted that Sam Donaldson had once been described in its pages as Socrates -- ruefully because Video Mutt 2 (Injun Dan being 1) had made a gross slip of the tongue on the David Brinkley show. The scandalous words were articulated immediately after New York's gay, anti-goy Mayor Koch, who earlier in the campaign said that "Jews and other supporters of Israel

have got to be crazy" to vote for Jesse Jackson, now declared he would support Jesse, if he were the Democratic presidential nominee, because, "He will do less damage to the country than George Bush." Donaldson, always more eager to talk than think, blurted out an unforgivable question, "Which country?" (They had just been talking about Israel, too.) As expected, Koch deeply resented the implication. Sam now resents it even more. He can shoot his mouth off as much as he wants about Meese, Bush and Quayle, but when he takes off on Israel, even obliquely, there are echoes -- disturbing echoes, threatening echoes. Even out of the loudest mouth comes truth, though very infrequently. Watch out, Sam!

\* \* \*

*A Scandinavian reader writes.* The Swedish government is most anxious to boost the idea that racial mingling is desirable. Judging from the number of black and brown children seen here with white parents, I can say the government is succeeding. One of the most ardent racial minglers is Swedish TV, which recently ran a 45-minute program about the "Norwegian Julie Andrews," Sissel Kyrkjeo. This young lady looks like nothing so much as an angel. She is blonde, with fine features, supremely graceful, stylish, demure, poised and an accomplished performer. In short, a fine example of everything desirable in a Nordic woman.

The first 40 minutes of the program were devoted to her singing, interspersed with interviews. The final five minutes began with a black and white film sequence of Martin Luther King's overhyped "I have a dream" speech. This was followed by Miss Kyrkjeo standing on what looked like a stage in the pulpit area of a church. A dozen Nordic maidens were doing some sort of weird African dance. Next came a mosaic of shots of the young Norwegian star singing a duet with a young black woman, as they gazed approvingly at each other, their white and black hands intertwined. Finally Miss Kyrkjeo was seen on a beach, with black and white children frolicking in the surf, while black women sang with her in close harmony. The only thing missing was a closeup of Miss Kyrkjeo and a black stud.

\* \* \*

*Our Scandinavian correspondent continues.* Think of anything and everything negative you could say about a race, and it was certain to be said about the white race in a satirical 1986 Australian "documentary" called *Babakueria*, shown recently on Swedish TV. Briefly summarized, the half-hour film consisted of aborigines looking upon whites as an inferior and troublesome minority.


It's a rare week when Swedish television (state owned; there is no private TV) does not show a program designed to make whites feel guilty just for the color of their skin. The state radio also holds up its part.

A remarkable song is often broadcast on radio these days, performed by a Jennifer Ferguson, a British singer, from her album, "The Sorrow of South Africa." Its title is "Let's Lose an Eye for Africa," and the verses go like this:

*Let's lose an eye for Africa, unintelligible blablabla . . . .*  
*Let's lose an arm for Africa, blablabla . . . .*  
*Let's lose a leg for Africa, blablabla . . . .*  
*Let's lose a child for Africa . . . .*  
*We need you!*

Ms. Ferguson sounds white.

# Talking Numbers



According to a Newsweek poll (Feb. 1988), 62% of blacks and 56% of whites prognosticate that the U.S. will have a Negro president before A.D. 2008.

#

63.1% of eligible voters cast ballots in the 1960 presidential election; 53.1% in 1984. The head count was 68,838,000 vs. 92,631,000. The numbers went up, but the commitment went down.

#

The U.S. farm population (1987) was an estimated 4,986,000. The previous year it was 240,000 more. The number of American farmers is now about what it was in the time of President James Monroe. (Farm Bureau News Briefs)

#

Congress had 365 registered lobbyists in 1961; 23,011 by mid-1987. That's 43 for each Congressman. The DC Bar Association had 12,564 members in 1961; 46,000 in 1987. 1,522 journalists were accredited to Congressional press galleries in 1961; 5,250 in 1987. Altogether 12,612 newshawks were nested in Washington (DC) in 1980.

#

House of Representatives mail added up to 14.6 million pieces a year in 1972; 225 million in 1985. In one day in the latter year, House Speaker Tip O'Neill got somewhere between 15 to 18 million pieces of mail. (Hedrick Smith, *The Power Game*, pp. 29-30)

#

The Long Island Jewish Hospital overcharged New York State by \$6.2 million.

#

An independent study group in a report entitled, "Poor, Powerless and Pregnant," found women were treated best in Sweden, worst in Bangladesh. Of the 100 countries studied, Finland was the next best women's paradise, followed by the U.S., East Germany, Norway, Canada and Denmark.

#

The daily and Sunday comics have 5,250 white and 31 black characters. So reported the Detroit Free Press after a month-long study.

#

Israeli economists figure that the Palestinian uprising which ignited last December has now cost the Zionist state \$500 million.

Aaron Spelling, the king of prime-time tastelessness, is building a lavish \$12 million, 56,500 sq. ft. ersatz French chateau on 6 choice Beverly Hills acres he bought for \$12 million. To prepare for his residential monstrosity, Spelling tore down Bing Crosby's old home and two other houses. Longer than a football field, the Jewish palace will have a bowling alley, screening room, gymnasium, 8-car garage, inside tennis court and one whole floor of closets. The Romans had sumptuary laws to stop such boastful displays by superrich vulgarians.

#

Census Bureau projections for the year 2000 have California, at 33.5 million, the most populous state, with Texas (20.2 million) as the runner-up. South Dakota (714,000) and Alaska (687,000) will be the least crowded.

#

It costs \$602,000 to keep a prisoner in jail for 40 years. Add another \$1.8 million for litigation costs (up to the Supreme Court), if he successfully fights a death sentence.

#

The 1988 *Encyclopaedia Britannica Book of the Year* states there were 7.8 million Jews in the U.S. in 1986. The 1988 *World Almanac* says the figure for that year was 5,835,000. The American Jewish Committee comes up with a figure of 5,940,000 for 1987 (2.5% of the population). A less scientific Jewish head count can be extrapolated from a recent issue of *Playboy* magazine, which asserted that 22% of American homes serve bagels. The Soviet government's official tally for Jews in the USSR is 1,800,000. Gregory Rozenstein, a Jewish biophysicist just arrived in Israel from Russia, claims the Jewish population there is 3,500,000.

#

Only 11% if the current crop of 11,593 American magazines are sold on newsstands.

#

1.5% of Oregon's population is black. Almost 20% of the state's prison population is black.

#

The Los Angeles Unified School District Board's limit of a 60% minority top in L.A. schools has been raised to 70% in 107 schools. Angeltown is running out of white students.

Immigration for the 1980s is expected to top the record 6.7 million for the 1901-1910 decade. The 1981-1990 number will include the million-plus illegals who applied for amnesty. Most of the 1980s immigrants have settled or will settle in New York City, Los Angeles, Miami or Chicago.

#

90% of all House of Representatives incumbents were reelected in 1986. The cost of Senate races is getting so high that senators figure they must raise almost \$10,000 a week during their 6-year terms in order to have a fat enough war chest to run again. (Philip Stern, *The Best Congress Money Can Buy*)

#

The earth was home to 1 billion humans about A.D. 1800; 2 billion in 1920; 3 billion in 1960; 5 billion in 1987. World population growth now stands at almost 2% a year, which means humanity will double every 35 years. If something isn't done, 150 billion people will jampack the earth in A.D. 2125.

#

The USSR has borrowed some \$35 billion from the West and Japan. All Eastern European countries now owe \$77 billion, mainly to Western Europeans. West German banks have established a \$2.1 billion line of credit for the credit-hungry Soviet government and recently sold \$270 million worth of 7-year, 6 $\frac{3}{8}$ % bonds to credulous investors. Glasnost, it appears, carries a price tag -- for non-Russians.

#

25 murderers were executed last year, leaving 1,984 inmates on Death Row. Of these, 57.4% are white, 41.4% black, 0.8% Indian, 0.5% Asian, 1.1% female. Condemned inmates wait an average of 7 years and 2 months before they die.

#

Hispanic Americans are deserting the Catholic Church in droves. In the last 15 years, almost 1 million of the 12 million Hispanics in the U.S. have defected, mostly to Protestant denominations.

#

Blacks got 222 (1.8%) of the 12,480 doctorates earned by U.S. citizens last year: 3 of the 281 Ph.D.s in chemical engineering; 2 of the 240 in mechanical engineering; none in electrical engineering, the most difficult engineering discipline.

#

The \$17 billion arms sale to Saudi Arabia, killed by lickspittle senators at the command of the Israeli lobby, has now been inherited by Britain.



Miami police accused **PEDRO ALVA-REZ**, one of the Cuban Marielitos whom Jimmy Carter welcomed "with open arms," of pounding to death the three-year-old child of his live-in girlfriend and sexually abusing her five-year-old daughter. The mother was also hospitalized. Alvarez had already served time in Cuban jails.

☆ ☆ ☆

"A 15-year-old **DETROIT YOUTH** says he was awakened by his stepmother one morning last week, shot his stepfather to death at her request, ate breakfast and then went back to bed for a few hours." (Detroit Free Press, Aug. 27, 1988)

☆ ☆ ☆

He is very vocal when he threatens to strike the Confederate flag waving over the capitol at Montgomery (AL), but loud-mouth **THOMAS REED**, a black state representative and head of the Alabama NAACP, clammed up when asked if he had accepted a \$10,000 bribe to help get an early parole for a convicted murderer. The FBI is looking into the charges against Reed, who is chairman of Alabama's Joint Prison Committee.

☆ ☆ ☆

Here's a new legal twist -- one that might be expected, considering the source. Multi-millionaire investment banker **STEPHEN WALD**, 53, a lecturer at the Harvard Business School, is suing ex-mistress Kathrin Seitz, 45, for \$1,015,000. He charges she deliberately deceived him by not taking her usual precautions against pregnancy and by having a baby in order "to coerce me to marry her." The suit was thrown out of court.

☆ ☆ ☆

**THOMAS KEAN** of New Jersey, whom the media worshipfully describe as a "good moderate" (he got 60% of the black vote when elected governor), gave a ho-hum speech at the Republican Convention, in the course of which he went out of his way to praise **HOSEA WILLIAMS**. Apparently Kean didn't know that Hosea has just been caught trying to carry a loaded .38 caliber revolver onto a commercial flight at the Atlanta airport. Since Hosea didn't turn himself in on the day he promised, a warrant was issued for his arrest. Although he still remains an Atlanta City Councilman, he is now a convicted felon, since he was on probation. Before the handgun incident he had been arrested for 25 traffic violations, plus leaving the scene of an accident, a charge for which he spent 139 days in jail. This is the miscreant that Kean went out of his way to praise.

The mendicant duo consisted of **CLARA WASHINGTON**, black, 45, and her three-year-old grandchild, Pedro Montos. In the middle of the night, Clara dragged Pedro out in a street in midtown New York to do a little begging. At 2:30 A.M., Pedro said he was tired and wanted to go to bed. Clara was so provoked at her uncooperative "partner" that she slammed the child up against a parked car and knocked him unconscious. Clara lived at the Prince George, a welfare hotel where, last April, a three-year-old girl who, like little Pedro, was used as a panhandle foil, was beaten to death by her mother's boyfriend.

☆ ☆ ☆

Even though **WILLIAM ELLIOTT** doused her hair with gasoline and tried to set her on fire last March, Carol Kudelycz begged prosecutors to drop the charges. In return for her forgiveness, William broke into her apartment in Queens (NY) in late June and murdered her. Elliott, of course, was a black. Though the papers wouldn't say yes or no, Kudelycz was almost certainly white.

☆ ☆ ☆

Vanessa Estellas of Brooklyn died a few minutes after her **HISPANIC PARENTS** brought her to a New York hospital. She had a broken left arm, smashed ribs and a possible skull fracture. It was an out-and-out case of child abuse, but no one was talking. Vanessa was two years old.

☆ ☆ ☆

Affirmative action got **JACQUELINE ROBINSON** her job as a Florida state trooper. But what was she doing with six kilos of cocaine in a car she had rented? Her bosses arrested her and hoped to get some answers.

☆ ☆ ☆

Crack inspired **EARL CAPLE**, 18, to rape his month-old daughter -- repeat month-old daughter -- Falana, who had to be hospitalized for several weeks while she underwent corrective surgery for bowel damage. Caple got 5 to 15.

☆ ☆ ☆

**LAWRENCE** and **HARRIET LAUER**, with the assistance of Rabbi **SEYMOUR FENICHEL** and an unnamed **ATTORNEY**, have been conducting what amounts to an illegal trade in babies. The group would advertise for expectant mothers in supermarket tabloids, bring them to New York and pay them \$2,000 plus housing and medical expenses. When their babies were delivered, they were sold for as much as \$36,000 to childless Zoo City couples.

Back in what some fondly call the sexy 60s, Linda Rae Fitzpatrick, a fetching 18-year-old blonde flower child, drifted from her well-to-do parents' 30-room house in Greenwich (CT) to a sleazy basement in Zoo City's East Village, where her drug-ridden corpse and that of a freaky mulatto friend were discovered in October 1967. Before her face had been battered in with a brick, she had been raped. **DONALD RAMSEY**, a bearded black nationalist and veteran criminal, eventually pleaded guilty to murdering Linda and was sentenced to from 15 years to life. He was paroled on January 14 and is once again walking the streets.

☆ ☆ ☆

A year ago in Ravenna (OH), **JOE "FRIDAY" WELCH**, young, black and on the prowl, kicked open the door of a middle-class home and stabbed to death a 14-year-old white kid who had the misfortune to be sleeping on the sofa in the living room. Joe then assaulted the boy's parents, who sustained severe knife wounds while fending off their attacker. Last June, Joe was tried in court by a panel of 3 **WHITE JUDGES**. The verdict: "not guilty by reason of insanity." Joe broke into a broad grin, and his white girlfriend rushed up and gave him a congratulatory kiss. On July 6 Joe was "sentenced" to 90 days of medical and psychological treatment. If he is able to convince some judge he is sane, he will be released and returned to the loving arms of his paramour.

☆ ☆ ☆

**JIMMY SWAGGART**, the perverted pits of Christianity, was in Israel in August with his own TV crew. Visiting a Jewish settlement on the West Bank, whose occupants are famous for bashing Arabs, he announced that the Palestinians were doing the work of the Devil.

☆ ☆ ☆

Various reports in Parade, Insight, the Village Voice and other publications have recently revealed some old and some new names in the homosexual hall of fame, such as **FEDERICO GARCIA LORCA**, the late Spanish poet, **STEPHEN SPENDER**, the Anglo-Jewish poet, playwrights **TENNESSEE WILLIAMS** and **NOEL COWARD**, actors **CHARLES LAUGHTON**, **MONTGOMERY CLIFT**, **JAMES DEAN**, **RAMON NOVARRO**, songwriters **COLE PORTER** and **LORENZ HART**, tennis champ **BILL TILDEN** and AIDster **ROY COHN**.

☆ ☆ ☆

**DIANE LEWIS** of Alaska had her driver's license suspended because she carried no insurance. Her excuse was that insurance interfered with her contract with God, on whom she depended for protection. The Alaskan Supreme Court wasn't buying.





**Canada.** The sweet taste of victory quickly sours in the mouths of those hardy few who undertake the gargantuan and often thankless task of fighting back when set upon by Jewry's well-financed hordes of legal sharpies.

Last June, James Keegstra won a startling victory in the Alberta Court of Appeals, which reversed his guilty verdict and abrogated his \$5,000 fine. Three learned judges ruled, as they should have, that teaching school children both the pros and cons of Jewish history is not a criminal offense. The lower court's decision, said the higher court, abridged his right to free speech as protected by Canada's Charter of Rights and Freedom.

The problem is that Jews and the jello-spined Canadian government couldn't care less about free speech. What they do care about is speech that always praises, but never dispraises Jews. Accordingly, the pro(per)secutors of the Alberta teacher have decided to move the case up one legal notch to Canada's Supreme Court.

Conversely, two months after the Keegstra reversal, three other learned judges on the Ontario Court of Appeals upheld a lower court's decision that found two Canadians guilty of libeling "an identifiable group," meaning, of course, Jews. Donald C. Andrews and Robert W. Smith were given jail sentences of 12 and 7 months, respectively. The Ontario appellate court, perhaps partly to atone for its dubious ruling, reduced the sentence of Andrews to three months and Smith to one month. Specifically, the defendants had been charged with writing articles about Canada's immigrant-induced crime wave and the "international Jewish conspiracy" in their bimonthly newsletter, the *Nationalist Reporter* (circulation, 93). Like Keegstra, Andrews and Smith will appeal to Canada's Supreme Court.

Only time will tell whether Canada's top judges will put a final stamp of approval on Jewry's curbing of free speech in Canada. Ernst Zündel's appeal is also heading that way. Although he is currently out on bail, he has been ignominiously gagged by a very questionable judicial order. If the Supreme Court does decide to stifle freedom of expression, any Canadian who raises a stink in Canada about Israel's brutalization of the Palestinians is likely to meet the same fate as the Palestinians themselves -- long periods in the lockup, maybe even deportation.

\* \* \*

In the U.S., no one speaks louder for separation of church and school than Jews. Let anyone propose a government subsidy of any kind for religious education, and Jewish organizations, spearheaded by the largely Jewish-staffed ACLU, will scream

bloody murder.

In Israel, of course, it's otherwise. There Judaism, government and education are practically one. Jews sing an entirely different tune when they are in the majority. With Jews, it's not the principle of the thing; it's what is best for them in each particular country. What they root for and obtain in Israel, they will oppose in the U. S. without batting an eye. But let American Jews grow in numbers to where they become a majority and Congress will soon be approving huge annual payments to Jewish schools, while cavalierly permitting token payments to Protestant and Catholic educational institutions.

Some of this selective Jewish policy toward education is already surfacing in Ontario, the most heavily Jewish province in Canada. The Canadian Jewish Congress, after a two-year study, is now pressing politicians, Jewish and non-Jewish, for public financing for private schools. Instead of opposing state aid to such schools, the Jews are all for it, now that the provincial government is doling out money to Catholic schools beyond the tenth grade. Since there are 8,700 children enrolled in 20 elementary and secondary Jewish schools in Ontario's four largest cities, Canadian Jews want to ride first class on the educational gravy train.

\* \* \*

External Affairs Minister Joe Clark made a deep bow to Canadian nonwhites in July by promising to ban all South African athletes from entering Canada. On the other hand, black athletes from antiwhite regimes in Africa will continue to be more than welcome. Two MPs who pushed hard for the ban were Robert Kaplan of the Liberal Party and Howard McCurdy of the New Democratic Party. His name gives away Kaplan's race. McCurdy's gives no clue to his. He happens to be black.

**Iceland.** The Bobby Fischer-Boris Spassky chess match of 1972, held in Reykjavík, made young Icelanders wild for the game. Now it is called the "unofficial national sport," and Iceland's six grandmasters in a population of a quarter-million easily lead the world on a per-capita basis. Fourteen-year-old Hannes Hlíflar Stefánsson recently returned from Innsbruck, Austria, with the world under-16 championship trophy.

\* \* \*

Though very few Third Worlders have settled here (yet), Icelandic and other Scandinavian women are apparently scattered widely across the blonde-worshipping (and blonde-denouncing) Third World. Late in 1986, three Icelandic women living in Jordan's capital city founded the Scandinav-

ian Ladies of Amman. The half-Nordic Queen Noor is not among their 50 members. It isn't clear how many of the city's estimated 100 Scandinavian women residents have married Arabs.

**Britain.** From our London correspondent. I've just read *Oxford and Empire -- The Last Lost Cause?* by Richard Symonds (MacMillan Press). Oxford was the great center of British imperialism and the alumni played a leading role in the Empire at all levels -- a far greater role than was played by graduates of Cambridge or other universities. One of the most active imperialists was L.A. Freeman, Regius Professor of Modern History at Oxford, who died in 1892. Visiting the U.S., he said citizenship should be awarded to (1) Dutchmen, High and Low, at once, (2) other Aryans in the third generation, (3) non-Aryans not at all. He remarked, "This would be a great land if every Irishman would kill a Negro and be hanged for it."

Of the men in Disraeli's government, he wrote, "They need not lie, but I suppose with a Jew at the head they really cannot help it." Asked to sign a protest against pogroms in Russia, he said, "Every nation has the right to wallop its own Jews." He added, "We should hear little of Russian persecution of Jews but for Jewish control of the media in European countries."

Another gung-ho for Empire Oxonian was Lionel Curtis, a leading light in the (Lord) Milner kindergarten of young Oxford men who "reconstructed" South Africa after the Boer War. Curtis noted in his diary in 1901, "It would be a blessed thing for us if the Negro, like the Red Indian, tended to die out before us." At a reception given him by the Johannesburg Municipal Council in 1906, he said the most important department was "that of Asiatic affairs, which would keep South Africa a white man's country and save it from the fate of Mauritius and Jamaica."

An Oxford classmate of Curtis, John Dove, wrote in 1907 that race-mixing was a deadly danger in South Africa. "The almost brutal contempt and dislike of most white men for the Kaffir is a healthy sign." He commented on the determination of the white South African not to allow his race to become mongrelized. "At all events, it is better than the friendliness you find in Portuguese colonies."

Oxonian Philip Kerr, later Lord Lothian, told a South African correspondent in 1937, "The Americans have found the right solution, retention of complete political power in the hands of the white, coupled with the grant of real economic power to the negro."

The leading Oxford imperialist groups were the Round Table, centered on the magazine of that name, and the Raleigh Club.

Gilbert Murray, in a 1924 preface to a book by Norman Levy on Kenya, wrote,

"The problem of race presents a violent and bloody challenge, which we must needs master or it will master us."

Cecil Rhodes spent some time at Oxford and established his famous scholarships to that university, to which he also made lavish donations. He reflected that, although the Oxford system looked so impractical, wherever you went you found an Oxford man on top. He thought Cambridge, with its mathematics and science, limited one's outlook, whereas Oxford, with its more philosophical training, broadened the student's vision of the world.

Author Richard Symonds obviously believes that Rhodes scholars from the southern states of the U.S. and from South Africa strengthened racialism and racial prejudice at Oxford.

Originally, Rhodes scholarships were awarded to citizens of the white Commonwealth nations, the U.S. and Germany, as Rhodes considered this would help world peace. In time, Germans were excluded and colored Commonwealth students became eligible.

Among lesser institutions run by Oxford men was Lennox Grammar School in Ontario, known as the Eton of Canada. The atmosphere was so refined it attracted the sons of the defeated Confederate leaders, including the son of Jefferson Davis.

\* \* \*

Although "latently racist," Irish jokes are more popular than ever in England," writes Edward Leach in an article in the magazine, *New Society*. On the other hand, he warns, jokes about "wogs" and "Pakis" can get the jokester into deep trouble with the Race Relations Board. As for Jewish jokes told by Jews, "They are an expression of international Jewish cultural solidarity and they are often very clever."

Leach asserts that blacks and Irishmen should not go around telling jokes on themselves, as he claims they have a habit of doing. He evinced his strong disapproval of any Ould Sodder who tells the one "about the Irish parachutist who jumped out of his plane and missed the world" or the one about the Irish construction worker who, when ordered to speed up laying concrete, "mixed cement with quicksand."

Definitely out of bounds, according to Leach, is this anti-black joke.

A Negro woman came home from the beauty parlor, rushed into her bedroom and exclaimed, "Mirror, mirror on the wall, who is the most beautiful of them all?" The mirror replied, "Snow White, you black bastard, and don't forget it."

\* \* \*

As part of the celebration of the 100th anniversary of T. S. Eliot's birth, the London Library is establishing a scholarship fund for deserving students. Some Jews have contributed. Many have not because world

Jewry is well aware that the greatest poet of the 20th century was an anti-Semite. Let anyone who disagrees cast an eye on Eliot's short poem, "Burbank with a Baedeker: Blaustein with a Cigar."

The fundraising drive gave the London Jewish Chronicle -- and its transatlantic soulmate, the *New York Times* -- a news peg on which to rehash the old Jewish feud with Eliot. The obvious purpose was to dampen financial enthusiasm for any project honoring the memory of the brilliant WASP who became a British citizen in an effort to find his Anglo-Saxon roots, which were being poisoned in St. Louis (his birth place) and Harvard (his learning place). Eliot's second wife, Valerie, is running the campaign, which is aiming to raise \$170,000 and has so far received \$50,000.

Rudyard Kipling, Ezra Pound, Evelyn Waugh, Eliot, Bernard Shaw -- many of the greatest modern writers in English -- were never overcome with any great love for Jews: a literary phenomenon that makes less exalted anti-Semites feel they are traveling in pretty high company. In contrast, most second- and third-rate writers in English in recent memory have been syrupy pro-Semitic.

**France.** Alain Robbe-Grillet is up there with the French literary elite of the postwar era. His new autobiography, *The Mirror That Returns*, describes his middle-class family as "Pétainists to the end -- even more so after the war was over." The commonly expressed French middle-class nostalgia for the National Socialist era faded only as the publicity machine about the "death camps" in Soviet-occupied eastern Poland went into high gear. Robbe-Grillet tells his readers that his entire view of the German Nationalist achievement changed utterly when he learned about the nature of these camps. From this little revelation, one may deduce the necessity of the "gas chamber" story for the sustenance of those political forces at odds with European race consciousness.

**West Germany.** Jews need the Holocaust story and anti-Germanism to maintain Jewish collective identity, states Michael Wolffsohn, an Israeli who teaches at the West German army college. He elaborates:

Whenever there are day-to-day or historical-political differences of opinion between Germany and foreign countries, the Holocaust has proven to be a suitable instrument against Germany, and no one gives up an effective tool, especially in politics . . . . [Jewish politicians] need the Holocaust, and, thereby, Germany as an instrument and vehicle to bring about a collective Jewish "we" feeling . . . . A Jewish heritage of suffering, for which the Holocaust and Germany are shorthand terms, is a partial substitute for the Jewish religion and the story of salvation.

The Holocaust and anti-Germanism have become the only points in common for all Jews in recent years. However, therein lies the precondition for the next German-Jewish confrontation, which could spill over from the political to the social level. As long as Jews and Germans remain chained to each other, every effort to break away cuts those chains further into the flesh.

The politicians and government officials on both sides seem helpless. They perform rituals which are essentially meaningful and necessary, but which have become empty gestures through inflated and thoughtless repetition. German politicians make pilgrimages to the Yad Vashem Holocaust memorial in Jerusalem, and their Jewish colleagues both in and outside of Israel visit Bergen-Belsen or Dachau -- and all that between airport landings, cocktail parties, "small talk" get-togethers, negotiations and lavish state banquets. A well-known German politician recently set a new German-Israeli record: In just 26 (or was it 28?) hours he did all that. When rituals are conducted that way, they become farcical, memorials become caricatures, and the victims are demeaned. [Excerpted from Wolffsohn's essay in the *German weekly, Das Parlament* (April 29, 1988)]

\* \* \*

Werner Nachmann, West Germany's most honored Hebrew and longtime head of the Central Council of Jews, stole some \$20 million from a fund set up by the German government for Holocaust survivors. Some of this loot went to his failing businesses, including a boutique; some apparently went to female "acquaintances."

There is nothing particularly new about Jews stealing from Jews. But Jews stealing from Holocaust survivors is a bit much, particularly since the thief, who died last January, was given a state funeral at which numerous non-Jewish VIPS, such as Chancellor Helmut Kohl, high government officials and religious dignitaries, went overboard in their praise of Nachmann. At times the funeral orations sounded as if some world-renowned statesman had died. Said West Germany's President von Weizsäcker: "I mourn the loss of an outstanding personality and a humanist whose quest for tolerance and civil responsibility obligates us all . . . . Nachmann must be praised for his broad horizons, and for his sacrifices."

Said Kohl: "He was a man who answered hate with love for all mankind. He was a moral authority . . . a German patriot." Said Karlsruhe Mayor Gerhard Seiler, "In Nachmann, we lose a piece of our history. Some of the spirit and reputation of Karlsruhe is being buried in this grave." West Germany's Chief Justice Roman Herzog drooled over Nachmann's "absolute honesty and reliability."

Not surprisingly, the West German media treated the Nachmann affair very gin-



gerly. The mediacrats know very well that any forthright criticism may bring charges of anti-Semitism. Rather than to go down that road, Germans have learned to their sorrow that it's much more prudent to let Jews off lightly, whatever they do.

**Austria.** At the moment, the Waldheim affair is moribund. As in the attempted scorching of Dan Quayle, the media can start a chain reaction of polemics against Nazis, alleged war criminals, white South Africans and conservatives everywhere, but can't sustain it at fever pitch week after week, month after month. Too much noise eventually tires and deafens. When the reporters, editors and anchormen find, to their dismay, that no one is listening, they simply turn to another subject and find another target. Their character assassination campaign doesn't end. It never ends, but because of overkill and boredom it sinks into a lull.

Austrians are thankful that the press and TV have, at least temporarily, called off their high-voltage hate crusade. Their president can now go about his business of being the country's head of state.

In the midst of the propaganda doldrums, even some favorable news about media villains is allowed to slip through, but only on the back pages of the papers and with hardly a whisper between the ever longer and mind-numbing commercials of the evening television news. The New York Times (April 4, 1988) carried an AP dispatch about a British fighter pilot, Bruce Ogilvie, who claimed that Waldheim actually saved his life in WWII. It happened after Ogilvie was caught wearing civilian clothes on the island of Leros by German parachutists. He was taken to an airfield near Athens where, almost at the last minute, Lt. Waldheim managed to assign him to a prisoner of war camp, instead of allowing him to face a firing squad, the usual fate of an out-of-uniform guerrilla.

\* \* \*

Consider the "harsh" reality of growing up Jewish in Austria or Germany today. Few young Jews there have ever encountered anti-Semitism. On the contrary, they are "fêted by their host societies" and "treated indulgently at school and at work." Yet nearly all regard their hosts as "irredeemably barbaric" inhabitants of the "land of the murderers."

Young Jews refuse to see Austria or Germany as a permanent home, yet strangely "appear unable to leave." Nearly all "seem resigned to living their lives among the children of the executioners." This "produces contradictory impulses -- to hide one's Jewishness and yet defiantly to proclaim it." The constant suppressed rage is used "as a

stimulus to creative writing or to [defending] the underprivileged and outcast elements of society."

Don't be fooled by the merely "outward" assimilation. Every young Jew remains constantly "on guard" in "what is perceived as an alien environment." None believe that "the identities of German and Jew can successfully blend. No escape from Jewishness is desirable or even possible. They are all Jews first and only secondarily, if at all, Germans or Austrians."

So writes Robert S. Wistrich in the February 13 (London) Times Literary Supplement. He is reviewing Peter Sichrovsky's *Strangers in Their Own Land: Young Jews in Germany and Austria Today*, translated into English by Jean Steinberg. This collection of interviews proves there is little that Germans and Austrians can do to make their resident Jews happy. And apparently there is nothing Jews will do for their hosts except criticize, insult and deprecate them. Is this the way to end anti-Semitism?

**Israel.** Unless the U.S. takes a stronger stand against Israel, someday Americans may wake up to discover that the Zionist state is in the process of uprooting a million Palestinians in the occupied territories and herding them into Jordan like so many stray cattle. Max Gleitman, a Long Guyland realtor, is all for this mass deportation. He is certain that if "Israel killed 300 million Arabs, nothing would happen" (Washington Jewish Week, June 2, 1988). Is it possible that the very same Jews who have been fantasizing about a Holocaust for half a century are now going to inflict a real one on the world? If they do, we may be sure the media won't call it a Holocaust, merely an "orderly transfer of Arab terrorists." But when the Arab and Moslem military reaction comes, as it must sometime in the next 20, 50 or 100 years, and Jews stream out of Israel in a new diaspora, only then will we hear about a new Holocaust, a Son of Holocaust. And we will hear nothing else for the next century or so.

\* \* \*

Lead editorial in the New York Times, February 19, 1988: "As Israel suffers, so do its friends. What are they to think, and feel, when this tiny nation, symbol of human decency, behaves unrecognizably?"

It was last October 30 that a special three-man Israeli investigative committee reported that the Shin Bet (their FBI) had been routinely torturing Arab suspects for at least 16 years to gain confessions, and then lying in court about the methods used. The commission advised Shin Bet to stick to "nonviolent psychological pressure" or, if necessary, "moderate physical pressure." An example of the former would be telling

a young Arab that his mother or girlfriend would be assaulted unless he confessed. A finger would never be laid on anyone, but, under these circumstances, the Arab might even "confess" to things he never did! Obviously, the Israelis are in much the same messy situation in which the Kurt Waldheims found themselves in Yugoslavia. (So why not a little *understanding*?)

The Landau Commission, as it was called, was created in the wake of a stunning ruling last May of the Israeli Supreme Court: Izat Nafsu, a loyal lieutenant in the Israeli Army, who just happened to be a Moslem, had been framed by Shin Bet agents and sentenced to 18 years in prison, after being beaten and otherwise driven to "confess." The case of John Demjanjuk has been called the "Israeli Dreyfuss case." But with Nafsu, the analogy is much more pertinent.

\* \* \*

Who will be Israel's "Gandhi"? The leading candidate was Mubarak Awad, a Jerusalem-born naturalized American citizen who promoted "nonviolent passive resistance" among his fellow Palestinians. Alas, the Israeli government cooked up a pretext for expelling Awad -- a highly publicized move which, interestingly enough, came in the midst of the rioting in the occupied territories.

Another Palestinian who is now proclaiming "my model is Gandhi" is Hanna Siniora, who edits *Al Fajr*, the hardest-hitting journal of his people. Siniora is calling -- Jesse Jackson-style -- for Palestinians to boycott Israeli cigarettes and soft drinks. Phase Two of his program is "No taxation without representation," a slogan for which he thanks Americans, for whom he has little else to thank. Phase Three "is the most difficult":

For it will be realized [that] we will need the help of international friends. We will withdraw our labor from Israeli factories . . . and will refuse to work in Israeli hotels, or Israeli farms, in any Israeli-owned enterprise. We must, of course, be able to provide alternative employment for this plan to work.

Since Zionist ideology demands that Jews do their own manual labor, they should thank Siniora for his projected general strike, a tactic which has already been used sporadically by the Palestinians to throw sand in the gears of the Israeli economy.

**Niger.** Last November, President Seyni Kounteh set a new and ominous "first." He was the first African head of state to die of AIDS.

**South Africa.** When Dan Rather talks about Soweto, he tries to make it appear to be a carbon copy of the South Bronx, pro-

moting the idea that blacks there are forced to live like animals. One Soweto resident Dan is not likely to talk about is Ratha Mokgoathheng, one of South Africa's up-and-comingest buppie (black upwardly mobile professional).

Ratha has a two-story house in Soweto, equipped with all the latest bells and whistles, including sauna and Jacuzzi. His walls are bedecked with original oil paintings, thick, luxurious Persian rugs cover his floors, and a spanking new \$100,000 Maserati sits in his garage. Ratha doesn't dress in tribal robes, but in \$1,000 Italian suits. He doesn't go barefoot, but wears imported snakeskin shoes. A subscriber to Esquire and GQ, he always rates one of the best tables in his favorite night spot. For drinks, he sticks to Jack Daniels Black Label. On Saturday, he generally plays 18 holes on the Soweto golf course. In Johannesburg, where he has his office, he eats at one of the five-star restaurants. His vacations are frequent and more often than not take him to Europe.

How many white Americans lead such a life, Dan? You can, of course, on your \$2-million-a-year salary. But how many of the rest of us could possibly keep up with the lifestyle of that poor, persecuted, down-trodden Sowetan black?

\* \* \*

Conservative Party leader Dr. Andries Treurnicht had many excellent lines in his maiden speech as leader of the (new) Opposition last year. Among them: "We reject the label of radicalism. There is no radicalism in the striving of a people to survive in freedom in its own territory."

The leader of the Afrikaner Weerstandsbeweging (AWB), Eugene Terre' Blanche, makes the same argument. After he and 30 of his followers tarred and feathered a Pretoria University professor, Floors van Jaarsveld, in the midst of a history seminar with foreign guests, Terre' Blanche was at pains to explain that minimal violence had been used to demonstrate maximum displeasure at an act of aggression far more extreme than his own -- namely, the desecration of Afrikanerdom's "sacred history."

It is quite true that intellectuals (but not all Jewish intellectuals, see page 16) generally keep their distance from the physical acts which so often ensue from their pronouncements. By undermining the pride of an encircled group like the Afrikaners, Professor van Jaarsveld could well be setting up his people for destruction later.

Terre' Blanche estimates that he addressed some 200,000 people during 1986 alone. His voice has been described as "a magnificent bass/baritone . . . of almost operatic dimensions." His addresses "are laced with Boer women and children, dying in British concentration camps or at the hands of savages, their spilt blood sanctifying the African earth, and all of it described

in startlingly sentimental terms."

The AWB is determined to die for the Volk if necessary. Those who would take South Africa the way of Rhodesia/Zimbabwe will be "flattened." Yes, that means violence: "They will be levelled with the earth."

Freedom, Justice and Power are not Terre' Blanche's watchwords. Survival is. He correctly sees the shadow of genocide falling over his people. One only hopes that he pays as much attention to dry demographics as to military considerations. The ominous difference in black and white birthrates is more threatening to the survival of African whites than a Euro-American military invasion.

\* \* \*

At the age of 12, Salaminah Borephe was recruited by the African National Congress. She spent the next nine years hacking and necklacing black moderates as part of a youth gang. Sickened at last by her role, she surrendered to the South African police and told them all she knew. She repeated her testimony in Washington (DC) this past June, before a special Republican Study Committee investigating "the plight of children in South Africa."

Miss Borephe recalled a 1983 meeting in a church where Soviet AK-47 assault rifles were distributed. The "Communists," it was explained, were nice black people living in "liberated" central Africa. South Africa's Anglican Archbishop, Desmond Tutu, was present, though he discreetly left before the rifles were handed out. But he did urge the young blacks to burn their schools and go study in Communist countries. Other Anglican ministers (presumably black) actually taught Borephe and other budding terrorists how to make petrol bombs, citing Biblical justifications for political murder.

Borephe told the congressmen how she used to pour petrol onto doomed people. (Not exactly what Hans Christian Andersen had in mind with his "poor little match girl.") When the human torch was lit, the children would cry in unison, "Tambo! Tambo!" in honor of the black revolutionary whom Secretary of State George Shultz, who wouldn't be seen dead with Waldheim, effusively welcomed to Washington.

**Australia.** Instaurationists "down under" may be interested to know how America's media report the ongoing racial transformation of their country. One Keith B. Richburg described the 20 years since the end of "white Australia" for Washington Post readers on June 23.

The racial integration process has been "relatively painless," said Richburg. Australian tolerance is "born from the recognition of the need for this vast but largely uninhabited country to 'populate or perish,' in the words of one prime minister."

Australians also say they accepted the immigrants partly out of the desire to shake off the British colonial heritage that many here consider oppressive . . .

[A recent] study found that immigrants may actually create new jobs because they tend to be heavy buyers of consumer products. "The often claimed view that immigrants take jobs away from Australian workers was not substantiated," the report summarized . . .

"European people work hard, the Vietnamese and Chinese people work hard," said Franco Paraco, a janitor and taxi driver who came here from Italy in the 1960s. "Australian people, they don't like to work hard. They like to lie on the beach and go surfing."

The shift to a larger Asian influx reflects Australia's desire to become less of a European nation in Asia, and more of an Asian partner.

The racial takeover has been the very opposite of "painless." "Painless" is when one moans and says, "We don't like this one bit!" When one is too frightened and dominated to speak out effectively -- and sees one's ancient people dying but can't do a thing to stop it -- that, friends, is MENTAL AGONY in screaming capital letters.

Australia is "largely uninhabited" for one excellent reason: it's largely desert. Should its narrow moist fringe be repopulated by Asians, it will surely perish -- as Australia.

Exactly *who* is "oppressed" by the British heritage? Richburg quotes a Pakistani cab driver, Suroosh Najmi, as saying, "Look in any car on the freeway and you'll see an immigrant's face. In Sydney at least, there are very few pure white Anglo-Saxon Australians left." Oh, how those few Anglo survivors in Sydney must have *hated* the bad old days when they were the "oppressed" majority, right, Richie?

And what paranoia for anyone to imagine that immigrants can "take jobs away" from the natives! When irreplaceable cities like Sydney and Melbourne go from 90% British to 20% British, one could say that immigrants have taken away not only jobs, but also homes -- schools -- businesses -- neighborhoods -- futures -- *everything*. One *could* say it, and one could also someday go to jail for speaking common sense, right, Richie?

As for Aussies liking to relax after working hard -- they aren't built like coolies. They're large, efficient people who like to limit their numbers. One could recently buy a nice beachside house in Godzone for \$15,000. A few million hardworking Asians -- computer-smart "geniuses" one and all -- will quickly undo that lovely state of affairs.

As for what Richburg presumes to call "Australia's desire," he forgets that not quite all Australians have yet been lobotomized by American TV, pop music and Holocaust propaganda.

## Lonely Truth

As every high muckety-muck in the executive, legislative and judicial branches of government guards a cowardly silence on Israel's ongoing butchery of Palestinians, as prominent public officials greet and felicitate the Israeli gangsters who jail, deport and torture men, women and children on the West Bank and Gaza, when they're not bulldozing their houses, as Congress and the White House supinely continue to finance the state terrorism directed from Jerusalem, it's heartening to hear one voice raised in protest.

In spite of dire threats to his person, his livelihood and his family, onetime Representative Paul (Pete) McCloskey (R-CA), at a recent speech at Fresno State University, described Israel as "an ugly little nation." He didn't stop there. "The effort to curb Palestinian protests since December has been horrifying. Israel has gone too far and I think it is time to recognize it as a potential enemy of the United States." Some years ago syndicated columnist Nicholas von Hoffman dared to describe Israel as a "gangster state." The response from Jewish organizations was so overwhelmingly critical that he was almost driven out of the writing business and never mentioned the Jewish state again in such crude -- but accurate -- terms.

It will be interesting to see how, when and if they manage to shut up McCloskey.

## Radio Free America

It has been almost impossible for a genuinely conservative news program to exist on American TV or radio -- we're talking about cultural and racial conservatism, not the watered down 19th century liberalism that masquerades as contemporary conservatism. No more! Liberty Lobby has bought North America One, a satellite radio station that can be picked up by dish owners anywhere in the U.S. Assuming three million dishes and four listeners to a dish, some 12 million people, according to a Spotlight estimate, could theoretically listen to a North America One broadcast. For the time being, however, Liberty Lobby will have to be content with only a fraction of this number. Most new satellite systems will pick up audio channels, but many old ones won't. It will therefore cost people in the latter category at least \$250 to upgrade. For those who have to start from scratch, North America One is offering three different types of satellite systems: (1) a state-of-the-art system with ten-foot dish for \$1,500, plus \$250 for installation by a local satellite dealer; (2) a scaled-down version with ten-foot dish for \$750, plus \$250 installation. The dish is the same as the one offered in system (1), but must be cranked by hand, which means you may have to go out in the middle of a thunderstorm or blizzard to move to another satellite; (3) a system with a five-foot dish that will only pickup audio signals. The dish is too small for video reception, but it will get you audio subchannels like the North American One program. Cost: \$600. It's possible to avoid the installation cost by a local satellite dealer, since you can probably set up the dish yourself. Shipping and handling costs for each system: \$75.

North America One was taken over by Liberty Lobby on July 17. The present program lineup is as follows (all times EST): Spotlight on the News comes on five times daily on weekdays, 8:00 to 8:30 a.m., 10:00 to 10:30 a.m., noon to 12:30 p.m., 4:00 to 4:30 p.m., 6:30 to 7:00 p.m. There are musical interludes, and commercials are limited to one 60-second spot per half hour. In the evening you'll hear two talk shows: "Viewpoints," presided over by Karl Loren, who concentrates on health programs, 7:00 to 8:00 p.m., and Radio Free America, hosted by Tom Valentine, 8:00 to 10:00

p.m. The weekend schedule consists mainly of repeating the Radio Free America broadcasts of the previous week. From 5:00 to 5:30 p.m. Sunday there is a Christian program, "The King's Business," produced and presented by Dale Crowley, the fighting Washington (DC) preacher who has been pumping up a campaign to have the government investigate the murderous Israeli assault on the USS Liberty.

Radio Free America programs have been focusing on such hot-under-the-collar issues as marijuana laws, efforts to get the 25 states that don't have them to adopt initiatives, referenda and recall elections, and long and penetrating discussions on those would-be supergovernments of the Trilateralists and the Bilderbergers. One or two third-party presidential candidates have appeared, including Ron Paul of the Libertarian Party. Others are scheduled: David Duke of the Populists and Larry Holmes of the Communist Fifth Column.

So, folks, if you have a dish, point it at Satcom 2R[F2], channel 4, audio 6.2. If you don't have a dish, order one of the three systems that fits your budget from North America One, P.O. Box 642, Richland Center, WI 53581. You'll hear things you never heard before and things you never dreamed you would hear in this so-called free but actually, at least in regard to the media, utterly totalitarianized country.

## Genes Even Affect Jobs

Who would ever think that job satisfaction would have anything to do with inheritance? Apparently it does. University of Minnesota studies of 34 sets of identical American and British twins reared apart indicated that 30% of a person's "happiness in the service" is due to genes, 70% to such non-genetic factors as pay, the atmosphere of the workplace, the character of the boss and such. While critics mumbled "racism," the Minnesota researchers emphasized they were talking about a "disposition" to enjoy one's job, not the enjoyment itself.

If true, these findings should take quite a load off the shoulders of foremen and other business executives and officials. Worker disgruntlement has generally been blamed on the personality of the employer, low pay or bad working conditions. If there is nothing a manager can do about a constantly bitching employee (after all, how do you make peace with a gene?), then the fault shifts from him to the fault-finder.

## Vineyard Grapevine

David Wayfield, Instaurator's favorite conservative curmudgeon, raised the hackles of speakers at a lib-min symposium of journalists (Aug. 4-5) on Martha's Vineyard by passing out flyers at the entrance to the meeting hall. Inside, such luminaries as Katharine Graham, Mike Wallace, Art Buchwald, Anthony Lewis and other prominent Jewish doubledomes were telling each other what was wrong with the world. As far as is known, none blamed themselves.

Wayfield's flyers contained some very unfavorable statements about the symposium's star attractions. The astonished recipients were informed that Art Buchwald had bigotedly challenged the right of Georgetown University to renew Arab funding and that Mike Wallace's TV interview with Holocaust skeptic Robert Faurisson, though conducted at great expense and at long length in Paris, never appeared on the tube because of pressure from Jewish higher-ups. Altogether, Wayfield's flyers contained 18 paragraphs of information that none of the "propaganda experts," as he called them, had ever heard of and probably wanted to hear of.

One Jewish intellectual made an attempt to hit Wayfield with his fist when handed the flyer. Wayfield tells what happened next.

A young police officer, Greg Willoughby, appeared and instructed me that he had orders to arrest me if I continued to

trespass on "private" property.

I spoke to clean-cut Greg in a fatherly way and cautioned him not to do anything illegal. It might jeopardize his career. I explained to him that legal precedents had already been established in Massachusetts which supported my position. Greg decided to run back to the police station for further instructions.

He later reappeared with a Ms. Haskell, who presumably represented the symposium. Both instructed me to move to the public sidewalk. While Greg had his notebook poised to write up my arrest, I merely laughed and said that I had never been arrested in my life and that this would be the first time. They both seemed alarmed that I was prepared to go to jail. They pleaded that they only wanted me to get off "private" property.

Thereafter, I handed out my flyers to people on "private" and public property without incident. It was obvious that those who had instructed Ms. Haskell and her police officer were anxious to use the law as a club, but fearful that it might become a boom-rang.

## Turnabout Is Fair Play

Chosenites have filled us up to our cerebellums with newspaper ads and TV announcements praising Israel, Zionism and just about everything Jewish under the sun. Now, when Arab Americans want to get back at them with ads in the Washington (DC) Metro (subway and supraway), the howls deafen. One ad that showed Palestinian women being threatened by Israeli bully boys has the caption, "Israel Putting Your Tax Dollars to Work." The second puts the Soviet Union, South Africa and Israel together in the same terrorist boat and is captioned, "One Yardstick for Human Rights."

The world's most experienced censors tried their best to stop the \$10,500 pro-Arab campaign, which was scheduled to run for one month. Jewish groups called the posters, "provocative and inflammatory . . . distorted and misleading." Hot phone calls were made to Washington Metro officials. Although this delayed the campaign by a week, the posters did go up and, as far as we know, stayed up for the whole month.

In any other American subway or public transportation system, the posters would never have made it. But the District of Columbia is 70% Negro, and not only the local government, but the Washington Metro is permeated with Negroes. Unfortunately, Negroes are the only large group in this country that has the guts and the political power to stand up to Jews. If WASPs, Irishmen or Italians had had to face the same situation, they would have surrendered to the Jews automatically.

## The Duke (the Good Duke) Is In There Pitching

As far as the media were concerned, David Duke's run for the Democratic Party's nomination for president was a no-show. How could it have been otherwise when nary a line of publicity was given his campaign? Silence is the great enemy of the election process because it dictates which candidates can be known and which must remain unknown. It's hard for even the most intelligent voter to cast a ballot for a candidate he is not allowed to hear about.

Duke has now switched to the Populist Party, which has chosen him as its presidential candidate. Once again, the media are ignoring him, but this time he has some organizational support.

Instauration salutes Duke because the two principal planks in the Populist Party's platform are racial planks: the restriction of immigration and the abolition of affirmative action and racial quotas. We would be just as interested in any other presidential candidate, be he Republican or Democrat, who agreed with Duke on these issues, since snowballing immigration and intensifying minority racism are bound to turn this country into another Brazil

or even into another Ethiopia or Zaire within the next century.

A few planks in Duke's platform are pure eyewash and hardly worthy of serious comment, such as repealing the income tax, which can only chase away many potential Populist Party supporters and voters. With the country already going down the hole \$150 billion or so a year, are we supposed to put a stopper on the government's largest source of revenue? And how will it help the country's finances to end the Federal Reserve System and replace it with a national bank, which would be under the direct control of Congress? It's not much of a choice, but it's certainly wiser and safer to have a private Majority-dominated banking system whose top officials are appointed by the President, including the current Jewish chairman, than establish a national bank controlled by the likes of Jim Wright and the Black Congressional Caucus.

Instauration is of the opinion that more damage is being done and will be done to this once mighty republic by the ADL, the World Jewish Congress and the Israel Lobby -- all-Jewish groups -- than by the Trilateralists and Bilderbergers, whose membership is only partly Jewish and who are largely money-minded and faint-hearted WASPs. The biggest promoter of this divisive anti-WASP campaign is Antony Sutton, an Englishman of obscure origins, who is now trying to sell conservatives that some or most of the nation's troubles derives from what he calls The Order -- not the fight-to-the-last-ditch Order of the late Robert Mathews, but the Skull and Bones Society of Yale, which is practically all WASP and is nothing but a small, tightly-knit super-fraternity.

When is someone going to ask Antony Sutton why he side-steps the Jewish issue and only goes after the population group that has now become everybody's punching bag?

To return to Duke, he is definitely worth supporting because he's all we've got and he does keep banging on the really important issues, which the cowardly Republican and Democratic candidates won't even mention. He can receive correspondence sent to him care of the Populist Party, P. O. Box 1988, Ford City, PA 16226. For \$75 you'll get a half-hour Duke stump speech on a VHS videocassette, on which he explains what really needs to be done to keep this race of ours from going all the way down and all the way out.

## Change of Heart?

Human Events is worth reading because it hits liberal political propaganda harder than just about any other publication. But, like all so-called conservative journals, it has always had a soft and opportunistic spot in its heart for Israel and over the years has followed the safe and profitable course of going all out for Zionism, as if there was some sort of holy bond linking the inhumane persecution of Palestinians to American conservatism. Nothing has been more fatuous than the Human Events columnists and writers who talk up individualism, human rights and the dangers of Communist expansion, while supporting the Zionist policy that has enabled the Soviet Union, once a scorned atheistic state in the eyes of religious Middle Easterners, to become the ally and champion of Arabs.

Obsessive pro-Zionism leads naturally to obsessive anti-Nazism, and Human Events emitted loud cheers every time an American citizen was nabbed for war crimes and sent off to his imprisonment or death in Israel and the Soviet Union.

For all these and many other reasons, the July 9 issue came as a welcome surprise. In an article entitled, "Nazi Hunt Must Reach New Level of Fairness," Patience T. Huntwork, a prominent Arizona attorney, questioned the veracity and reliability of the Jewish witnesses in the trial of John Demjanjuk, especially since three of them had already been rated "not credible" by a judge in a 1978 war crimes-related trial in Florida. What is worse, Mrs. Huntwork wrote, "the Department of Justice deliberately withheld from the Demjanjuk defense the evidence that numerous Treblinka surviv-

ors, when shown photos of Demjanjuk, had failed to identify [him] as Ivan," the man supposedly responsible for a hecatomb of Jews. Mrs. Huntwork concluded by noting that it is now possible for a U.S. citizen accused of war crimes to be denied a jury trial, stripped of his citizenship and sent to his death abroad on the sole basis of a forged piece of paper supplied by the KGB.

What makes Human Events's change of heart so intriguing is that the person who owns half of the publication's stock is Allan Ryskind, a Jew. Is he going along with this, or is this just a temporary wrinkle in the party line that somehow was sneaked in while Allan was taking a summer vacation?

## Separation Forever

A black group in Chicago has called for an all-black political convention to be held shortly before the city's next mayoral election, set for next year. In Omaha, the black Oklahoma state senator, Ernie Chambers, has proposed an all-black police unit.

While most Americans are probably horrified by such proposals, Instaurator applauds them. They encourage racial separation. Any move in that direction is manna from heaven. Although we are now country-less, we can still save our race in this heterogeneous part of the globe by distancing ourselves physically from those who wish to miscegenate us out of existence.

As we are being surrounded and suffocated by black-only, Hispanic-only, Jewish-only committees, lobbies, caucuses and organizations, when will it finally occur to us to start our own white-only groups?

## Legal Epithets

It's hard to believe, but it's still possible to toss a disapproving word at a Jew without going to jail or being bankrupted by a suit for damages. When Clifford Botway called Stephen Greenberg a "kike" in the course of a telephone call, Greenberg sued, saying he had suffered such emotional distress that he couldn't sleep, was constantly sick, had to curtail important social activities and couldn't attend to his business.

The New York Supreme Court ruled for Botway on the basis that, though his remark "was a stupid, reprehensible and bigoted insult," it was not sufficiently "extreme and outrageous" to support Greenberg's claim for intentional infliction of emotional distress.

Many European nations and Canada have race laws which in one form or another prohibit objective criticism of minorities, especially Jews. Since the U.S. has no such free speech curb -- not yet at any rate -- *Greenberg v. Botway* was an attempt by the plaintiff to get such a law in through the back door. We may be sure there will be many more such attempts. We also may be sure that the plaintiff will win some of the future cases.

Fortunately, for the First Amendment -- and for us -- that time has yet to come.

## Lesbianism 101

If you were a student in Professor Paula Rothenberg's Philosophy 101 class in William Paterson College in Wayne (NJ), you would have heard her say one day last fall that the U.S. nuked the Japs at Hiroshima "because of the color of their skin." Later, at the Socialist Scholars Conference in Zoo City (April 8-10), she lectured, "Race/class/gender is as significant as the bs you've been taught about Western Civilization."

Pete Schaub heard the same kind of professorial folderol, only this time it was more sexual than spiteful, after he had signed up for an introductory course on women's studies at the University of

Washington early this year. But instead of learning about feminism, the 22-year-old senior heard sermons on the marvels of lesbianism and socialism, how all men were wife beaters and child molesters and how the traditional American family was deservedly dead. When he politely objected to some of the male-hating effusions, he was denounced as a "disruptive influence" and thrown out of the class. Sue Ellen Jacobs, acting director of the women's studies department, wouldn't talk about it. But after an uproar from the male students, Associate Dean James Mason was forced to reinstate Schaub, who is now considering suing the university for libel and harassment.

## Bombshell Holocaust Report Now Available

Fred A. Leuchter Jr. is the foremost expert on the design and fabrication of gas chambers used to execute criminals in the U.S. He recently served as chief consultant to the Missouri State Penitentiary in the redesign of its gas chamber facility. No one in the world knows more about this subject.

Last February, Leuchter was commissioned by Canadian publisher Ernst Zündel to investigate wartime gassing in Poland to obtain evidence for use in the "false news" trial in Toronto. Until he took on the job, Leuchter, like most people everywhere, had swallowed the Holocaust story hook, line and clinker.

At the end of February and early March, Leuchter flew to Poland with a draftsman, a video cameraman, his wife, who served as secretary, and a Polish interpreter. The team carefully investigated the so-called gas chambers at the Auschwitz main camp, the Auschwitz-Birkenau camp, the busiest of the alleged Nazi extermination centers, and the Majdanek camp near Lublin, where some 1.5 million people were supposedly done to death.

When Leuchter first appeared in the Toronto courtroom to present his evidence, the judge and the prosecution appeared to be panic stricken. Every means was tried to prevent him from testifying.

Leuchter had done his homework. The entire proceedings of his investigation of the Polish camps was recorded on videotape, an engineering report which the judge snidely called a "regular stage production."

In his testimony, Leuchter explained in detail that the alleged gas chambers at Auschwitz, Birkenau and Majdanek could not possibly have been used for mass executions. For one thing, the so-called gas chambers were not properly sealed or vented. If they had actually been put in service, just as many Germans would have been killed as Jews. From samples taken and analyzed from "gas chamber" walls and other areas, the only other significant gas residue was found where even the Jews admit there was a delousing facility.

Leuchter's scientific evidence has immeasurably strengthened the revisionist case. Dr. Robert Faurisson, the French historian, has said that the Holocaust gassing story died on April 20, 1988, the day that Leuchter began his courtroom testimony.

David Irving, the noted British historian, has called Leuchter's testimony, "shattering." From now on, he said, no scholar will be able to look at the history of WWII without taking it into account.

The Leuchter Report, a 32-page condensation of the investigation commissioned by Zündel, aims directly at the core of the Holocaust legend: the Auschwitz gassing story. So far, no one has tried to refute it. How long will the exterminationists be able to keep it from getting out?

Hundreds of copies of the Leuchter Report have already been distributed in Australia by the Australian Civil Liberties Union. French and German editions will soon be appearing.

The attractively printed North American edition of the Leuchter Report, with a sizzling foreword by Dr. Faurisson, is available for \$12 (postpaid) from David Clark, P.O. Box 726, Decatur, AL 35602.

## Racial Electioneering

Deep down beneath all the blarney about democracy, U.S. politics has always been racial politics. So it's hardly news that racism has been omnipresent in the 1988 presidential campaign. The Founding Fathers kept a weather eye out on Indians and blacks and wrote their WASPish worries into the Constitution. Pre-Civil War elections orbited around the slavery question. Until the Voting Rights Acts in the 1960s, the Solid South remained solidly white, solidly Democratic and solidly anti-black. When Southern Negroes started to vote in droves, Southern whites began to switch to the Republican Party in droves. In the North other traditional Demos, the ethnics, are voting Republican to protest the black ascendancy in Northern Democratic strongholds.

This year's presidential election is simply an extension of this turnabout voting behavior. Despite all the talk of Reagan Democrats returning to the party fold, Bush will collect the major portion of the white vote. Fortunately for Dukakis the Majority split in the ranks will continue to split. Liberals and brain-damaged antiracists will deliver a large number of ballots to the Democrats. In 1980 and 1984 there were not nearly enough of the Majority rank splitters to defeat Reagan. In 1988, however, the American electorate has come face to face with a new kind of candidate—the first "dark white" presidential hopeful. The presence of Dukakis on the Democratic ticket will certainly fire up many white minority members, particularly those of the Mediterranean race. On the other hand, the Massachusetts governor's Eastern Mediterranean features (seasoned with a dash of Turk) will reduce the number of usual "light white" voters for the Democratic slate—Majority pussy-footers, trucklers and Old Believers.

Both candidates, it goes without saying, would be horrified and scandalized if anyone accused them of racism. Yet Dukakis continues to play up his Jewish wife and his second generation Greekness. Every moment he is on camera, he projects the subliminal racial message: "I belong to a minority. Please, all you minority members come out and vote for me. Let's get the WASPs out of the White House."

The Republican camp also seethes with racists. To divert attention from his aristo effluences, Bush has tried to horn in on Dukakis's minority status by chatting up his three brown Hispanic (Mexican) grandchildren. In popular or streetside anthropology, Hispanic has come to mean a New World Spanish speaker who is part Indian, as is Bush's mestizo daughter-in-law, or part Negro. As a further sop to Hispanics, Bush promises, if elected, to appoint an Hispanic to his Cabinet.

Jewish racism also permeates the presidential race, which is why Bush in a fit of self-abasement had himself pictured, skull-cap and all, planting a big wet kiss on the Wailing Wall. But Dukakis with his Jewish wife and his half-Jewish children has a biological headstart over Bush in the contest for the Semitic vote. Just to make sure Jews get the message, the Demo candidate has solemnly announced, "Israel's fate is America's fate."

As election day gets closer and the negative campaigning heats up, presidential elections usually degenerate into one candidate trying to make the other appear less pro-Israel and less pro-Jewish. Here again Dukakis will have the advantage because, with the exception of one or two Greeks, he is surrounded by Jews. Until the rehiring of John "dirty tricks" Sasso, Susan Estrich, a Jewess who teaches criminal law and sex discrimination at Harvard Law School, was in charge of his campaign. Estrich, who pounds the political drum for lesbians and

homos, has the distasteful habit of telling everyone and his brother about the afternoon she was raped by a Negro in a Boston parking lot. Other Jewish members of the Dukakis junta include Steven Grossman, in charge of Jewish issues, Larry Weinberg, onetime Democratic National Committeeman, Steven Rosen, a leading member of the Israeli lobby, Leonard Zakin, ADL honcho, Alan Levinthal, campaign finance manager, Robert Lieber, Middle East foreign policy adviser, and Hyman Bookbinder, the Jewaholic organization man.

A typical election ploy to stir up Jewish animosities and cut down on Jewish contributions to the Republican camp was Harvard professor Alan Dershowitz's attempt to anti-Semitize Bush by pointing out that John Sununu of New Hampshire, a co-chairman of the Republican presidential campaign, was the only governor who refused to sign a petition condemning the United Nations for its Zionism is racism resolution. Sununu, it was whispered darkly but not inaudibly in Dukakis circles, had Arab genes.

### SURPRISE, SURPRISE:

Bush's choice of Dan Quayle as his running mate was a political shocker. Dukakis picked a WASP or rather a WSP (the S stands for Scandinavian) to "soften" his dark minority image. Bush, perversely according to the Demos, selected a WASP to further "whiten" his "light white" aura. This came as quite a blast in this era of almost obligatory ticket balancing. George's image makers must have reasoned that since no presidential candidate can talk about race except in "love minority" terms, why not let the Aesthetic Prop do some silent electioneering for the Republican ticket? The Bush campaign is betting that Quayle's looks will overcome his political minuses.

It was pure and prurient racial politics that triggered the media blitzkrieg against Quayle. As a WASP, a hawk, a conservative, and a man with a John Birch Society father, he was anathema to the apoplectic Rathers and Donaldsons. One evening with scores of more portentous events occurring all over the globe, CBS News actually led off with a long, churlish rehash of Quayle's alleged one-night stand with a blonde sex queen in Miami some eight years ago.

To Instaurationists it macht absolutely nichts who wins the election. The important issues are not even discussed—immigration, the suicidal white birthrate, nonwhite quotas and the demonizing of the Majority male. Both candidates talk about the drugs cum crime plague that is making civilization in this country obsolete. But neither candidate will ever do more about it than fill the polluted air with hot-air promises.

If Bush wins, there will be fewer minority members in government, a couple of pseudo-conservative Supreme Court nominees, a little more money for defense, a little less for welfare. If Dukakis wins, he will speed up affirmative action, hit South Africa harder (in deference to his éminence noire, Jesse Jackson), and pay a little more payola to Israel. A Democratic administration means more Russian colonels in Nicaragua, more budget-busting, more noise from the feminist lobby and more rights for the gays.

No Instaurationist should lose any sleep, no matter the outcome. The next president, Democrat or Republican, will be imprisoned in what might be called a high-walled circle of permissible action. The Democratic circle is slightly to the left of the Republican circle, but in most places the circles overlap. Tightly circumscribed in his circle, a Republican president will have a little more elbow room for promoting deregulation, begging for spending cuts and prolonging the death throes of what remains of Western culture. A Democratic president will make big government a little bigger, inflate a little (or a lot) more and see to it that nonwhite perks get a little perkier. In either case, the ephemeral political and social "construct" known as the United States of America will continue to fall apart, as



hordes of un-Western and anti-Western immigrants fill the demographic and cultural vacuum caused by the Majority's anomie. While all this national disintegration is taking place, the Majority, the one and only possible racial anchor of this country, will continue to immerse itself deeper and deeper in a psychological and intellectual blackout.

For Instaurationists the main interest in the election will be the numbers, which may give us a clue as to how fast and how seriously the racial makeup of the country is changing. The Bush vote will furnish us with an estimate of the number of racially conscious Majority members still around and whether the Majority is still a majority. The statistical breakdown of the minority vote ought to provide an up-to-date count of the various and sundry unassimilables. When all the figures are analyzed, we may be able to decide how long it will be before there is a complete minority takeover and how long it will be before the country becomes another Brazil or, more ominously for the declining white population, another Haiti.

Whoever writes about elections can hardly escape indulging in predictions. We will stick our necks out just once by saying this will be the last election in which two WASPs head a major party ticket and the last election in which none of the four candidates is a woman or a nonwhite.

## Who's Tempting Whom?

One of the most beautiful films ever made was Franco Zeffirelli's version of Verdi's *La Traviata*. It's heartening to hear a truly gifted Italian film director come out and say what he really thinks about *The Last Temptation of Christ*, another film of another Italian director, even though such a forthright statement can only hurt his cinematic future—on both sides of the Atlantic. Here are his exact words as reported in the Los Angeles Herald-Examiner (July 5, 1988), "A product of that Jewish cultural scum of Los Angeles which is always spoiling for a chance to attack the Christian Church. . . . It's truly horrible, completely deranged." Later Zeffirelli denied saying "scum," but let his other words stand. To emphasize his disgust, he withdrew his newest film, *The Young Toscanini*, from the Vienna Film Festival because *The Last Temptation* was added to the program. It's not certain Venetians will see the uncut version, the one in which Jesus tells Mary Magdalene, "God sleeps between your legs." This jarring snippet of dialog, jarring when spoken either by a humanized God or a dehumanized creep, was the inspiration of Paul Schrader, a Hollywood hack who has scripted such cretinous films as *Hardcore*, *American Gigolo* and *Cat People*. Who or what sleeps between Schrader's legs is a more interesting question.

Instauration believes that Zeffirelli's remarks about *The Last Temptation* are right on the button. Turning Judas into a hero and Jesus into a lowly sexist is what you might expect from the gang which has had it in for Christianity for almost 2,000 years. The eternal Jewish assault on the West and all its works has much more to do with race than religion. Jews attack Christianity not because of its religious content but because it is the Western religion. They get at the goy by getting at Christ. Hit the folkways by hitting out at the religion which has become an intrinsic part of Western folkways.

That a Nordic actor, Willem Dafoe, was chosen to portray Jesus was another Hollywood ploy. If he was played by a Jew, his Jewish features would turn a lot of Christian viewers off and leave them somewhat indifferent to the agony of his "temptations." Mary Magdalene, the prostitute, is played by Barbara Hershey (née Hertz), another example of duplicitous casting. Barbara changed her surname to Seagull when she bore a child on the wrong side of the blanket some years ago. The father, who never bothered to marry her, was actor David Carradine. She named the baby, Free Seagull.

To cool some of the heat from the film, Universal Studios,

under the aegis of Lew Wasserman, who drips with chutzpah and gelt, accused some of the film's critics of anti-Semitism, a crime for which no penalty is too great and no excuse excusable. When you think about it, it's a pretty slick rhetorical setup. Jews can trash the national religion, but only criminals can criticize them for so doing.

## UNSPOKEN ANGLES:

At this point Instauration feels compelled to bring out two aspects of the controversy that seem to be missing.

In contrast to Zeffirelli, who is a blond Northern Italian, Martin Scorsese is a Sicilian. A huge proportion of Southern Italian (Mediterranean) males seldom have a good word to say for the Catholic Church. Most such men go to church three times in their lives—baptism, marriage and in a coffin. They rely completely on their wives (who wear nothing but black after the bloom is off the rose) to do their religious chores for them. The film reviewers wrote reams about Scorsese's Catholicism, his deep and abiding faith and his once wanting to be a priest. They said nothing about the Latin male's innate anti-clericalism, an obvious source of Scorsese's Christ-baiting. The only white males who routinely chew on that wafer and drink out of that germ-ridden cup are the Irish and the Poles—in appreciation for the Church's century-long help in their battles for independence. In its operations in Italy, the Vatican has been historically anti-nationalistic.

As said previously, an attack on Christianity appeals to many Jews because it's an attack on Majority folkways. It's a means of kicking us in the pants—a kind of muscle-flexing that Jews enjoy most heartily. The Talmud attacks Christianity ferociously, calling its revered founder a sinner and a bastard who is roasting in hell. Until *The Last Temptation*, Hollywood has generally treated Jesus deferentially, but almost always in the context of putting all the blame for the crucifixion on the Romans and downplaying and even ignoring the Jews' major role in the drama. This twisting of religious history permits the Beverly Hills goons to indulge in "Gentile bashing" without stirring up a backlash. The Romans are depicted as ancient models of present-day Western bigots—namely us. The connection is a little tenuous, but it is there.

The fact that no downgrading or cheapening of Moses's character would make it to your neighborhood movie palace is just another indication of our lack of cultural clout, of our headlong fall from the catbird's seat. But it's reassuring to remember that obsessive Jewish agit-propping often contains hidden dividends for the victims. By helping to destroy Christianity they open up a can of worms whose contents have often proved indigestible to the Jews' voracious appetite for having it all. Both the temporal and spiritual power of the Christian faith has more often than not been the Jews' greatest safeguard when times for them have gotten rough. Today Christian teaching is almost 100% pro-Jewish. The more Christianity is weakened, the more chance of a knockdown, dragout Shoah. Jews used the Russian Revolution to proscribe Christianity and turn the Soviet Union into a Gulag-ridden garrison of godlessness. Although anti-Semitism was made a felony, in less than two decades top-ranking Russian Jews were being liquidated at a furious pace. Now even the small fry want to get out. Tens of thousands of Soviet Jews would be alive today if Christ had not been traded in for the Holy Trinity of Marx, Lenin and Stalin.

One anti-Christian epic Scorsese and Wasserman will never make is a film based on Nietzsche's *Genealogy of Morals*. The German philosopher declared Christianity was an invention of the Jews and blamed them for forcing a "slave morality" on the world. To make Jews the heavies in a drama about Christ has been forbidden in Hollywood ever since they moved there en masse in the early 1920s.